

October 24-26, 2021 - Virtual Edition

A Risk Based Approach to Biomedical Device Maintenance

Adrian Richards

Biomedical Engineering Manager Women's and Children's Health Network

Adelaide, South Australia

Glenn Kennett Operations Manager SA Biomedical Engineering



Clinical Engineering Division



The Healthcare and CE Setting

- The majority of acute healthcare, and all tertiary referral services, in Australia are provided by the government in "public" hospitals
- Clinical Engineering (CE) staff are employed by these hospitals to manage the technology
- In the state of South Australia (population 1.8m, 4,500 public hospital beds) for a short time CE services in all public hospitals were centrally managed single database for 120,000 devices
- This presented the oppurtunity to substantially revise our approach to schedule maintainance
- CE activities defined by a voluntary but universally applied standard AS/NZS 3551
 Management Programs for Medical Equipment







- The development of a risk and evidence based system to define the management approach for scheduled support of BME devices
- Move on from more traditional approaches optimise resource utilisation and embrace "run to failure" concept
- Remain fully compliant with Australian Standards which states:
 - Follow the manufacturers recomendations
 - or
 - Document the rationale for variation from this
- Close the loop by reviewing outcomes and evidence every 3 years





- Legislated requirements very few
- Manufacturer's recommendations parts durability e.g. 1000 hour kit
- Latent risk clinical, financial, legal, reputational
- Power source
- Environment e.g. hospital vs home use
- Protection incorporated into facility power wiring AS/NZS 3003 Patient Area Electrical Installations





The Decision Process



x5

Clinical Engineering Division



The Decision Process

- Uses an on-line "smart" form that guides staff through the decision flow chart
- It is completed by a senior staff member at a site that has the most examples of the device being assessed
- The assesment is reviewed and signed off by a senior staff member at another site

		P TR	SA Health SA Biomedical Engineering We care for the technology that
	Maintenance	Planning Form	
Creator Details			
Name Email Address	Scott McGarry scott.mcgarry@sa.go		
Created	01/10/2021 12:52:4		
Application ID	10141266		
Level of Assessment - M		placing an existing pla	p
ECRI Number	15109	placing an existing pla	п.
ECRI Description	BILIRUBINON	AETERS	
Risk Category	4		
Model	MU20105		
Manufacture	draeger		
Assessment	the transfer to the		
Is this assessment a speci	al case? (e.g.	No	
Homecare Helicopter etc	c)		
Homecare, Helicopter, etc Does the manufacturer re		No	
	equire or recommend following that apply:		nains powered or battery
Does the manufacturer re PM? Select one or more of the	equire or recommend following that apply:	The device is non-m	nains powered or battery
Does the manufacturer re PM? Select one or more of the	equire or recommend following that apply:)	The device is non-m	nains powered or battery SA BME Interval
Does the manufacturer re PM? Select one or more of the (Leave unchecked if none	equire or recommend following that apply:) in Manufa ce (PM)	The device is non-m operated	
Does the manufacturer re PM? Select one or more of the (Leave unchecked if none Maintenance Pla Preventative Maintenance	equire or recommend following that apply:) in Manufa ee (PM) (PV) Comments:	The device is non-m operated cturer's Interval None None	SA BME Interval Run to review
Does the manufacturer re PM? Select one or more of the (Leave unchecked if none Maintenance Pla Preventative Maintenanc Performance Verification Justification for Decision / Device has a self calibratio Approval Approver Name	equire or recommend following that apply:) n Manufa ce (PM) (PV) Comments: in that is user operate Daniel Fletcher Daniel Fletcher	The device is non-m operated cturer's Interval None None	SA BME Interval Run to review
Does the manufacturer re PM? Select one or more of the (Leave unchecked if none Maintenance Pla Preventative Maintenanc Performance Verification Justification for Decision / Device has a self calibratio Approver Name Email	equire or recommend following that apply:) in Manufa ce (PM) (PV) Comments: in that is user operate Daniel Fletcher Daniel Fletcher daniel.fletcher@	The device is non-m operated cturer's Interval None None d	SA BME Interval Run to review





- At 3 yearly intervals to "close the loop" and use any evidence arising to further modify the approach
- Looks at the following via automated reports:
 - "Insufficient PM" flag that is captured during repair work
 - Number of corrective work orders
 - Mean time between failures





Effort and Outcomes

- Total time investment equivalent of 1 person for 12 months
- Substantial student project time up to 57 weeks
- 347 assesments undertaken at the device category level
- 59 make/model specific assessments undertaken on high inherent risk devices
- Approx. 40% of devices now not scheduled for testing run to failure
- Now embedded in practice and widely accepted by clinicians and healthcare executives





Adrian Richards

Adrian.Richards@sa.gov.au Women's and Childrens Health Network Adelaide, Australia

