

# Winding up an external company in South Africa

By Julian Jones, Joon Chong, Caellyn Eedes, Rohan Baijnath | 18 November 2021

*Is it possible for creditors to apply for the winding up of a South African branch of a foreign company ("an external company"), even if the foreign holding company remains solvent, since the two entities are legally one and the same entity?*

Although the registration of an external company in South Africa does not create a separate legal personality for the external company from the foreign incorporated company, this external company may be wound up in South Africa as an independent legal entity, even when the foreign company is not wound up.

## Case Law

The relevant case law with regard to the winding up of external companies in South Africa is *Sackstein NO v Proudfoot SA (Pty) Ltd* [2003] 2 All SA 59 (SCA). In this case, an industrial consultant, Proudfoot SA, applied for the winding up of Tsumeb Corporation, which was incorporated in Namibia and registered in South Africa as an external company under the same name. Liquidation proceedings were instituted under Namibian law in respect of the Namibian-based company, as well as under South African law in respect of the external company. While the Namibian liquidation was withdrawn in respect of the Namibian-based company, the liquidation of the external company in South Africa continued. Hence, the Namibian-based company was not under winding-up proceedings but the South African-based external company was.

The Supreme Court of Appeal ("SCA") and court *a quo* were mainly faced with issues under insolvency law and impeachable dispositions. However, the relevant pronouncements on the winding up of the external company were the following:

Hence, an external company may be wound up separately and independently to the foreign incorporated company, where the latter is not being wound up.

## Webber Wentzel

We are the leading full-service law firm on the African continent, providing clients with seamless, tailored and commercially minded business solutions within record times. Our alliance with Linklaters and our relationships with outstanding law firms across Africa ensure that our clients have the best expertise wherever they do business.

## Contact Details

### Cape Town

15th Floor, Convention Tower  
Heerengracht, Foreshore  
Cape Town  
8001

**Tel:** +27 21 431 7000

### Johannesburg

90 Rivonia Road,  
Sandton  
Johannesburg  
2196

**Tel:** +27 11 530 5000



## Applicable Legislation: Insolvent versus solvent companies

It is widely accepted that the winding up of insolvent companies is governed by the Companies Act 61 of 1973 ("1973 Act"), despite its predominant repeal, and the winding up of solvent companies is governed by the Companies Act 71 of 2008 ("2008 Act"). One of the criteria in determining whether a company is solvent or not is whether it is capable of paying its debts as and when they fall due for payment, failing which, the company is commercially insolvent.

Under the 1973 Act, only the Court can wind up an insolvent external company (sections 344(g) read with 349 of the 1973 Act). Specifically, section 349 provides that "*A company, not being an external company, may be wound up voluntarily if the company has by special resolution resolved that it be so wound up*". Therefore, neither members nor creditors can voluntarily wind up an insolvent external company.

In terms of a solvent external company, there is no similar provision to section 349 of the 1973 Act in the 2008 Act. The difficulty lies in the lack of distinction in the 2008 Act between "companies" and "external companies" in the voluntary winding up provisions (section 80 of the 2008 Act), whereas this distinction is made in the 1973 Act.

Given the wide definition of "company" under the 2008 Act, as well as the distinction between the applicability of the 1973 and 2008 Acts to insolvent and solvent companies, respectively, it is our view that a solvent external company, especially a company that has no debts, may be voluntarily wound up and does not have to be wound up by the court. This is yet to be tested before the Courts.

## Key Contacts



**Julian Jones**

Partner

+27115305590

Email this contact



**Joon Chong**

Partner

+27214317362

Email this contact



**Caellyn Eedes**

Associate

+27115305654

Email this contact



**Rohan Baijnath**

Candidate Attorney

+27115305581

Email this contact

## Accolades



Chambers Africa 2021



IFLR1000 2020



Legal 500 2020



Chambers Global 2020



African Legal Awards 2019



International Tax Review 2019



**LEGAL DEALMAKERS  
OF THE DECADE**

(M&A -by Deal Value)

DealMakers Annual Awards 2019



LEVEL  
1  
B-BBEE  
CONTRIBUTOR

## More from Webber Wentzel

[Click to see more](#)

[Click to hear more](#)

[Subscribe](#)

### Disclaimer

These materials are provided for general information purposes only and do not constitute legal or other professional advice. While every effort is made to update the information regularly and to offer the most current, correct and accurate information, we accept no liability or responsibility whatsoever if any information is, for whatever reason, incorrect, inaccurate or dated. We accept no responsibility for any loss or damage, whether direct, indirect or consequential, which may arise from access to or reliance on the information contained herein.