

Evaluating TECcare Control

Leasebrook Surgery, Lees, Oldham



Background

Environmental surfaces play an important role in microbial transmission across a range of clinical settings 1, 2 and it is accepted that cleaner clinical environments reduce the risk of infection 3,4

Whilst 'hospital acquired' infections and outbreaks often make frequent media headlines the community or primary care settings such as GP surgeries, nursing and residential homes also pose a very real infection risk to healthcare workers, patients and visitors. Therefore, effective cleaning and disinfection of these primary care settings reduces the risk of infection or transmission posed by these facilities.

Aim

The aim of this work was to benchmark the level of soiling/organic matter at multiple locations within a GP surgery and a residential care home and to compare the level of soiling at these locations before and after cleaning and disinfection with TECcare Control.



Methods and Measurement

Adenosine Tri-Phosphate (ATP) swab testing was performed at each test location and provides an objective measure of cleanliness.

ATP swab test locations were chosen to represent variations in frequency of human contact, frequency of intensive cleaning and relevance to the healthcare process (i.e. the blood pressure gauge representing a frequently used, healthcare-related location: children's toy bricks representing a less frequently used, non-healthcare related location).

In each test location ATP swab tests were taken for several weeks before the application of TECcare Control. This provided a background ATP reading which could be used as a 'benchmark' against which the impact of TECcare Control could be measured.

Each ATP test was taken over an area of 100cm² and swabs were taken using an Ultrasnap swab which was immediately inserted into the bioluminescence measurement device. All swabbing was performed at the end of the working day, same day of the week, before the daily cleaning process.

No alterations were made in the standard cleaning processes in the buildings. Standard cleaning consisted of a two-stage process involving stage 1, a wipe over with neutral detergent, followed by stage 2, a wipe over with a sodium hypochlorite solution. In week four, cleaning at the test sites was performed using TECcare Control ready for use spray in place of the standard cleaning process, and ATP swabs were taken 20 minutes after application of the TECcare Control products. In subsequent weeks the normal cleaning regime was resumed, and routine samples were taken from the same location, at the same time of day and day of the

In the GP surgery the evaluation took place over an 8-week period with TECcare Control being used only once at the beginning of week 4. In the care home the evaluation took place over a 14-week period with TECcare Control being used at week 4 and week 8.

CASE STUDY

ATP bioluminescence uses Relative Light Units (RLU) to quantify the level of soiling/organic matter present on a surface. In broad terms, lower ATP scores equate to a cleaner surface with less organic matter/soiling.

The following RLU levels were used to interpret the swab test results:

0-150 Acceptable autoclaved levels for

surgical instruments

151-300 Acceptable in intensive or deep

cleaning procedures

301-500 Acceptable level for food surface

production locations and equipment

501-1000 Clean domestic surfaces

1,001-10,000 Infrequently cleaned and unsafe

surfaces

10,001+ Highly contaminated

In addition to extensive ATP swab testing, several test locations at the GP surgery also had Total Viable Count (TVC) of microbes reported both pre and post cleaning with TECcare. TVC determination on surfaces using dip-slides is an established method used to quantify the number of microbes (bioburden) present on surfaces.

www.clares.co.uk



To discuss your current sanitisation programme, make a booking or purchase products, contact our customer service team today!



Evaluating TECcare Control

Leasebrook Surgery, Lees, Oldham

Evidence of Improvement

ATP swab test results for the GP surgery are reported below in Table 1 with additional data relating to Total Viable Count of microbes reported in Table 2. ATP swab test results for the Residential Care Home are reported in Table 3.

Table 1. GP Surgery ATP swab test results in RLU below:

Test Location	Pre Treatment	Post Treatment
Table	19,800	22
Stainless steel instrument table	498	44
Cupboard handles	2,848	73
Work surface	1,874	13
Treatment couch	4,919	82
Light switch	15,321	18
Computer keyboard	10,028	169
Crèche seating	7,769	36
Reception desk	8,712	147
Wall adjacent to crèche	15,997	7
Desk	3,562	38
Blood pressure gauge	1,845	26



Table 2. Total Viable Count of dip-slide test results in colony forming

Test Location	Pre Treatment	Post Treatment
Fridge base	105	0
Trolley	104	0
Room surface	103	0
Peak flow meter	10 ²	0
Floor	103	0
Kitchen table	106	0

Table 3. Residential care home ATP swab test results below:

	Test Location	Pre Treatment	Post Treatment
Dining ro	oom	33,972	441
Lounge		5,264	513

Discussion

The results reported in Tables 1 and 3 demonstrate, without exception, that all locations cleaned and disinfected with TECcare Control reported significant reductions in RLU scores compared to previous ATP swab test results where TECcare Control had not been used

In the GP surgery all swab test locations reported a minimum 89% improvement in RLU levels with ten of the twelve surfaces being swabbed reporting a >97% reduction in ATP levels. In the residential care home, each time TECcare Control was used the ATP levels reported at these test locations dropped by over 80%.

Lower ATP levels (denoted by the reduction in RLU being reported) equate to cleaner clinical environments. This is supported by the data in Table 2 which used dip slides to quantify bioburden at multiple locations before and after cleaning with TECcare Control. It is evident from the data presented in Table 2 that the bioburden was reduced to zero after cleaning with TECcare Control. Since it is accepted that cleaner clinical environments reduce the risk of infection^{3,4} it is logical to propose that in this evaluation the application and use of TECcare Control has reduced the risk of infection and/or transmission associated with the GP and care home by creating and maintaining cleaner environments within these settings.

In both the GP surgery and the residential care home the surfaces cleaned with TECcare Control remained cleaner for longer, i.e. post TECcare ATP levels remained below pretreatment levels several weeks after the initial application of TECcare. The reason for this ongoing reduction in ATP levels several weeks after application is a combination of the surface conditioning offered by TECcare and the persistent nature of its antimicrobial properties.

Conclusion

Based on the data presented in this evaluation the single step cleaning process using TECcare Control in two primary care settings can create and maintain cleaner clinical environments when compared to a standard two-step cleaning regime using neutral detergent followed by sodium hypochlorite. With environmental surfaces playing an important role in microbial transmission across a range of clinical settings^{1,2} the cleaner the surface the lower the risk of infection/cross infection to healthcare providers or users.



References

- 1. Otter JA, Yezli S, French GL. The role played by contaminated surfaces in the transmission of nosocomial pathogens. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011 Jul; 32(7):687-99
- 2. Pyrek KM. Special Report. HAI Prevention and Environmental Hygiene: The Changing Landscape of Healthcare Delivery, Infection Control Today [serial on the internet]. 2013 Feb [cited 2013 Jul 24]: [28 screens]. Available from: http:// www.infectioncontroltoday.com
- 3. Orenstein R, Aronhalt KC, McManus JE Jr, Fedraw LA (2011) A targeted strategy to wipe out Clostridium difficile. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011 Nov;32(11):1137-9.
- 4. Hayden MK, Bonten MJ, Blom DW. Lyle EA, van de Viiver DA, Weinstein RA. Reduction in acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus after enforcement of routine environmental cleaning measures. Clin Infect Dis 2006 Jun 1;42(11):1552-60.

www.clares.co.uk

To discuss your current sanitisation programme, make a booking or purchase products, contact our customer service team today!