

Competing Risk Methods for Fracture Risk Assessment in Older Adults

Chinenye Okpara^a, Guowei Li^{a,b}, Lehana Thabane^{a,c,d}, Jonathan D. Adachi^{d,e}, Alexandra Papaioannou^{a,d,e}, Arthur Lau^{d,e}, George Ioannidis^{a,d,e}

a. Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence & Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada; b. Centre of Clinical Epidemiology & Methodology, Guangdong Second Provincial General Hospital, Guanzhou, China; c. Biostatistics Unit, Research Institute of St Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, ON, Canada; d. GERAS Centre, Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, ON, Canada; e. Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada.

Introduction

Cause-specific hazard (csH) and sub-distribution hazard (sdH) regression are competing risk (CR) methods suited for etiologic and prognostic questions, respectively¹. Using both could provide greater insights on how risk factors relate with events of interest in the presence of CR². However, the evidence on the application of the two methods is limited. We investigated the robustness of the results using both for CR of mortality in determining factors that were associated with i) incident fragility fracture (FF), & ii) incident major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) among older adults.

Methodology

Data from the Global Longitudinal Study on Osteoporosis in Women (GLOW) study, Hamilton cohort were used to assess the risk of fracture among women aged ≥ 55 years. Cause-specific & sub-distribution hazard CR methods were used to account for the CR of death. The resulting estimates were compared by visual assessment and by computing the relative differences between the hazard ratios (RDHR).

Results

257 (6.5%) had a FF, 142 (3.6%) had a MOF, and 103 (2.6%) died before a fracture. Prior fracture & frailty were associated with fragility fracture while BMI & smoking were additional factors associated with MOF. The results from both methods were similar in magnitude, direction, & statistical significance, and the largest relative difference between the effect estimates was 6.5%.

Fragility Fracture Characteristics	csH aHR (CI)	p	sdH aSHR (CI)	p	RDHR (%)
Prior fracture Yes	1.90 (1.40 – 2.59)	<0.001	1.87 (1.38 – 2.53)	<0.001	1.6
Frailty status Frail	2.14 (1.50 – 3.04)	<0.001	2.00 (1.32 – 3.01)	0.001	6.5
MOF					
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.83 (0.69 – 0.99)	0.038	0.83 (0.70 – 0.99)	0.033	0.0
Prior fracture Yes	2.09 (1.43 – 3.07)	<0.001	2.02 (1.35 – 3.03)	0.001	3.3
Parental fracture Yes	1.72 (1.12 – 2.65)	0.013	1.74 (1.13 – 2.68)	0.011	1.2
Frailty status Frail	2.83 (1.80 – 4.45)	<0.001	2.65 (1.58 – 4.44)	<0.001	6.4

Conclusions

CsH and sdH methods for fracture risk assessment where CR of death is present may yield numerically similar estimates, with short observation & heavy censoring of data due to low event rate. In such cases, the research objective should determine what method is more appropriate for the primary analysis.

References

1. Austin, P. C., Lee, D. S. & Fine, J. P. Introduction to the Analysis of Survival Data in the Presence of Competing Risks. *Circulation* 133, 601–609 (2016).
2. Latouche, A., Allignol, A., Beyersmann, J., Labopin, M. & Fine, J. P. A competing risks analysis should report results on all cause-specific hazards and cumulative incidence functions. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 66, 648–653 (2013).

Biography

Chinenye Okpara is a 3rd year PhD student in the Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact at McMaster University, Canada. She has a Masters in Public Health from the University of Sheffield, UK. Her primary research interest is in the development, improvement, and application of methods for the design, conduct and analysis of clinical trials and cohort studies. Specifically, she is interested in how these methods are applied to studies on special populations including pregnant women, children, and the elderly, who require additional consideration in health research. Her PhD thesis focuses on methodological issues in longitudinal studies of older adults.

Email: okparc1@mcmaster.ca