WORKSHEET 6

JUDGING

Awards 101: The Missing Manual for Awards Professionals

OVERVIEW

i

The judging stage is critical because this is where the final decisions are made – decisions that make one a king and make others forgotten. Judging process is very sensitive, so judge selection, training (if necessary), and diversification should all be taken care of very responsibly.

JUDGE SELECTION

i

Determine who your judges will be, where they will come from, which composition of them will have the lowest risk of bias while maintaining the highest level of professionalism.

Questions	Answers
Which sources for your judge panel do you use?	 past winners "celebrities" in the industry
	 business leaders in related companies
	□ peer judging
If peer judging is used, how do you ensure unbiasedness and quality of the panel?	
Which type of judging do you use for your program?	By criteria
	Popularity vote
	Ranking
How do you ensure you minimize the Halo effect (the aura surrounding what is being reviewed)?	
Are there general / summary questions on the scoring form that can be transformed into more specific / measurable ones?	
Why would it [not] be a good idea to introduce popularity vote for your program?	
Why would it [not] be a good idea to use ranking in the final round of your program?	

JUDGING PROCESS

i

Define how the judging will take place, how the judges are assigned to the entries, how confidentiality, verifiability and fairness are ensured, and how the winners are selected.

Questions	Answers
How many rounds of judging do you have?	0 1
	2
	Other:
Do you feel the round structure should be changed or modified?	
Which method of judging do you use?	Online
	In person
	A combination of two
Which factors should be taken into consideration to make	□ specialized judge portal
online judging efficient?	□ how entries are displayed
	□ intuitive controls
If you rely on physical delivery, which fields do/would you	Entry Title
include on the label that applicants must put in the package?	Entry ID
	□ First Name
	□ Last Name
	Company
	Category
	Number of physical pieces included
If your judges evaluate physical entries, which information do/would you include on the display cards (for judges to see)?	□ Entry Title
	Category
	□ Name (rarely used to avoid bias)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
If your program works with physical entries, how is their security ensured?	
Which of the following parameters do you account for when	□ time to assess the entry
assigning judges to specific entries?	□ conflict of interest
	□ expertise

How many judges should each entry be assessed by?	
If judges have to travel, how are the travel expenses compensated?	by judges themselves (in return for gifts/benefits)
	by program organizers
Do you use blind judging to ensure confidentiality?	Yes
	No
Do you ensure you keep the audit trail for verifiability?	O Yes
	No
What type of winner selection do you use?	□ highest rated per category
	□ best in show
	□ judges' choice
	people's choice
	□ medals
	winners & finalists