E N A B L I N G World-Class D E C I S I O N S FOR ASIA PACIFIC (APAC)

The Executive's Guide to Understanding & Deploying Modern Corporate Performance Management Solutions for Asia Pacific

Michael Applegate, Corey Barak, Mike Kimbrough, Hadrian Knotz, and Nils Rasmussen Copyright © 2018 Michael Applegate, Corey Barak, Mike Kimbrough, Hadrian Knotz, and Nils Rasmussen.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted by any means—whether auditory, graphic, mechanical, or electronic—without written permission of the author, except in the case of brief excerpts used in critical articles and reviews. Unauthorized reproduction of any part of this work is illegal and is punishable by law.

ISBN: 978-1-4834-8055-8 (sc) ISBN: 978-1-4834-8093-0 (e)

Because of the dynamic nature of the Internet, any web addresses or links contained in this book may have changed since publication and may no longer be valid. The views expressed in this work are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher, and the publisher hereby disclaims any responsibility for them.

Any people depicted in stock imagery provided by Thinkstock are models, and such images are being used for illustrative purposes only. Certain stock imagery © Thinkstock.

Lulu Publishing Services rev. date: 1/29/2018

Contents

How this bo	ook is organized	1
Chapter 1:	Introduction	3
Chapter 2:	Planning for the Future	
Chapter 3:	Corporate Performance Management in Asia Pacific	24
	Introduction	
	Growing Importance	
	Trends	
	Opportunities	
	Executive Summary	
Chapter 4:	Assessing Your Financial Institution's Decision-Making Ability Score	
Chapter 5:	Creating a Closed-Loop Planning, Reporting and Analysis Process	
	Setting Strategy	40
	Planning	
	Reporting and Analysis	
	Best in Class Internal Processes	45
	Readiness Checklist	
Chapter 6:	Designing Your Own World-Class CPM Model - A Blueprint	48
	Strategy and Goals	
	Strategic Initiatives	51
	Budgeting	53
	Forecasting	62
	Reporting & Analysis	67
	Readiness Checklist	
Chapter 7:	Software Selection	92
	Functionality	92
	Deployment Options	105
	Technology	106
Chapter 8:	Implementation Strategy: Cloud vs. On Premises	109
	What is the Cloud?	109
	Public Cloud vs. Private Cloud vs. Hybrid Cloud	112

Appendix 1: ROI Calculator	
Appendix 2: Integrating 3rd Party Applications to your CPM Solution	
Appendix 3: How CPA & Consulting Firms can Deliver World-Class CPM to	Their Clients119
Appendix 4: Hardware/Software/Performance Considerations	
Appendix 5: Security Considerations	
Appendix 6: Terminology and Definitions	

How this book is organized

To maximize the value and clarity of the book, the authors have organized the chapters the following way:

- Why it is important to consider business intelligence solutions to enable world-class decision-making: Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 4
- **How** to best prepare the decision-making processes: Chapter 5 and 6
- What to consider when deploying a business intelligence platform Chapters 7 and 8

A Note on the Use of Terminology in this Book:

This book focuses on processes and technologies related to planning, reporting and analysis. Analyst firms and industry experts use various terms and related abbreviations, including business intelligence (BI), corporate performance management (CPM), enterprise performance management (EPM), analytics, and more. For simplicity's sake, the authors will, for the most part, use the terms business intelligence and corporate performance management.

For your convenience, we have also included a glossary at the end of this book.

Chapter 1

Introduction

"The quality of decision is like the well-timed swoop of a falcon which enables it to strike and destroy its victim."

The Art of War, General Sun Tzu

The purpose of this book is to provide executives with a non-technical guide to help them understand the benefits and capabilities of modern business-intelligence (BI) suites and to assist them in the analysis their own financial institution's current versus ideal-state capabilities to support optimal decision-making. By the time you have finished reading this book, you will be an executive-level expert on this topic so you can help lead your management and project teams to select and deploy a business-intelligence platform that will have a significant material impact on the performance of your business.

In other words, this book discusses optimizing your financial institution's ability to make fast and good decisions by implementing a complete decision-support platform. Before we go into more detail, let us establish what world-class decision-making can potentially do for the success of your company, and then we will look at how to use BI technology as a major enabler to achieve this goal.

Here are some of the key findings from a survey published by McKinsey & Company. They received 2,327 responses from executives across the world and across all major industries. Companies who responded to the survey reported making decisions related to

- Product, service, or geographic expansion
- General organizational changes
- Investment in current products, services, or geographic areas
- New infrastructures
- Mergers and acquisitions
- Maintaining the current infrastructure

The same survey also asked respondents about the various discussions that were key to their decision-making processes. One of these topics was the issue of who would participate in the decision-making process. Including workers based on their skills and experiences was believed to increase the likelihood of a positive outcome. According to the survey, when experienced employees were involved in a decision, revenue increases exceeded expectations by 59%; profitability exceeded expectations by 61%; and speed of completion exceeded expectations by 63%. But because of factors such as promotions, turnover, retirement, and lack of well-organized IT systems of record, expertise is often "lost" and not available to new or less-experienced decision-makers.

Additionally, when the decision-making process was transparent to all participants, positive results were seen. Transparency resulted in revenue increases that exceeded expectations by 58%; profitability exceeded expectations by 57%; and speed of completion exceeded expectations by 54%.



McKinsey & Company. 2009. McKinsey Quarterly. "How Companies Make Good Decisions: McKinsey Global Survey Results."



McKinsey & Company. 2009. McKinsey Quarterly. "How Companies Make Good Decisions: McKinsey Global Survey Results."

The results from the survey indicate that transparency, alignment with corporate strategy and including key employees all help drive the success of the decision-making process. In other words, because of the significant direct impact on the company's bottom line, apart from the actual designing of a high-quality decision-making process that includes thorough analysis, collaborative discussion and strategic planning, top management should encourage and support the implementation of modern technology to support and enable such critical processes.

So, the goal of this book is to discuss how technology can be used as a key enabler of worldclass decision-making across your organization. Instead of implementing a complete, integrated business-intelligence (BI) solution, most companies in Asia Pacific have until now lacked a cohesive BI strategy and they are still being reactive to their decision-makers' pursuit of more and better information by just adding a dashboard tool or replacing a report writer. Some try to attack the problem at the transaction level by attempting to build a home-grown enterprise data warehouse or by implementing a budgeting and forecasting point solution and hoping to achieve some incremental benefits. While it is completely fine to replace a specific BI tool, like exchanging an older live ERP report writer with a new one to best serve a small group of users such as the accounting team, you need to think bigger if you aspire to enable world-class decision-making. The reasons are many, as the book will cover in detail, and the return on investment (ROI) can be high by implementing a complete planning, reporting and analysis platform that can serve organization-wide managers and information workers with the key information they need for better decisions. Every organization that wants to be competitive will have this type of platform in the future, and some are already on their way. It is not implemented overnight because a successful solution requires good internal processes as well as reasonably clean transaction data, and of course it requires a highly flexible and user-friendly business-intelligence solution to bring it all together.

Instead of several on premise and cloud BI tools being implemented separately based on how the platform is offered by each vendor, the optimal solution should be integrated and offered in the configuration that is best for you both now and in the future. If that means being completely cloud-based or all on premise or in a hybrid configuration, then the solution should let you do it that way today. Later, without replacing the tool, it should also let you migrate to a new configuration, for example all in the cloud if that is the direction your company is going.

Piecemeal replacement of different BI software compared to implementing a complete, integrated decision-making platform is analogous to space, automotive and solar entrepreneur Elon Musk's strategy to deliver a world-class product: He has built—and largely taken control over—an integrated supply chain. First, he collects renewable energy through solar panels, then stores it in batteries and uses it to propel vehicles, and then enables automated driving through autonomous technology to make it as easy and safe as possible to get from point A to point B. A complete decision-making platform should do the same: repeatedly pull data (like solar cells collect energy),

store it (like a battery stores energy) and use it for better decisions to get the company from where it is today to where it needs to be in the future (like autonomous driving from point A to point B). If all of Musk's components listed above came from different vendors, with different, not perfectly compatible interfaces, Tesla would likely not have a car business as successful as it is today. With its ability to quickly adapt to changes in technology and consumer demand, the result is that Tesla, despite its size and tenure compared to much larger car manufacturers, often is voted number one by consumers and experts.



A very successful CEO and founder of a large company that the authors of this book interviewed had tried many market-leading dashboard and reporting tools in his career, and none of them had given him the complete decision-making platform he was looking for. He first expressed the business intelligence issues he faced, and all of them are echoed by hundreds of other executives we have spoken with in recent years. He then explained his vision of the "ideal" solution to his issues. In summary, these were the problems he faced:

- 1) Lack of "one version" of the truth when discussing performance.
- 2) Lack of accountability because management commentary was not tracked along with their reports.
- 3) "Analysis paralysis" too many reports, too many versions and too many reporting tools.
- 4) Lack of core exception reports that immediately is sent to managers when there is an issue.
- 5) Lack of agile, strategically focused, centrally controlled, top-down, bottom-up budget process.

Let's look at these issues one at a time and then discuss the ideal solution that the CEO was looking for to solve these problems:

Issue 1: Information is spread across multiple transaction systems, and different reporting tools provide different answers. Locally designed reports don't match numbers from the reports that the executives at corporate HQ are looking at. This leads to a lot of wasted time discussing which numbers are correct and how they are derived. When making decisions, managers need access to both financial and operational data, and they need it in one place with the same definition of KPIs and metrics so they have one version of the truth.

Solution: Implement a central data warehouse that can house all key data required for reporting, analysis and budgeting. This data warehouse should be able to combine data from the ERP system(s) as well as data from the financial institution's other data sources, such as the customer relationship management (CRM) system, payroll system, etc. One of the reasons that Microsoft Excel is still the world's most popular report writer is that almost every transaction-based business software a company owns comes with one or more reporting technologies, many of them rather sub-par, as BI is not the core business of most software vendors, making it almost impossible for a company to deliver a single, automated decision-support platform to its users. So, just like no house builder would build the different rooms (aka different reporting, budgeting and dashboard options) of a house first, and then later attempt to build the house foundation (aka the data warehouse), a company should plan for a data warehouse to contain all important data for the BI solution that will be implemented on top of it later.

With the strong emergence of the cloud for various software-as-a-service offerings, an increasing number of Asia Pacific companies actually find it harder than before to provide a single BI solution to their users because now their data is spread across databases located in-house as well as in the cloud, or databases are located in different clouds such as private clouds, Microsoft Azure and Amazon Web Services. Many such systems have their own application programming interfaces (APIs) for pulling out data to collect it in your data warehouse. So, now more than ever, the integration tool (ETL) itself becomes a critical part of any successful data warehouse. More about this in Chapter 7 and Appendix 2.

Of course, a well-built, easy-to-maintain data warehouse is just a means to an end. What should come next is to enable world-class decision-making for employees via a single, user-friendly BI webportal where all key reports, dashboards and planning input forms are stored and easily accessed from anywhere at any time. This also helps ensure "one version of the truth" and vastly improves the quality of time spent analyzing and collaborating, and it speeds up decision-making while reducing confusion and potential conflicts.

Issue 2: Managers' explanations of the same budget variances and exceptions keep changing over time. This is hard to track due to the lack of a central "commentary repository" and linkage back to line items in reports. As a matter of fact, in most APAC companies, the managers' comments on variances and exceptions are not recorded in the BI system at all, usually because many BI systems do not offer such capability or the company does not have a formal process to do so. The result is a lack of accountability and lack of insight into managers' history of comments related to such key data points. The problem gets even worse when a manager leaves the organization and the new person in the same position almost completely lacks reliable insight into explanations from the predecessor, often resulting in a period during which the new person does not take ownership of results or makes sub-par decisions due to lack of knowledge of past actions and events.

Solution: Design an interactive reporting solution that encourages and enables accountability by allowing managers to enter comments by KPI or line item and that allows for reporting and analysis of these comments over time. The company should create a rule that, for example, all actual to budget variances of more than +/- 10% must be explained. Comments should be entered for all significant exceptions prior to scheduled meetings with corporate executives so that these can be studied in advance and the meeting can be focused on problem solving versus looking for information. Even better, the manager that writes the comment should also include his proposed action or solution as part of his explanation.

The BI platform should both allow for in-line comments directly on, for example, exception/ KPI reports, and it should also allow for the attachment or linking to full documents, like a Word document or a PowerPoint presentation. This functionality allows more significant issues or opportunities to be discussed in much more detail than shorter, in-line comments entered into a database through a report. By integrating such documents into the BI platform, managers can easily and quickly access them when and where it is important as part of the analysis process, thus enabling faster, higher-quality decision-making.

Issue 3: Having too many reports, dashboards and manual spreadsheets so managers get lost in analysis or simply can't find it. Lack of a central, easy to access location with updated reports.

Solution: Deploy a single, web-based portal with strong reporting and analysis functionality that allows for collaboration and commentary.

The vast majority of organization in Asia Pacific have at least five or more reporting, query and analysis tools for their different data sources. Some are web-based, some use proprietary clientserver interfaces, some are easy to use and others are very technical and require IT help to design and maintain; most of them require different training classes and have different security models. In other words, it is a mess! And this is still the situation almost everywhere, seriously hampering the opportunity for managers to use world-class decision-making simply because, even if all the required reports are available, which is rarely the case, data is spread across numerous tools, with different report formats, different skill requirements to use, different logins and different methods to access, etc. In many cases, the required reports are not available, but managers don't know how to modify or create a new report, so it never gets done; users export or enter the data in manual Excel models, or they simply learn to live without that information.

Now, if you have implemented a data warehouse to resolve the issue of data spread across many data sources, you have also enabled the opportunity to implement a single BI solution for analysis and decision-making to eliminate the issue with many reporting tools that was described above. However, once an organization is given a powerful, single BI solution and easy access to all important data through a data warehouse, a new problem often occurs: dozens if not hundreds of reports and dashboards are built by eager users, which easily results in "many versions of the truth" because business rules are defined differently by different users (there are ways to resolve this by the way, with a central KPI repository in the BI tool), and managers simple can't find the right or the best dashboard or report anymore. This can be resolved by agreeing to corporate standard reports that are maintained by designated staff and flagged/organized separately from individual user-defined reports. It can also be nicely avoided by creating storyboards or playlists that have the best reports and dashboards in the best order of analysis. For example, starting with summary metrics and then going to detailed back-up information that users can use when needed. More about organizing content and reports in Chapter 6.

Issue 4: Managers are not able to monitor their important metrics and quickly take action when there is an issue.

Solution: Create exception reports that are emailed to managers and that require online commentary when there is an exception. The reports should be very simple to read and focused on agreed-upon KPIs.

While implementing a data warehouse to bring all data important for decision-making into a single data store solves the problem of having to use many report writers or having to manually

combine data in Excel, it does not solve the question of *how* the information is consumed by your decision-makers. Even if you achieved the ideal state of only using one report writer and one dashboard tool and they are completely integrated into the same easy-to-access web portal, you may still have a big problem that reduces the quality and speed of decisions. Let's say a manager can do most of his or her analysis based on six or seven KPIs or metrics but that these are spread across a profit & loss report, a sales report, an inventory report and a dashboard. Chances are that this manager will make sub-optimal decisions because the person will miss or forget information while hopping from one report to another. So the power of a simple KPI-based summary report that highlights important exceptions can be huge for world-class decision-making. Such a report should come with links, drill down to detailed transaction reports and dashboards with trend analysis, etc. (see Chapter 6 for examples), so that as soon as the manager finds a problem or an opportunity in the KPI report, he or she can quickly and easily navigate directly to the detailed information for further analysis. Two other key features on such a report should be the ability for the user to enter a comment on the pertinent line items, and the BI tool should also be able to be set up to automatically e-mail the report to the user if there is a flagged exception so that no time is lost in the decision-making process. The commentary serves several purposes, including reducing the need for the same person or other people to go back and repeat the same analysis just to derive the same conclusion. It also provides an ability to do "comment comparison" over time. In other words, if the same issue keeps arising multiple times or it is not resolved, analyzing the comments helps see if the manager's analysis of the problem is correct or why his or her proposed solution actually did not work.

Issue 5: Lengthy, manual planning processes with different, disconnected budgeting and forecasting models. Lack of agility in these models results in slower or sub-optimal reactions to changes in the internal or external business environment.

Solution: Deploy a single, web-based portal that houses budgeting and forecasting models as well as reports and dashboards. Implement a budget process that:

- 1) Starts with a top-down budget version created at HQ and closely aligned with the strategic plan and strategic goals for the coming year.
- Continues with a bottom-up budget to assure alignment with each department/division. Agree on what information is important for decision-making and control and collect plans at that level.
- 3) Finishes with alignment of the two budget versions and adjustments as required to arrive at the desirable budget.

Housing both strategic plans and goals in the same budget system assures close ties to budgets and forecasts.

The key outcome of best-in-class planning processes combined with best-in-class planning tools should be maximum agility. In other words, if a competitor releases a key product that can have a dramatic impact on your company's results, your staff might find themselves in emergency meetings to try to come up with a similar or better product as fast as possible. This means that your annual budget goes in the trash can and, ideally, you should have an advanced planning tool where your team quickly can model the required investment cost and resulting impact on cash flow, sales and other key areas and make a world-class decision that can save jobs, shareholder value and maybe even the company itself.

For most APAC entities, the annual budget process takes three months or more to complete, and it is costly and time consuming and rather the opposite of agile. In the potential crisis scenario above, a top-down, driver-based planning model could save the day and quickly help managers create a re-forecast to give them the metrics they need to get approval for and then implement their proposed actions, instead of months of delays while in the meantime the train is starting to leave the station.

In order to make the road to enable world-class decision-making easier, the CEO that provided the five issues above also had to deal with the fact that several of his subsidiaries were in different industries with local ERP systems. He had the foresight to enforce a policy where a certain portion of each subsidiary's chart of accounts had to follow a uniform standard to make it easier to maintain consolidated reports and to discuss high-level KPIs and financial statements across any of the business units. From prior companies he had built and managed, he was also painfully aware of the fine balance between too detailed, too sophisticated BI vs. too high level information, where the former could result in expensive, consulting-intensive models that are hard for employees to maintain and the latter would result in lack of insight.

In today's fast-paced society, it is more true than ever that the business that arms its employees with the best, most up-to-date information is the most likely to succeed. No longer is good information enough; it should also be

- Accurate
- Full of detail whenever needed
- Transparent and easily available to anyone needing it
- Rapidly available and timely
- Presented in a clear format

Executive Summary

In the vast majority of APAC today, the reporting, planning and analysis situation is quite messy, and employees don't have a unified BI platform to help enable world-class decisions. Although this situation is quite eye opening, at the same time it is a huge improvement opportunity, with potential major impacts on revenues as well as profits, as indicated by the McKinsey survey results referenced earlier in this chapter. In other words, a well-planned and executed BI strategy and the right technology platform represents a chance for top executives to take the initiative to make their companies best in class. Technological progress and globalization are changing industry by industry at a rapid pace, and successful companies are able to create or adapt their strategies to take advantage of this rather than being victims of the change. Armed with modern BI technologies, they can analyze trends, quickly reforecast revenues, expenditures and cash requirements to support their initiatives, and enable a standard of world-class decision-making across the Asia Pacific.

Throughout this book, you will find ideas that will help you to assess the current state of BI enablement in your organization and how it can be improved to support world-class decisions. As you attempt to assess your own financial institution's current BI capabilities versus what the true potential can be, you can use the BI maturity curve (see figure below) as a tool to place your firm anywhere from Stage 1 to Stage 4.

Stage on BI	Maturity of Financial Planning and		
Maturity Curve	Analysis Processes and BI Platform		
Stage 1	Low		
Stage 2	Lower Mid		
Stage 3	Upper Mid		
Stage 4	High		



BI Maturity Curve

Maturity of Financial Planning and Analysis Process

In the rest of this book, you will find ideas and examples to help move your organization to "Stage 4" in the chart above. It will typically require improvements in process, BI culture and technology platform.

Readiness checklist

Item	Your Score (1 lowest – 10 best)	Your Notes
Clarity on <i>if</i> or <i>why</i> your organization needs a decision-support technology Buy-in from key users to		
improve decision-making capabilities		
Total Score		

Chapter 2

Planning for the Future

"If you can look into the seeds of time, and say which grain will grow and which will not, speak then unto me."

--William Shakespeare

Purpose

The purpose of this chapter is to help you shape a vision for the optimal decision-making platform that your company should plan for today to be ready for the future. Sure, BI vendors will keep innovating and delivering powerful features that may not even have been requested by their customers yet, but most likely, your company has a lot of homework to do while vendors work on delivering the BI portals and tools of tomorrow that will optimize their customers' corporate performance management.

Almost no organizations today are close to "BI Nirvana." They suffer from the burden of maintaining report writers across their different systems and a lack of enterprise-wide dashboards with fine-tuned KPIs. Furthermore, they use manual spreadsheets to present information and to manage all or parts of their budget process. They also lack, or have a poorly defined, enterprise data warehouses to gather all important data, and very few offer their users a single BI portal with mobile apps for easy end-user access from anywhere. This chapter will provide insight into some of the key features to expect from BI solutions in the coming years, and it will discuss areas you can prepare today so that you are as ready as possible to take advantage of this functionality and to help you turn it into a competitive advantage to drive world-class decision-making across your organization.

First, we will look at functionality that can be expected to arrive in BI suites in the near future, and then we will look at how you best can prepare your data and your processes today to be ready to take full advantage in the years ahead.

Expected Future BI Functionality and Your Roadmap

Imagine a future where in one single day you have subscribed to a BI cloud service, loaded data from four data sources, mapped your data to a common data model (profit, customer, etc.) and gone "shopping" in an online application marketplace for 30 different reports, dashboards and budgeting templates. At the end of this *day one* of your deployment, not two or four months later as is typical with BI implementations, you invite your first managers into your new, user-friendly web-based portal to start their first analysis.

Future world-class APAC companies will not simply replace their old, often specialized BI solutions with new ones as needs occur. Instead, they will start with a detailed analysis of what type of information, in what type of format and with what kind of accompanying decision-support (exception, predictive, etc.) their executives as well as information workers need in order to optimize their decision-making capabilities.

Executives will put high priority on their future BI platform project because they know that an extremely well-planned and executed BI implementation will function almost like an exoskeleton for the business, surrounding every decision-maker with supportive technology to help them make smart and speedy decisions, just like an exoskeleton works to strengthen the speed and power of the human body. However, as any savvy BI user knows, dashboards, reports and forecasts are only as good as the data that drives them. In other words, the organizations of the future that will be leading in their industries because they have successfully implemented a powerful BI platform to enable their employees with world-class decision-making are the companies that already today start cleaning up their transaction data, because this can take years, and it totally defines how successful their BI solution will be. Most companies have "dirty data" everywhere. Customer relationship management (CRM) systems often have poorly filled-out information about campaigns, leads, opportunities, and customers. Accounting systems often have incomplete chart of accounts, or have transaction entries that don't capture important customer, product or project information. Data issues are almost always prevalent throughout a company's transaction systems. This prevents them from the ideal situation where they can have 360 degree views of their customers, sales people, products, etc. So, by understanding the importance of good, clean data today, executives can strongly support the re-implementation or purchase of new transactions systems that then will help drive extremely powerful BI implementations in the future and that will enable world-class decision-making and resulting business success.

Let us look a bit closer at the type of features and functionality that will drive successful BI implementations in the future.

BI Platforms in the future

The most successful BI platforms of the future will have *all* of the following characteristics:

• Extraction, Transformation and Loading (ETL)

Earlier in this chapter we discussed the importance of clean data. Well, even if your transaction systems offer good, reliable data, your BI solution is only as good as the data you can load into it, as well as the timeliness of that data. Therefore, the BI solutions of the future will increasingly offer very strong data loading functionality that is able to easily connect to the application programming interfaces (APIs) of your different transaction systems and load data into the BI tool automatically on a schedule as well as on-demand.

Business Data Warehouses

Half the reason why almost all companies today are not able to give their employees great, timely, on-demand information to support great decision-making is that their data is stuck in numerous different on-premise and cloud data sources, and each one has a different reporting and analysis tool. Not only is it slow and cumbersome to get the information out, but it is almost impossible for users to learn all the different report writers and even harder to effectively combine the data to present KPIs and easily consumable reports and dashboards. This "information handicap" can be resolved by implementing a flexible business data warehouse to manage key financial and operational data. BI platforms of the future will eliminate the need to design such data warehouses in-house. Today, many companies try, and often fail, to build such data warehouses in-house, and even if they should partially or fully succeed, a home-grown data warehouse is not an integrated component of a BI suite and as such will fail to deliver world-class planning, reporting and analysis to their end users. BI vendors see this problem and how it can limit the success of their solutions and thus will increasingly offer configurable data warehouses with full user interfaces and business rules such as hierarchies, currency conversion, and so on. Many will offer it in the cloud as a service, and others will offer it for on-premises installations or a combination of both.

• All Inclusive, Web-based BI Portals with Apps

As discussed earlier, one of the biggest BI problems in Asia Pacific today is that users need to access numerous reporting tools as well as compile spreadsheets to retrieve and present the information their decision-makers need. In addition to the critically important ETL and data-warehouse components, all successful BI platforms of the future will offer a single web portal user-interface where BI functionality or modules ("apps") can be installed on demand. The single web portal is

important so that users only need to access and learn one interface, and administrators only need to maintain one user management and security model. Furthermore, IT doesn't have to install any software on users' computers and they can offer remote access. The "App" concept becomes important for BI vendors to support so that their customers can quickly install the functionality that they want, but even more importantly, the app concept will enable customers or third party developers to add special functionality that the vendor may not prioritize. Whether accessed as a cloud service or installed on premise, future BI web portals will also increasingly be able to consume outside web services such as machine-learning algorithms, exchange rates, and numerous other third party offerings that add value to and help enable world-class decision-making.

Planning and Budgeting in the future

In the coming years, BI platforms will offer fully integrated strategic planning, forecasting and budgeting functionality as part of their BI suites. Here is some of the key functionality that will help support optimal forecasting and budgeting:

• Event-Driven Functionality

BI Suites will increasingly offer automated modelling functionality so that managers quickly can provide forward-looking plans and scenarios that are event driven. In other words, if there is a market crash, a company may quickly need to produce an updated cost reduction budget, or if a company is looking to acquire another business, they may need to analyze the cash flow impact and produce pro-forma financial statements to executives to help them make optimal decisions.

Purpose Driven

Other important functionality that will be better and easier in future BI suites is the ability for smaller businesses or resource-strapped accounting departments to automatically create budgets or forecasts to be used, e.g. to get a line of credit at the bank or to set commission quotas for a sales team that are dynamically connected back to a budget scenario. This can eliminate the massive use of manual, non-integrated spreadsheets that exists today for special or departmentally-driven micro-planning processes such as the examples provided.

Strategy Driven

While today, most entities enter and store their strategic goals and initiatives outside their BI tools, in Microsoft Word or PowerPoint, in the future they will be able to easily capture these in

their BI suite and thus fully integrate the related key metrics in forecasts, budgets and reports (more about this in Chapter 5), thus ensuring that all users have constant visibility to strategy and goals for their decision-making processes. This is extremely valuable to a company because it helps ensure that decisions at all levels are aligned with the planned strategic direction of the organization. A survey published by the Harvard Business Review found that only 5% of employees understand the company's strategy. It is easy to imagine the benefits to a company when the opposite is true, and this can be enabled by including such metrics and information within the BI platform so that strategy and goals are not forgotten and "lost" to employees but instead are constantly visible and available to users to help guide their decision-making processes.

• Automated strategy adjustments

Not only will BI suites of the future be able to automatically integrate strategy and goals into a budget, but the reverse will also be true. For example, if there are changes in, e.g., funding requests because a department suddenly needs to hire 10 people not planned for due to a new product idea, a change in direction or a response to competitive threat, a reforecast due to any of the mentioned events may automatically drive a change to the related strategic goals.

• Budget planning optimization

While preparing an organization-wide budget typically is an arduous process in itself, especially for bottom-up processes where a large number of people are involved in entering and reviewing budgets, it gets even more complicated when advanced business rules and constraints are involved. The actual planning process ends up becoming very complex and time-consuming as a result of numerous decision points that need to be evaluated concurrently, including market demand and funding availability. In future BI suites, planning and analysis optimization techniques will more effectively translate business rules into mathematical formulas that can be used to create dynamic budgeting and forecasting scenarios. Furthermore, integrated algorithms will be able to solve those equations and provide the best budget allocation options among all possible scenarios and types of drivers, such as risk reduction, cash flow targets and profitability goals.

Machine Learning and Automated Forecasting

According to Wikipedia, machine learning is "the subfield of computer science that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed." In recent times, there has been increasing interest in and development of algorithm-driven programs to automate the ability of software programs to perform all kinds of personal and business tasks. In the

field of BI, this includes forecasting future metrics based on historical data as well as current and estimated conditions such as weather, inflationary risk and more. The more variables you add to the mix, the harder it is for a human brain or basic spreadsheet models to figure out, and the better suited advanced algorithms that dynamically update and improve themselves are to perform tasks such as forecasting.

A practical example, often of critical importance to a business, is to forecast future inventory needs as accurately as possible. This is often referred to as predictive analytics and "real-time forecasting" because it can be almost instant without the need to collect forecast data from end users. Another example is in sales, where machine learning and algorithms can look at a large number of variables and patterns and figure out which customer is most likely to buy a specific product from you right now and thus should be prioritized by your sales team. This is typically referred to as prescriptive analytics. In both examples, business intelligence software can enable world-class decisions and help propel a company to the leading position in their industry.

Reporting in the Future

Just like strategy and goals at most companies are often quite disconnected from the budgeting process, and the budgeting and forecasting processes themselves are still rather archaic and manual, so are many reporting processes. In other words, both areas are due for a significant overhaul for companies that want to enable world-class decision-making.

Reporting Processes

Today, one of the most typical corporate performance meetings is the month-end financial review, where finance and accounting managers present the monthly and year-to-date numbers. This is typically done by handing out financial statements to each executive in the meeting as well as presenting the same in Excel or PowerPoint on the screen and in some cases also by displaying charts to review trends and comparisons. Often, much of the meeting is spent presenting the financials, with little time to dig into the key metrics that stand out because of major variances. In the future, CFOs and controllers will be able to perform their entire presentation online from within the BI suite. Because the presentations will be online and organized in a storyboard fashion, it will be easy to follow and, when questions are asked, the presenter will, without delay, be able to instantly drill down to detailed transactions or supporting reports and dashboards to provide answers.

Companies will also reengineer the review process itself so that executives can log into the BI portal prior to the meeting, review the financials and enter questions and comments directly

into the system to be stored with the metrics for later review and for historical analysis. Because BI systems in the coming years will automatically alert managers to exceptions and significant variances, there will be no surprises in the monthly review meeting, and instead, the presenter as well as the executives in the meeting can focus their time on the metrics that matter the most and where variances indicate that there are issues or opportunities (see exception report examples in Chapter 6).

The ongoing reporting and analysis that BI solutions will enable for department heads and any other information worker is just as important as efficient, highly focused month-end and quarterly performance meetings with executives. Apart from e-mail alerts when thresholds are reached or major exceptions occur, users will be able to log into the BI portal at any time for ad-hoc reporting and analysis related to their specific areas of responsibilities. Because your BI solution will live on top of a well-organized data warehouse, these users will be looking at numbers that are agreed upon by the corporate team, so there is always "one version of the truth," and discussions and decisions can be based on the same facts.

Another aspect of future reporting processes and the reporting capabilities of BI solutions will be benchmarking. In other words, the BI suites will allow for easy upload of industry averages or external data from public companies in the same industry. This will allow managers to analyze benchmark reports so that decision-makers not only look inward at the company's own performance but they also compare it with external competitors or industry data. Today, many companies don't do regular benchmarking because preparing the information is a manual and time-consuming process. This can result in delayed and less than optimal decision-making, because managers might be thinking everything is fine because results are on par or above budget, but if all the competitors are growing twice as fast, that can become a big problem at a later point, and regular benchmarking helps keep an eye on this.

Analysis in the Future

So far in this chapter we have focused on more formal planning and reporting processes, including exception reporting. A company's decision-making capabilities are also dependent on easy and clear analysis of trends as well as ranking and comparisons. These are some of the core features of today's dashboard tools. However, the problem is that most dashboard tools are stand-alone software or cloud services that use their own databases or in-memory data stores, have their own user interfaces and logins, and so on, and this hinders fluent, easy end-user movement between planning, reporting and analysis. In the BI suites of the future, all of these features will be included in the same offering and completely integrated with the same interface in a single web

portal, looking at the same data store and so on. When data is being uploaded to the underlying data warehouse, users enter data as part of the budget or month-end consolidation process, etc., it is instantly available in dashboards and reports as well because it is all part of the same, fully integrated BI Suite.

Highly functional dashboards and analysis features will include all the typical chart types, with full drilling and filtering options, including easily taking the user from, for example, a revenue trend analysis to a forecast input screen to adjust the outlook for that revenue stream and then to a financial report, where this new scenario can instantly replace an old budget column to show the adjusted actual to forecast variances. Today, very few APAC organizations are able to smoothly do the above three steps without moving from tool to tool and exporting and importing data multiple times, likely with the aid of Excel spreadsheets along the way.

Is the future a cloud-only world?

In the recent years, cloud services have rapidly gained in popularity. An increasing number of companies now choose to implement their accounting system, customer relationship management (CRM), BI, web-site analytics and more using vendors' cloud offerings (for more information about the cloud, see Chapter 8). Still for years ahead, many companies will keep some of their data sources on-premise as well, and even if they one day have moved every single business application to the cloud, their data will be spread across many vendor clouds. In other words, as described earlier in this chapter, the BI suite's underlying data warehouse and its integration capabilities will be key to enable world-class decision-making in your company because it will bring all your key data together in one place, whether this is on-premise or in the cloud.

Now, with the rapid growth of cloud offerings, there are other exciting services being offered by the largest cloud providers, and these include services for machine learning, deep learning, big data and more. The cloud-based BI suites of the future will increasingly make it easy for users to take advantage of these services even if they are not a native part of the BI solution itself because the cloud platform provider offers them provide easy ways to connect the services to your data warehouse. The result is an array of extended BI functionality that can offer advanced analysis of otherwise hard-to-find relationships in your data that ordinary reports and dashboards may not catch, and that can be used for automated forecasts or to discover new opportunities. Today, only the largest companies out there take full advantage of such features because they have dedicated data scientists and highly technical developers. In the future, BI suites will offer very easy, lowcost integrations with external speciality web services so that an ordinary business user can take advantage of this functionality to drive world-class decision-making.

Preparing to take maximum advantage of the BI suites of the future

As exciting as technologies can be when users truly see how they can automate previously manual tasks and free up time for better analysis as well as offer insights that otherwise would be close to impossible without computer assistance, none of this will provide the same huge advantages unless your data is good. We also discussed this in the beginning of this chapter and now that we have discussed a number of the ways future BI suites will improve your decision-making, it is time to revisit the data issue because it can take years to get good data, and it is critical for success. Sometimes a transaction system, like an ERP system, is simply not capable of capturing the information required for later detailed, high-quality analysis, and it requires a replacement of the system itself. But, just as often, it is a process issue where users are simply not capturing accurate or detailed information when they enter data into the business application. Either way, the sooner your team understands and accepts and is motivated to prepare your organization for a future BI suite implementation, the sooner they can start improving the processes and systems that are going to provide quality data to the BI system.

Some of the processes and issues you may need to work on are:

- Getting executive and key personnel buy-in for BI and BI system process improvement.
- Hiring (if needed) the required personnel to manage the system and the project.
- Updating internal transaction systems (such as your ERP system) that will be generating the highest quality transactions upon which to base decisions in the future.
- Designing internal top-down and bottom-up planning and decision-making processes.
- Integrating strategic planning, forecasting, budgeting and reporting processes.
- Defining the most important key performance indicators (KPIs) for each business unit, upon which to measure both the performance of the business and to hold employees accountable.
- Create/refine a cloud strategy (roadmap for the systems to deploy in the cloud).

Executive Summary

Even if the future is not here today, you can do much to prepare for it because most of the required time and work lies in getting clean data in your systems of record and organizing and connecting planning, reporting and analysis processes. In most companies, this can take several years, and in the meantime, modern BI suites will advance rapidly and deliver what is needed from a technology side to simplify and automate so you can enable world-class decision-making in your organization. Should some or many of your data sources and processes be ready today, then most BI suites allow for modular implementation so you can start, e.g., with your data warehouse,

then the reporting, then tackle budgeting and forecasting and so on, eventually ending up with a complete BI implementation and great information available to any user, anywhere, at any time.

Readiness checklist

Item	Your Score	Your Notes
	(1 lowest – 10 best)	
Transaction systems in place to		
provide the data needed		
Data in data sources "clean" and		
ready for loading (remember:		
garbage in- garbage out)		
Cloud policy in place to guide		
future decisions about cloud or		
on premise solutions		
Total Score		

Chapter 3

Corporate Performance Management in Asia Pacific

Introduction

According to a SAP-CFO research survey₁ of over 1,500 finance executives, three fourths said their companies would make effective use of big data. What is interesting is that four out of five said they would need to improve their analytics capabilities to get the job done. However, just one sixth of APAC executives felt they even possessed the necessary tools. Less than half of the executives interviewed said their finance functions offered adequate analysis.

The need for quality analytics solutions will continue to grow for the APAC market as forecasts for big data technology and services will continue to grow at 32% per annum over the next couple of years. Given the clear indications of the growing importance of data analytics, many companies are still struggling to develop a strategy.

Given these struggles, the APAC region continues to lead the advanced analytics maturity globally according to the joint report₂ by Forbes Insights an EY. The region's maturity in advanced analytics is driven by its strengths in data monetization and the advanced usage of technology.

In spite of this leadership position, APAC companies are still concerned with the costs and difficulty of capturing quality data. Adding to the concerns is finding employees that are equipped to apply analytics, make decisions, and change business processes within their organizations.

According to the survey, about half of the respondents felt there was a lack of collaboration between their IT, data analytics team, and business team. The biggest frustration is they lack employees who even know how to go about designing data analytics initiatives.

Market leaders consider their competitive abilities to be greatly enhanced by having an analytics strategy that is core to their overall business strategy. In fact, two thirds of these organizations

have achieved 15% more revenue growth. In addition, three out of five said they also improved their risk profiles.

Traditional process-driven companies in APAC are now being disrupted by the new era of businesses that use data as a strategic asset. Companies have moved from using data driven analytics on small projects to using them for company-wide initiatives. There is still a long way to go, however, in APAC less than 10% of the companies believe a company-wide analytics strategy is key to their overall business strategy.

The prevailing attitude₃ throughout the APAC region is that a main pillar of data analytics strategy is to beef up their corporate performance management capabilities to make them more competitive. Here are just a few areas where corporate performance management (CPM) will help them be more competitive.



CORPORATE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

- Budgeting and Forecasting Using modern CPM solutions, companies can automate and streamline otherwise painful and time consuming budget and planning processes.
- Profitability Reporting Using allocation functionality, a company can automate the allocation of expenses to revenue generating business units, products, projects, etc. to better understand the true profitability of important business activities.
- Business Performance Management (BPM) BPM is a systematic approach to making an organization's workflow more effective, more efficient and more capable of adapting to an ever-changing environment. A business process is an activity or set of activities that will accomplish a specific organizational goal.
- Measuring Marketing Effectiveness Marketing analytics is the practice of measuring, managing and analyzing marketing performance to maximize its effectiveness and optimize

return on investment (ROI). Understanding marketing analytics allows marketers to be more efficient at their jobs and minimize wasted web marketing dollars.

- Customer Attrition Analysis Several industries including banks, telephone service companies, Internet service providers, pay TV companies, insurance firms, among others often use customer attrition analysis and customer attrition rates as one of their key business metrics (along with cash flow, EBITDA, etc.) because the cost of retaining an existing customer is far less than acquiring a new one.
- BI in Web Retailing Web retailers have the built-in advantage of a large, detailed, realtime set of customer behavior data to feed BI analysis. This helps them to understand their customers in ways never before possible. Customer behavior can be tracked through every stage of the selling process, from product browsing, evaluation of alternatives, and closing, allowing retailers to understand things like product affinities, and the triggers for users abandoning their shopping carts.
- 360° Customer View The 360-degree customer view is the idea, sometimes considered unattainable, that companies can get a complete view of customers by aggregating data from the various touch points that a customer may use to contact a company to purchase products and receive service and support.

Over the next couple of segments, we will take a look at the growing importance of Corporate Performance Management in the APAC market, the trends of CPM, and some of the opportunities that CPM brings.

Growing Importance

As the APAC economies continue to accelerate, information intensive initiatives will become more popular since information is a competitive differentiator. CIOs are looking for solutions that require less maintenance and derive value in shorter and shorter times periods. These demands are being met with packaged analytical applications, preconfigured BI solutions, and easy to use data discover tools.

Managed and platform-based solutions for BI and analytics are rapidly being $adopted_4$ in APAC because of their growing maturity with technology and skills. As the technology of the various solutions are getting more sophisticated, they are also getting easier to use as the end-user experience is becoming more and more intuitive.

The fastest growing firms in the APAC are making better strategic use₅ of their data. They are more likely to have changed the way they make major decisions as a result of having more data to inform them. It is a continuous cycle of improvement as they are using Corporate Performance Management to measure the effectiveness of their decisions.

Driven by rapid economic growth in the region, enterprises are considering the potential of CPM and analytics. The growing need to leverage the power of analytics for analysis and quick decision making, in an increasingly competitive landscape, is being felt all across this region. Alongside pervasive adoption in mature markets like Australia, New Zealand, Hong Kong and Singapore, CPM and BI is gaining greater traction in the emerging economies such as China, India, Malaysia, and Indonesia as well.

While the bulk of CPM and BI usage in APAC is limited to statutory reporting and other formal areas, the mature markets in APAC are using CPM for dynamic reporting and real time analysis. By adopting solutions with better visualizations and dashboarding capabilities, companies are looking to present much easier to understand views of very complex data analysis.

This allows company executives to grasp what the data is saying very quickly without having to digest large and complex spreadsheets. As fast as the data is changing, dashboards and easy to understand charts convey information much faster than several rows and columns of data. One element that is helping APAC firms leapfrog the BI adoption curve is that they don't have to worry about legacy systems.

As a strategic tool, CPM has the power to aid growth, cut costs and manage risk for enterprises in APAC that are keen on staying competitive in the globalized economy. However, adopting BI in the right manner with a clear strategic roadmap in hand will be critical. The key will be to look at BI as a business driven initiative instead of as a technology driven one.

Of the top priorities₆ of APAC CIOs, nearly two thirds agreed that data analytics was at the top. Along with this priority was the need to figure out how to monetize all the data that is being collected. The frustration felt amongst the CIOs is they do not believe they are making the most of their investment in BI technologies. They are not convinced that these investments have adequately supported the business needs.

In fact, less than half of CIOs get real time information from BI. While most agree they are getting the business metrics they are asking for, less than 30% of them feel the information provides actionable business insight. While the general consensus amongst CIOs is that Business Intelligence solutions are becoming more important to facilitating key strategies, they continue to struggle with implementation.

Trends

While it is clear that Corporate Performance Management is becoming more key to the success of strategy implementation and tracking, CIOs are realizing they cannot provide for all the tools in-house. The demand for cloud-based business intelligence and analytics is accelerating due to its cost-effective and easy deployment features.

The global Business Intelligence and Analytics Software Market which undergirds CPM is expected to grow to almost \$27 billion by 2019 (APAC is the major growth area). The Business Intelligence and Analytics Software market is fast gaining traction, primarily due to the increasing competition that forces organizations to adopt business optimizing tools for better operations. The market is quite competitive with the entry of niche players which provide innovative dashboards and promotes self-service BI and analytics, thereby increasing their market bargain capability among the large vendors.

Despite growing demand, CPM strategies and execution remain immature₈ — poorly implemented and poorly managed — across most of APAC. This extends well beyond CPM projects to include broader analytics-related investments in areas like information management, data warehousing (DW), and decision support. CPM-related spending is still set to increase across the region.

Specific CPM drivers vary by country, vertical, and organization size in APAC, but some drivers are consistent across the region. Users are increasingly demanding the ability to make informed decisions, and there's a growing understanding across APAC that companies need to measure and value assets, processes, and decisions analytically and infuse business processes with added insight, often in real time.

When compared to North America and Western Europe, companies in APAC tend to deploy Corporate Performance Management in a piecemeal fashion. The projects tend to be tactical in approach addressing a specific project. With this approach, companies are struggling to meet even modest expectations in terms of actual value delivered to the business.

As mentioned earlier, many APAC organizations primarily use CPM tools for reporting, not for more complex analytics or improved decision-making. This is true even where broader CPM investments and technology implementations (including enterprise Data Warehouse) are in place.

Access to data and other information is growing dramatically. Some of these sources include the uptake of social and cloud computing coupled with the increased usage of database appliances and inmemory approaches to data analysis. IT must embrace and support these alongside more traditional data warehousing and reporting infrastructure. But a technology-led approach to CPM is almost

guaranteed to fail — as is a top-down, IT-led approach. Ensuring user acceptance and cultivating a culture of data-driven decision-making ultimately determines the success of CPM initiatives.

Recently, a wave of self-service analytics₉ swept across the enterprise. Organizations are now starting to embrace a more modern approach to business analytics, with IT and the business partnering to derive maximum value from their data. More than half of APAC companies now consider big data and analytics as crucial for business.

As a result, analytics is now moving from the hands of just a few data analysts to more and more people in the organization. With trusted and scalable platforms, companies are empowering even non-analysts to explore governed data and collaborate with their findings. APAC is starting to reap huge rewards in their big data investments where they have collaboration processes in place among staff to share relevant data, metrics, and best practices.

As the volume of data and the need to collaborate have accelerated, so has the use of Cloud-based solutions. Cloud-based solutions can scale up very quickly without IT having to make sizable investments. With companies moving their data to the cloud (as cloud solutions become more secure, reliable and easier to use), data analytics solutions are moving to the cloud as well.

As more and more employees of the organization consume data in the APAC market, data analytics will become a mandatory core competency for professionals of all types. Much like proficiency in Microsoft Word, Excel, and PowerPoint, basic proficiency in analytics will become a staple in the workplace. To meet this need, we'll see analytics and data programs permeate higher education. In the workforce, people will leverage intuitive BI platforms, and data will play a role in every major decision.

Top tier educational institutions in the region are doing what they can to keep up. Universities from across the region such as University of Queensland in Australia, National University of Singapore, and University of Hong Kong now offer degrees in Data Science and Analytics with many more in the works.

Opportunities

A survey₁₀ found that 80% of APAC IT executives complain of losing sales due to slow websites. APAC has seen a shift in the way consumers shop from desktops and tablets to mobile phones, especially in countries like India, China, Hong Kong and Malaysia which are the fastest growing markets for online sales worldwide. No matter where you are in the APAC region, the trend is the same.

This same tectonic shift in the way consumers shop is happening to the way employees want to consume data and analytics. To keep up, companies are shifting from on-premise BI solutions requiring VPN access from their employees' desktops to Cloud-based solutions that can be accessed by mobile phones.

Going mobile requires good visualization solutions as no one is willing to scrutinize big spreadsheets on a mobile phone. Dashboards, charts and graphs, and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are the best ways to understand what is going on with your data using a mobile device. Cloud allows that analysis to take place.

As already mentioned, the use of big data and analytics is no longer $limited_{11}$ to IT companies or data talents. Many technology vendors in the APAC region are witnessing an increased adoption of self-service analytics.

In APAC, there has been an uptick in the adoption of self-service analytical tools by vertical industries such as banking, financial services, manufacturing, retail, and telecommunications this year - a clear indication that analytics is no longer limited to technologically inclined companies.

The availability of self-service analytical tools has enabled companies to provide better services to their customers, allowing employees across any department or function to experiment and innovate with analytics, without the need for skilled analytics talent. With an existing shortfall of skilled talent globally, we expect this trend of self-service analytics to continue.

Companies are increasingly equipping their workers with skills and tools to help them better understand data. Employees of all levels are able to investigate issues, find solutions to challenges, and make projections or simply make discoveries.

This is a significant trend of companies shifting the power of data and data analytics from the hands of the specialized few, to the hands of many. As companies empower their employees with data and analytics, they also need to eliminate silos to ensure that all data can be leveraged.

Sales Performance Management (SPM) in APAC is one of the key winners in the boom of business intelligence. The whole region is heavily in SPM_{12} to give them a competitive advantage in the market. Within these countries, the insurance, banking and telecommunications sectors are especially interested in leveraging SPM to replace legacy systems and better manage channel sales and incentive compensation requirements.

APAC companies now consider CPM to be a key driver of any sales performance strategy — not an afterthought. In the past, market research indicated that CPM was regarded as more of a

nice-to-have but a secondary priority for companies in the APAC region. Solutions that can deliver mobile intelligence to key decision makers are likely going to be in the forefront in the coming months.

Focusing on operational cost efficiency is not enough to make a business case for SPM in the APAC market. Business leaders want to know how SPM can deliver top-line strategic results and set their company apart from the competition. CPM is going to be the foundation of those strategies moving forward.

Another benefit of performance management that companies in APAC are discovering is that employee engagement₁₃ is being enhanced. What researchers have found out is that the more your employees understand how their performance is being measured the more involved they become in the whole process.

As the data that is used to gauge their performance is made available, they are able to analyze trends, draw comparisons to peers, and collaborate with others on how to improve their own performance. The key is providing access to the data via easy to use tools so they can get a better understanding of how their performance is being evaluated.

Once they have a better understanding of the data and how it is being used, they start to change their behavior to improve performance. With quick access to data, they can quickly see the results of their changed behavior which leads to better performance.



Executive Summary

With the explosion of data in the APAC market due to accelerating economies, APAC companies are struggling to make sense of it all through the use of data analytics. The need for improved corporate performance management is fundamental to improving competitiveness. Whether it is Business Performance Measurement, Measuring Marketing Effectiveness, Customer Attrition Analysis, or getting a 360° Customer View, the value of data analytics is growing daily.

Savvy use of Corporate Performance Management solutions is becoming a key differentiator in successful companies. BI is shaping how successful companies formalize their business strategy and is key to measuring the results of those same strategies.

To keep up with the massive amounts of data being consumed, CIOs are looking to Cloud-based solutions that can quickly scale up to meet demands. The demand for such data analysis is being driven by the business and not IT. More and more business users are demanding self-service analytics to drastically improve the understanding of the data and producing actionable insights.

Soon data analytics skills will be as common and required as Microsoft Office skills. With improved data analytics, APAC companies are getting much better at performance management across the spectrum. Not only will operational efficiencies get better and sales performance be improved, but employee engagement and satisfaction will go up.

Footnotes

- 1 http://www.computerweekly.com/news/4500259838/For-data-analytics-leaders-in-Asia-Pacific-success-comes-from-willingness-to-fail
- 2 <u>https://www.cio-asia.com/resource/applications/asia-pacific-leads-advanced-analytics-maturity-worldwide/</u>
- 3 <u>http://www.grtcorp.com/solutions/data warehouse business intelligence/competitive</u> <u>advantage</u>
- 4 https://www.gartner.com/doc/3350317/market-guide-bi-analytics-service
- 5 <u>http://www.idgconnect.com/blog-abstract/5387/how-is-business-intelligenc</u> <u>e-being-used-differently-asia</u>
- 6 <u>http://blog.au.logicalis.com/business-intelligence-and-analytics-top-priorities-for-cios-in-2016/</u>
- 7 <u>http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/business-intelligence-and-analytics-software-</u> <u>market-by-segment-by-services-by-deployment-mode-by-org-size-by-verticals-by-regions---</u> <u>global-forecast-to-2020-300104588.html</u>

- 8 <u>http://blogs.forrester.com/michael_barnes/12-05-17-bi_adoption_trends_in_asia_pacific_high_priority_poor_execution</u>
- 9 http://techseen.com/2016/12/12/business-analytics-2017-tableau/
- 10 <u>http://www.channelworld.in/news/80-apac-it-executives-lose-sales-because-slow-websites-</u> <u>survey-538222015</u>
- 11 https://www.cio-asia.com/tech/analytics/getting-big-on-big-data-and-analytics-in-2017/
- 12 <u>https://blog.opensymmetry.com/making-business-case-sales-performance-management-apac/</u>
- 13 http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/APJBA-07-2015-0064?journalCode=apjba
Chapter 4

Assessing Your Financial Institution's Decision-Making Ability Score

"There is no substitute for accurate knowledge. Know yourself, know your business, know your men."

Lee Iacocca

"It is hard to plan where you want to go in the future if you don't know where you are today."

Nils Rasmussen.

The purpose of this chapter is to help you assess the decision-making capabilities of your organization *today* versus those of a best-in-class business. By pinpointing the current ability of your information workers today to make optimal decisions and measuring these against an ideal state, it is easier to establish *where* you should be looking for improvement opportunities and then document these in your master plan to achieve world-class decision-making across your organization. As you go through the self-assessment for your organization, it should become quite clear (if it wasn't already) that people and processes are just as important as technology when it comes to establishing the right foundation for world-class decision-making.

It is a well-known fact that every organization needs to get to know its strengths and weaknesses to evaluate its capabilities and potential. Usually this is done in conjunction with corporate strategy documents and by using a popular matrix format referred to as a SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) analysis. However, because the purpose of this book is to help enable world-class decision-making through the use of modern BI technology, we are using a custom self-assessment format to help you determine the current status of decision-making capabilities in your organization.

You will find two tables below. The first one lists questions for each readiness area to help your thought process and insight when filling out the second table with answers for your own organization.

Readiness Area	Readiness Conversation Starters
Vision	 Do we have a vision of how the organization will operate with everyone having full, online access to a centralized BI solution? Are we able to see and verbalize the benefits of a BI solution to internal decision-makers and key users?
Executive Support	 Do we have, or will we be able to get, executive support for the purchase and implementation of a BI solution? Any major obstacles (such as other top priorities, change in management or upcoming mergers/acquisitions) to a BI project?
Infrastructure	 Do we have the hardware/platform infrastructure to support a BI project? Or are we better off implementing a cloud-based BI solution?
Availability of Data	 Do we have clean (or clean enough) data sources to feed to the BI solution? Are our current data sources open/accessible so data can be extracted? Do any critical source systems need to be in place prior to a BI solution?
Resources/Power Users	 Do we have capable internal resources to implement and maintain the BI solution? If not do we need to hire resources prior to the BI implementation? Are there any internal politics do deal with (such as "I don't want to learn another system")?
Funding Available	 Do we have, or can we get, the required funding? Should we purchase or rent (SaaS – software as a service) the BI solution?
Business Requirements Documented	 Do we have a structured business requirements document (BRD) that clearly lists and explains our requirements, both to assure internal agreement as well as to optimize communication with BI vendors? If not who will write it (internal resource or consultant)?
BI Solution Selection	 Who will create a short list of BI solutions to evaluate? Who will be on the internal selection committee? Have we documented how we are going to conduct the evaluation process? When is the best time to conduct the evaluation process?
Partner Selection	 Do we need outside assistance to conduct the evaluation? Can we save time/money by finding, e.g., a local implementation partner? Who are the most skilled partners/consultants we can work with?

Based on the above questions, you can now fill out the table below with your input. Fictitious answers have been entered in the three columns to the right to provide an example.

Readiness Area	Urgency	Preparedness	Status
	(Low/Mid/ High)	(Low/Mid/ High)	(Note: The comments below are examples)
Vision		High	Completed Business Requirement doc with 5 year vision.
Executive Support		Mid	CFO is sponsor.
Infrastructure	Low	High	Not needed. Will implement cloud solution.
Availability of Data	High	Mid	ERP and Payroll vendors have confirmed data export format/API. Data is clean.
Resources/Power Users	Mid	Mid	Hire BI analyst prior to implementation.
Funding Available	High	Mid	Waiting for board approval.
Business Requirements Documented	Mid	Low	Will be one of the first tasks for the new analyst we will hire.
BI Solution Selection	Mid	Mid	Have seen 1 st round of demos and narrowed down to 2 solutions
Partner Selection	Low	High	Have list of certified partners to select from.

As the figure below indicates, few companies have both mature financial planning and analysis processes and a strong, unified BI platform, and this presents a significant improvement opportunity for companies that want to drive their performance by using modern BI that helps enable employees to make world-class decisions.





Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

Below, you can see the typical situation of a company in the table on the left and what an ideal state may look like in the table on the right. The vast majority of Asia Pacific companies find themselves in the "Typical Situation" table, as they have added different systems over time, and often without looking at the bigger need of the organization to centralize key data and use fewer, more integrated and easier business intelligence tools. The result is sub-optimized decision-making, frustration, higher cost, more training requirements, and so on.



The "ideal situation" table on the right in the figure above shows an example of integrated BI technologies that, when carefully selected based on proper needs analysis and successfully implemented, can result in numerous benefits and ultimately act like a single solution that enables world-class decision-making across the company. In other words, the two aforementioned tables serve as an illustration of typical, core BI technologies managed in an uncoordinated, non-strategic fashion and with the resulting lack of benefits versus a highly planned, strategic implementation of a BI platform with examples of major advantages achieved.

Executive Summary

As you read this book and consult with your own leadership team about the potential benefits to your organization when it comes to deploying a modern BI solution for reporting, planning and analysis, the previous chapters, this chapter, as well as the answers you have provided in the two tables above should provide you with a high-level understanding of what BI solutions offer and how your organization can benefit to help enable world-class decision-making for all your information workers. In the following chapters, we will start discussing the planning, reporting and analysis processes as well as examples of what such models and a related implementation could look like.

Readiness checklist

Item	Your Score	Your Notes
	(1 lowest - 10 best)	
Self-assessment document		
prepared/tailored to your		
organization		
Self-assessment document		
distributed to key assessors		
Self-assessment document		
completed		
Management meeting		
to discuss result of		
self-assessment		
Management team		
conclusion and action items		
Total Score		

Chapter 5

Creating a Closed-Loop Planning, Reporting and Analysis Process

"The secret of getting ahead is getting started. The secret of getting started is breaking your complex overwhelming tasks into small manageable tasks, and then starting on the first one."

– Mark Twain

Every company has many tasks and processes, but a key question to ask the team is whether there is a purpose for them and if it has an impact on the decisions being made in the organization. At the same time, can managers make the correct decisions with the information being provided, and are they capable of knowing when a decision is important? As an example, one response that should never be stated is, "We don't know why we do this process, but we have performed it this way for years." Processes like that must be challenged for APAC companies to continually improve and impact decision-making. This section will concentrate on improving these tasks and processes and ensuring that the strategy is aligned with the reporting, planning, and analysis. Closing the loop refers to tying these processes together.

This chapter will concentrate on the chart below, which shows that a company should always strategize, which leads to planning, and then an analysis of the data, which may lead to operation changes. The operation changes may then lead to strategy changes, and the process continues. There are sections later in the book that will concentrate on specific examples, but this chapter concentrates on the best practices of strategy, planning, and analysis.



Planning, which encompasses budgeting and forecasting, articulates the values used to evaluate growth as well as how capital, both people and money, will be distributed across the organization. The development of the budget and forecast will be more effective when it is connected to the corporate strategy. Connecting the strategy and planning enables employees to comprehend the strategic objectives and targets, which will ensure that their decisions take the corporate strategy into account. The communication of the strategy must be consistent and ongoing. The comprehension of the strategy leads to better performance across the organization, as the employees will allocate resources to match and surpass the goals and objectives of the organization.

Setting Strategy

Harvard Business Review research reveals that, on average, 95% of a company's employees are unaware of, or do not understand, its strategy, and 85% of executive teams spend less than one hour each month discussing strategy¹. These numbers should scare all executives into working to improve these numbers internally. If the employees are closest to customers, vendors, and partners and in charge of daily decisions, then how can they be effective if the majority of them don't know the strategy? Also, how can any improvements be made in the strategy if executives are spending less than 5% of their time developing and improving the strategy? It is just not effective and it will impact revenue and profitability without changes. One other statistic: less than 10% of strategies effectively formulated are effectively executed.²

Setting strategy is not only integral; it is a mandatory criteria of any successful business. But the steps to implement the strategy and track the success of the strategy are just as important. An executive can create a strategy that will increase revenue and profitability by 20%, but it is futile if it is not communicated or executed properly. The first step is communication, and below are simple steps to improve it across the organization:

- ✓ Change it Up: confirm that the message to employees can be understood and acted upon by every employee. Ask questions, have fun games, and continually discuss it during meetings and company presentations. Try to find creative ways to communicate the strategy rather than a consistent way.
- ✓ Narratives: use stories and examples, from employees and managers, to emphasize the strategy and how it is working.

¹ http://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication%20Files/05-071.pdf

² http://wunderlin.com/effectively-execute-your-strategic-plan/#.WDth17IrK00

- ✓ Inspire, Educate, and Highlight: motivate employees by showing successes across the organization. Get quotes from customers, vendors and partners and demonstrate how the company is doing versus its goals.
- ✓ Deliver in Many Ways: executives, managers, and employees should help communicate the strategy to everyone. If employees regularly converse about it with other employees, then there is ultimately success.
- ✓ Think Outside the Box: employees have many different ways to communicate, so it is important to try many different ways, such as using social media, blogging, and one-on-one conversations.
- ✓ **Invest**: if initiatives and strategy could be implemented more successfully and efficiently by investing money, then most companies would do it immediately. So determine what the cost and payback is and then move forward.

The strategy and the plan must be completed prior to setting a budget or forecast and before analyzing the financials. Below are some steps to ensure that the strategy is finalized:

- ✓ Visualize: document it in ways that will be visual to employees rather than simply writing it out.
- ✓ **Communicate**: see above for the details.
- ✓ **Limit the Initiatives**: if there are too many strategic initiatives, then none of them will be completed; therefore, only have two to three initiatives and then multiple tasks to support them.
- ✓ **Assignment**: one person should be assigned who is ultimately responsible for each initiative.
- ✓ Manage: the initiatives need to be managed, which includes documenting the tasks, creating a timeline, assigning resources, and monitoring the success. Meet weekly or bimonthly to review the initiatives and ask the following three questions: 1) "Were the promises made at the last meeting met?" 2) "What will happen prior to the next meeting?" and 3) "Are there any impediments or risks to completing the initiatives?"
- ✓ Set Goals: the goals should be aligned with the strategic plan and should be measurable and achievable. If goals are not measurable, then consider changes to the operational or financial structure to accommodate the need. As an example, if there is a specific KPI in the company's industry and it is not possible to track, then look into ways to make this happen. Without a way to track and measure goals, then there is no way to know what success is and whether the company achieved its goals.
- ✓ **Rewards**: there should be rewards for the employees that complete initiatives that drive profitability and revenue growth.
- ✓ **Decisions**: it is important that decisions are being made, but if a decision is not correct, then the management team works to fix it. Decisions are made with the best information at the time, but no one should be stubborn about changing up an incorrect decision. Don't hope that it will change if all of the information is stating that the direction is not correct.

Planning

Planning consists of creating both an annual budget and forecasts. A forecast can include a rollingforecast, five-year forecast, monthly forecast, and a quarterly forecast. The process to put together a budget or forecast can be very complex and time-consuming for companies, but this section will discuss the best practices of the planning process and how it relates to strategy.

For budgeting, a good practice is to create a top-down and a bottom-up budget. The top-down budget should be set as part of the strategy session and is an efficient practice to determine if the strategies will be successful. This involves more general increases and decreases, but it would be aligned with the strategy of the company. The bottom-up budget would be determined by the employees after the strategy has been communicated and finalized with the management team and employees involved in the budgeting process. If there is a substantial difference at the end of the two processes, then it must be analyzed to determine the differences.

The difficulty is aligning the strategy to the planning process. Many discussions about investments and budget reductions must take place prior to the planning process. For example, it may not be possible to increase revenue by 50% without hiring more employees or cutting departmental costs by 20% without having any loss in production. Therefore, it is imperative that any decisions on investments, hiring, and financing are finalized and documented prior to the start of the planning process.

There may be times when a strategy has been set but a manager provides good reasons why the strategy may not work or why the goal is impossible to reach. Meet with the employee and discuss the issues and determine a fair common ground. It is essential that everyone is on board with the strategies and that the goals set are fair and achievable.

Below are practices that should be followed to ensure an efficient process:

- ✓ **Software**: most companies still use native Excel for their planning, but it is difficult to consolidate, prone to errors, contains links that are hard to maintain, and overall can be very time consuming. Purchase planning software that can ensure more efficient tools, fewer errors, less manual work, and better accessibility. Planning software also allows version control, the ability to consolidate, template control, security, and improved reporting and analysis. An Excel add-in product may be the best solution for administrators, as they already have Excel skills and then use a web portal for the end-users to enter their data.
- ✓ **Standardize**: use standardized and secure templates across the organization. Employees should not be able to modify the templates themselves, and the templates should be used across multiple departments rather than each department needing a specific template.

- ✓ **Workflow**: have a practical workflow and timeline that allows management to review status in real-time and reinforce the approval process.
- ✓ Timeline: determine the timeline, document it in detail and communicate it to all parties involved. The timeline should include when the budget administrators start the budget, through approval, and finally consolidation of the budget.
- ✓ Lock Down: once the budget is complete, then it should be locked down.

Reporting and Analysis

There is an old saying that that states, "If you can measure it, then you can manage it." As stated above, it is imperative that the data needed to analyze the strategy is available. If not, then the strategy can't be managed, as there will be no ability to report on it or analyze it.

Reporting and analysis should concentrate on the areas of the business that impact revenue growth, costs, and profitability. Every company has a handful of reports, but do the reports have value for the management in order to impact the decisions that are made? Companies may be able to eliminate many reports by creating dashboards that present KPIs (key performance indicators) and because the reports have no impact on decision making.

In our experience, we have seen reports that have hundreds of tabs while others have thousands of cells to review, and then there are companies that have hundreds of reports. The question to ask is, how can managers and employees review these reports and know what is truly important? How does an employee look at this much data and make a decision that has an impact on the company? Instead, interview the managers and employees and ask them what is important to them and why it is important and create a new report or dashboard for their use. Then eliminate the noise of the reports and simplify it for them by only showing what they need to know. If there are issues, then detailed reports should be available for review.

Review the monthly reporting process and document the goals and the agenda of the meetings. Evaluate the purpose, the takeaways, the follow-ups and the communication during the meetings. As an example, is the finance and accounting department running the financial statements and then reviewing these with the management team, or are they creating an executive summary that concentrates on specific KPIs that drive growth and profitability.

The monthly process should also be tied to the strategy and the success or failure of the strategy based on the analytics. As an example, if one goal of the strategy is to increase revenue by 20% by the end of quarter one and increase profitability by 30%, then these KPIs should be reviewed in comparison to the goal during these meetings. If the goals are not being met, then review the

strategy and goals. Discuss and determine if the goals are too aggressive or if the strategy is not working and needs to be modified to meet the goals. Create an action item list with a timeline to resolve.

One other area that must be considered is how to hold employees accountable to the goals that have been set. The managers should be involved in the decision-making to set goals, but once they are set, then they should be responsible for meeting those goals. Each month, the managers should enter any comments, and if they are continually short of their goals, then there should be warnings, write-ups, and potentially termination or demotion.

Below are additional best practices in regards to reporting and analysis:

- ✓ Process: improve the process to enable more time for decision-making. Use technology to assist in improving the processes and enabling access to data to assist in better decision-making.
- ✓ Review Variances: examine variances monthly with department heads and document the reasons for the variances. The comments should be saved and accessible anytime.
- ✓ Action Items: determine and document any action items that must be taken to improve negative variances or to enhance positive variances.
- ✓ KPIs: create a KPI report for management and separate dashboards for each department head. The dashboard should provide managers with the ability to be observant and prepared for any possible issue that may arise. KPIs should be achievable and clearly visible, and all wins should be celebrated.
- ✓ Performance: use KPIs to view the top performers and to ensure that the underperformers are not hiding. The KPIs provide evidence and facts and remove speculation around success and failure.
- ✓ All Employees: try to have at least one KPI on every person in the organization. The employee and the manager should have access to the KPI to view at any time.
- ✓ Forward Thinking: typically, KPIs are based on what has happened, but include at least a couple of forward-thinking KPIs.
- ✓ Monthly Meeting: change the monthly meetings to concentrate on the KPIs and use the reports as backup or for additional detail. Create an agenda and an executive summary to ensure that the meeting stays on task and concentrates on the main areas of success and concern.

Best in Class Internal Processes

The question that may come to mind is how to create a best-in-class process and implement it across the organization. Our organization changed the monthly financial process and our monthly reporting, and analysis process has become much improved. Prior to the changes, our financial statements were emailed out to the executive team, and some questions were emailed back to the controller. Our first step was to whiteboard what the purpose of the meeting was and what we were each looking for from these meetings. The next step was to determine the areas that impact our decision-making and ascertain if the data exists. We mapped out a plan to change the process, documented it, and reviewed it. Once everyone was on board, then we assigned tasks and set a timeline. Since then we have continually pushed ourselves not to be complacent in our meetings and strive for constant improvement.

The *why* question is what is truly important here. The executives felt it must be changed in order for us to improve our decision-making and to ensure that decisions were being made on correct information. Previously, each of the executives would review the financial statements and come to different conclusions and then never discuss it with anyone else. Also, the decisions were being made without having data to analyze it and no way to determine afterwards if it was successful or not. There were also no KPIs or goals to track the success or failures of the strategies and initiatives; therefore, we knew we had to change our processes to succeed.

The following phase was to determine the KPIs that were most important to our business, and we made a list of them. Some of the measures could not be calculated, such as an international gross profit, departmental gross profit, or renewal rates on maintenance and support. Therefore, we brainstormed how we would allocate the general ledger data with a goal to calculate the KPIs efficiently. We documented it, manually tested it, and then built the allocations within our software. The allocation calculations were based on headcount, square feet, international revenue, and allocation of executive time to departments, but we also moved the majority of salaries and benefits to cost of sales in order to calculate a gross margin for each department. The departmental income statements can be viewed and analyzed either pre-allocation or post-allocation, which allows the managers to review their department's EBITDA prior to allocation or view the gross margin after allocation. The gross margin after allocation enables the managers to concentrate on improving efficiencies, and they use it as a tool for hiring practices.

Subsequently, we had to add any data that we didn't have, which mostly concentrated on revenue detail and the way it was being booked. Our accounting department went through a thorough validation each month to ensure that each detail line item had the correct information and provided thorough revenue analysis.

We now had the data to calculate the KPIs and we manually created them in Excel to ensure that they were reasonable. Our team created the KPIs in the reporting tool, created the dashboards, and validated the values to ensure correctness. The KPIs are the first item that reviewed with managers and executives during our monthly analysis meeting. This is analyzed and reviewed prior to examining the detail reports, such as the income statements, balance sheet, sales by person, and accounts receivable.

The departmental managers also now have a monthly process to review their financials. Previously, it was sent to them with no discussion, and KPIs were never even discussed with them. Our accounting department reviews variances and documents the reasons for the variances, such as increased commission payments, lower revenue due to a low bill rate, and higher support renewals due to a marketing campaign.

The entire management team now has much better information to make decisions and is held accountable to the data during the monthly analysis. Some of the data, such as revenue, can be viewed daily, and others, such as financials, are viewed monthly. The process derived is detailed in a calendar that the management team has access to. Below is a sample of a monthly calendar of important steps that the management team is responsible for annually.

March	1-t Month	Middle of Marith	End Month	Varies Throughout Month
irsen	Social - Company Destination Event - Location Planning D4 Commission Calculations	Best Places to Work in Los Angeles - Application Determine Top Performers for Top Performers Trip Desartmental & Corporate Plaancial Beurey & Constants	Plan and Book Location for Solver Strategy Meeting Loadership Team Weeting	Si Monthly Project Teak Meetings
elor anti e		Departmental & Corporate Financial Review & Comments	Executive Meeting	Bi Monthly Project Task Meetings
Varch		Departmental & Corporate Financial Review & Comments	Best Places to Work in Los Angelies - Company Survey	Bi-Monthly Project Task Meetings
April	Best Places to Work In Los Angeles - Email List Q1 Commission Calculations	Departmental & Corporate Pinancial Review & Comments Best Places to Work. In Les Angeles - Employee Survey	Coverterly Powerast Leadership Team Meeting	Open Envirol Intern Announcements and Emails Bi-Monthly Project Task Meetings Gartner Masis Qualitant Decision
May	Focus - Start Planning Destination for following Year	Top Ferformers Trip Management Strategy Meeting Departmental & Corporate Financial Review & Commonta	Executive Meeting	5-Monthly Project Task Meetings Benefit Selections by Employees
-		Departmental & Corporate Pinancial Review & Comments		Si-Monthly Project Task Meetings
a.dv	G2 Commission Celculations	Desertmentel & Corporate Financial Review & Comments Departmental Scrategy Due	Leadership Team Meeting Quarterly Porecast	Di-Monthile Project Task Meetings Sage Summit Conference
upst	Tocus - Finalize Location and Contract for Following Year Pocus Presentation Practice and Recordings	Departmental & Corporate Financial Review & Commenta	Focus Conference Company Destination Event Solver Day - International Mix Pre-Focus	Best Places to Work in Las Angeles - Announcement Bi-Monthly Project Task Meetings
teslet	Social - Plan for Holiday Events	Departmental & Corporate Financial Review & Commonts	Executive Meeting	B: Monthly Project Task Meetings Gartner Megic Quedrant Evaluation and Questionnein
	Solver Budget Kickoff OS Commission Calculations	Solver Salet Revenue Budget Departmental & Comporate Financial Review & Comments	Leadership Team Meeting Quarterly Forecast	Bi-Monthly Project Task Meetings Dynamics Summit Conference
wender	Solver Departmental Revenue Budget Solver Revenue Budget Final Jaco	Solver Departmental Personnel Budget Solver Departmental Expense Budget Departmental & Composite Financial Review & Comments	Executive Meeting	Performance Reviews - Write Ups by Managers (All B: Monthly Project Task Meetings
ecember	Determine Year-End Bonuses	Solver Budget Final Led and Distributed Departmental & Corporate Financial Review & Comments Decument Company Holidays - Realicon	tos Angeles Office Holiday Dinner	Ferformance Reviews with Employees Bi-Monthly Project Tack Meetings

Readiness Checklist

Item	Your Score	Notes
	(1 Lowest – 10 Best)	
Documented Strategy		
Completed		
Implemented a Communication		
Plan Regarding the Strategy		
Documented Goals that can		
be Tracked and Compared to		
Actual		
Review Strategic Initiatives		
and those Responsible are Held		
Accountable to Complete		
Link Strategy and Goals to		
Budget Process		
Create Calendar for Periodic		
Meetings to Review Status of		
Strategic Initiatives vs. Goals		
Total		

Chapter 6

Designing Your Own World-Class CPM Model - A Blueprint

"My interest is in the future because I am going to spend the rest of my life there."

--C.F. Kettering

The purpose of a CPM solution in an organization has been discussed in prior chapters; therefore, this chapter will review how to design a CPM solution and will provide many examples, including strategy, goals, planning, and analysis.

Strategy and Goals

There are numerous reasons for communicating strategy, and many were listed in prior chapters, but very few Asia Pacific entities include strategy as part of the planning process. The managers and employees who are involved in the budgeting and forecasting process must know the strategy and goals prior to the planning process, but it also should be front and center while entering the data. A goal of the organization should be to provide the strategy and goals prior, during, and after the data entry.

Corporate

First define the company, which includes the company's purpose, core values, and big hairy audacious goal. Some of these may not change over time but it should still be reviewed and entered annually to ensure that they are being reviewed. Other areas, such as SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats), industry trends, and key initiatives will change regularly and can be modified quarterly. Below is a sample of an input form that allows input to the items above.

Company's Purpose
We will inspire our employees to be the best they can be. We will engage in sustainable practices and anticipate the needs of our customers.
We will maximize return to the stockholders while still maintaining quality in our products.
Core Values and Beliefs
Build Relationships that Last
Be Optimistic
Honest Communications
Strive for Balance
Show that you Care
Strengths
Full BI Suite
Very effective marketing and sales model
Low cost for implementation
Employee expertise in BI
Brand awareness

Weaknesses	Trends
Training of partners	Cloud adopters
5-10 year product vision	Mining into more data
Direct deals to customers outside of partner channel	Self-service analytics
International expansion	Data visualization & integration
Opportunities	Big Hairy Audacious Goal (BHAG)
Expand partner network	To be the premier BI company
OEM channels	
New ERP offerings	
Expand geographically around the world	
Threats	Key Initiatives
Competitors that merge or large company buys a competitor	Cloud enablement
Loss of focus	Expand and improve partner network
Pressure to lower prices	ERP Rollouts
Economic slowdown	Grow internationally

The second section of the template is inputting strategic goals based on the inputs above. These values should be used as a basis for the budget and forecast. Goals are only valuable if the actual data can be compared against it on a monthly, quarterly, and annual timeframe. As an example, if a goal is to increase retention of customers to 95% annually, but there is no way to track if the goal is reached, then it is not a valuable goal. Typically the goals should be input quarterly for the first year or two and then annually over the next three to four years. The goals versus actual reporting should be visible and personal to the management team. It should also be the start of the monthly meeting to review the financials. Below is a sample input form. The goals inputs are FTEs, cash, gross profit, net income, revenue, accounts receivable days, number of customers, and revenue per employee.

	01-2017	Q2-2017	03-2017	Q4-2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
FTEs	52.4	52.9	53.5	54.0	56.2	58.4	60.7	63.2
Earning Assets	125,000,000	126,250,000	127,512,500	128,787,625	133,939,130	139,296,695	144,868,563	150,663,306
Deposits	100,000,000	101,000,000	102,010,000	103,030,100	107,151,304	111,437,356	115,894,850	120,530,644
Net Income	1,500,000	1,515,000	1,530,150	1,545,452	1,607,270	1,671,560	1,738,423	1,807,960
Revenues	3,750,000	3,835,443	3,923,462	4,014,160	4,229,657	4,457,494	4,698,440	4,953,314
NPAs	2.50%	2.49%	2.48%	2.47%	2.46%	2.45%	2.44%	2.43%
# of Households	4,000	4,040	4,080	4,121	4,286	4,457	4,636	4,821
Revenue per FTE	71,542	72,448	73,377	74,329	75,308	76,312	77,343	78,402

The report below compares the goals entered against the year-to-date actual. It also shows the remaining goals over a five-year period and all of the information about the company that was entered above. This allows for an analysis of the goals and the strategy at the same time.

Strategic Report Consolidated								
Account Description	YTD Actual	YTD Goal	Variance	% Variance	2018 Goal	2019 Goal	2020 Goal	2021 Goal
FTEs	141	145	(4)	-2.7%	300	325	350	400
Cash & Equivalents	4,416,530	4,300,000	116,530	2.7%	5,000,000	6,000,000	7,000,000	8,000,000
Gross Profit	45,712,094	45,000,000	712,094	1.6%	65,000,000	70,000,000	75,000,000	80,000,000
Net income	953,703	750,000	203,703	27.2%	1,025,000	2,000,000	3,000,000	4,000,000
Revenue	57,511,584	55,500,000	2,011,584	3.6%	80,000,000	90,000,000	105,000,000	130,000,000
Account Receivable Days	40	38	2	5.3%	38	38	38	38
# of Customers	150	145	5	3.4%	700	880	1,020	1,300
Revenue by Employee	407,508	382,759	24,749	6.5%	266,667	276,923	300,000	325,000
Strengths Full BI Suite Very effective marketing and sales model low cost for implementation Employee expertise in BI				Weaknesses Training of par 5-10 year prod Direct deals to International of	luct vision customers ou	tside of partne	r channel	
Brand awareness				Threats				
Opportunities Expand partner network OEM channels New IRP offerings Expand geographically around the world				Competitors th Loss of focus Pressure to low Economic slow	wer prices	rge company b	uys a competi	tor
Trends Cloud adopters Mining into more data Self-service analytics Data visualization				Big Hairy Aud To be the prem		Y		

Departmental

The departmental strategy and goals are very similar to the corporate templates, but a lot of the sections are not needed. The company's purpose, core values, trends, threats, and big audacious goal are not necessary, as these derive from the corporate strategy and are pushed down to the departments. However, knowing each department's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats is very important and should be documented as it can change over time. This can be viewed at the corporate level as well to see how weaknesses are improved upon, strengths are magnified,

opportunities are taken advantage of, and threats are minimized. Entering goals is very important, not just at the corporate level but for each department. The departmental goals should correspond to the corporate goals. The input should be similar to the corporate level. Below is a sample of the report for the sales department:

Strategic Report								
Sales Department								
Account Description	YTD Actual	YTD Goal	Variance	% Variance	2018 Goal	2019 Goal	2020 Goal	2021 Goal
Product Sales	7,562,564	7,547,757	314,837	4.2%	10,016,160	11,017,776	12,119,554	13,331,509
# of New Customers	150	145	5	3.4%	700	880	1,020	1,300
Average Profit Margin/Sale	26,543	25,000	1,543	6.2%	25,000	27,500	30,250	33,275
Number of Qualified Leads	923	950	(27)	-2.8%	1,200	1,320	1,500	1,650
Close Rate	16.3%	15.3%	1.0%	6.5%	17.0%	20.0%	25.0%	30.05
Average Software Price	50,417	50,000	417	0.8%	50,000	55,000	60,000	65,000
Strengths				Weaknesses				
Global sales team who is knowledgeable in BI				Some Salespeo	ple are Underp	erformers		
Sell a full BI Suite				Communicatio	n Between Serv	rice and Sales		
Sales team is trustworthy				Too Quick to D	iscount			
Customer Service				Inconsistency	in Message Acr	ross Sales Team	n	
Demo Models				Turnover				
Opportunities				Threats				
Replace Weak Performers				Increased Com	petition			
Enterprise Customers				Complacency				
Improved Training				Social Media				
Improve Processes to Improve Consistency				Economic Slow	down			

Strategic Initiatives

Initiatives are internal projects that enable strategies to be successful. Strategies without detailed plans and persons responsible for their success will fail. Companies should not have more than a few initiatives a year, as it is imperative that employees across the organization understand them. However, within each initiative, there will be many projects and tasks that need to be completed in order to complete the initiative and hit or surpass the goals.

The samples below will assist in setting up a culture of completing the initiatives on time. A manager or executive should be assigned as the person responsible to complete each initiative project. In order to succeed, it is imperative that the executives and managers responsible for completing these projects meet on a regular basis, whether weekly or bi-monthly to check status as discussed in the prior chapter.

Below is an example of a template that can be used to track the initiative tasks. The following cells are entered or chosen in this example: the responsible employee for the task, task description, the initiative chosen from the drop-down box, current status, the expected completion data, and the percentage completed.

Corporate Strategy - Initiative Tasks

Responsible	Initiative Task	Strategic Initiative	Status	Completion Date	% Complete
Luis Pierzynski	Secure Servers	Cloud enablement	Met with vendors. Securing pricing,	06/01/17	35%
Tracy Molina	Set software pricing	Cloud enablement	Created initial pricing document. Sharing with other entities.	06/30/17	50%
Dustin McCann	Work on cloud operations manual	Cloud enablement	Met with IT, Development, Sales, and Marketing for outline of plan.	09/30/17	30%
Carlos Johnson	Finalize metadata for ERP XYZ	ERP Follouts	Currently testing multiple reports on data on multiple modules.	06/30/17	63%
Michael Carroll	Rollout for ERP ABC	DRP Relieuts	Finalizing marketing and release notes.	04/30/17	80%
Arianna Jackson	Partner program	Expand and improve partner network	Document manual and communicate internally and externally.	05/15/17	65%
Roy Podsednik	Market to new ERP's	Expand and improve partner network	Look for partners for EBP's ABC and XYZ. Contact and communicate advantages of our software.	04/15/17	50%
Freddie Mauer	Africa Office	Grow internationally	Have interviewed many leaders and narrowing down to 2. Then present to board.	05/30/17	40%

Accountability

estate Strategy - Accountability

Along with initiatives, an organization can track the successes and failures of employees. They can also track the importance of each task to the organization. This way, an employee who completes many tasks may seem more valuable than an employee who only completes a few tasks, but by tracking this it may be viewed that the latter is much more valuable. An example is an employee who completes 10 mundane tasks that each take a couple of hours to complete. They are still vital, but many employees could have completed these same tasks. The latter employee, however, worked on tasks that required planning, dedication, is vital to the growth of the company, and required many hours of work.

The example below lists each of the tasks from the example above and allows for just a few inputs: status on what was promised from the last meeting, a Yes/No question on whether it was completed, what is expected to be completed prior to the next meeting, and a score of 1-5 entered by an administrator. A score of one (1) is a task that has a low impact on the organization while a score of five (5) has a high impact. The actual score, the last column, will be equal to the corporate score if the task was completed. If it was not completed, then the score will be zero (0).

Responsible		Last Update's Activities		Completed?	Activities to Complete for next Update		Account Score
luis Pierzynski	Secure Servers	Finalize contracts with vendors	Contracts were finalized.	Tes	Sign contracts with vendors	3	1
Tracy Molina	Set software priding	Finalize draft document	Draft document completed	Tes	Send out draft 1 to executive team	4	
Justin McCann	Work on cloud operations manual	Document chapter 1 on Cloud Summary	Cloud summary started, but not finalized.	No	Finish Cloud 1 Summary, Document chapter 2 on Iff downtime	5	
arlos Johnson	Finalize metadata for BRP 301Z	Finalize first version of mota-data	Metadata version completed & ready for testing	THES	Test 3 reports on the first metadata version	1	
ichael Carroll	Rollout for ERP AIRC	Finish version 1 of documentation	Documentation completed and approved	Tes	Finalize documentation and port to site	2	3
rianno Jackson	Partner program	Finalize version 1 of the documentation	Partner program documented	Tes	Discuss proposal with executive team	4	
loy Podsednik	Market to new DDP's	Finish marketing plan & get approval from board	Marketing plan approved, Board has more questions.	No	Meet with board, Announce marketing plan and send press release	3	
reddie Mauer	Africa Office	Finalize selection of new Office CED	New CEO approved by beard	THES	Finalize contract with new office	3	

Multiple reports or a dashboard can be created to analyze the data. Below is a dashboard example that first has a table showing tasks started, completed, and an accountability score. Then there is a pie chart on the accountability score, and the bottom graph displays total tasks started versus completed by month.



Budgeting

Budgeting is a topic that is not very popular in most companies, and it can be a very timeconsuming project for many employees. This is why it is important to communicate the importance of a budget to all employees so they take pride and ownership of it. Also, don't make complex templates, as the goal is an estimate for the coming year. If employees can't have small variances, then they will make decisions that only impact their results against the budget and not what is in the best long-term interest of the company.

The goal of a budget should be to give an approximate estimation of how the organization will do in the coming year and to provide information on how investment money can be spent, which should be based on the company strategies and initiatives. The goal should not be to budget down to each dollar. As an example, one company budgeted down to an employee cost of \$6.52 per month per employee. The company was asked whether the cost could be estimated as a total for each department, but they claimed they needed it down to the dollar; however, budgeting at the department level and allowing a five percent variance would have had no impact on the decisions that the company made. Another important item to understand is what an acceptable variance for an organization is. As an example, if there is a five percent variance in the revenue, then would this impact the decisions that the company will make in the coming year?

This section will review bottom-up budgeting and provide many examples of these templates. Other sections will discuss templates specific to an industry, tying the budget to the strategic goals, and top-down budgeting.

The one template that has a lot of impact for companies is personnel budgeting due to the high proportion of costs of an organization. This is the one template that has similarities across all companies, but at the same time, very few can use the exact same template. There are many variables to consider, such as the type of raise, how many raises, overtime, bonuses, commissions, taxes, benefits, and IT costs to name a few. It is also important to know whether to budget by employee or by position.

Budgeting by position allows an organization to ignore employee names, terminations, and having to hire employees to replace a terminated employee. It also allows budgeting by multiple employees. As an example, a template can allow a manager to input how many accountants should be employed, what the average salary is, and what the average raise would be. When budgeting by employees, then each accountant should be listed, the employee's exact salary, each person's expected raise, and all other information specific to each employee. Budgeting by employee will be more accurate if there is little employee turnover, but it also requires more work.

Other items to keep in mind with personnel is how to allocate monthly salary, which impacts tax and benefit calculations. Salary can be allocated evenly, by work days in a month, by calendar days, by pay periods, and in other numerous ways. Another item to consider is separating out full-time and part-time employees, as there may be differences in the benefits that are allocated to part-time employees, such as overtime and 401k. These are all calculations that must be built into the payroll form.

A good first step is creating an assumptions template, which allows an administrator to enter data that will impact the calculations of many templates and therefore does not have to be hardcoded into the templates themselves. This data will flow from the form into all other templates. Below is an example of an assumptions template, which includes payroll tax rates, worker's compensation rate, benefit rate (for health, dental, and vision), and the number of days in each month, which is used for accurate monthly allocation:

Payroll Assumption	Account	Period	Rate	Maximum	Medicare
Taxes					
Payroll Taxes - FICA	61500		6.20%	118,500	1.45%
Payroll Taxes - FUTA	61510		6.00%	7,000	
Payroll Taxes - SUTA	61520		0.90%	7,000	
Payroll Taxes - Workers Compensation	61530		5.00%		
Benefits			Monthly \$		
Benefits	61540		400		
Days per Month					
Days per Month	90020	Jan	31		
Days per Month	90020	Feb	28		
Days per Month	90020	Mar	31		
Days per Month	90020	Apr	30		
Days per Month	90020	May	31		
Days per Month	90020	Jun	30		
Days per Month	90020	Jul	31		
Days per Month	90020	Aug	31		
Days per Month	90020	Sep	30		
Days per Month	90020	Oct	31		
Days per Month	90020	Nov	30		
Days per Month	90020	Dec	31		
Total Days			365		

Assumption Form for Budget

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

The data from the assumption form will then flow into the payroll form. The print screen below will not be able to show all of the monthly benefits and taxes calculated, as the template has 142 columns. Each tax and benefit will have 13 columns – one for each of the 12 months and one for the subsequent benefit or tax total. In the print screen below, the summary of each tax and benefit will show as a monthly total at the bottom.

Employeet		Him	10171	Armit			100	1.11	5 trailing	INK		10000	former line					-								100.00
									1000				11.74			10000		May 28			August					
iales & Marketing																										
full Time Depkyrer																										
Roy Podsednik	Sales Manager	05/05/05		2,180.0	77.00	180,360	Apr	10.7%	84.76	176,176	5,000		¥.	11,613	12,288	13,605	31,480	DOM:	34,480	1000	34,963	34,480	DOM:	\$4,480	34,048	173,22
Arianna Jackson	Marketing Dweller	08/68/08		2,080.0	12.48	181,075			12.43	151,075		35,000	¥.	12,811	11.569	11,801	22,417	12,811	12,417	12,811	12,803	11,412	12,810	12,417	12,841	281.07
doen Toung	Madering Manager	01/05/01	.3.N	2,180.0	\$2.25	136,120			\$2.25	120,130			¥	30,202	8,255	36,262	5,879	10,302	9,673	0		0		0	0	5854
Test With sphere	Marketing Courd.	06/12/54		2,185.0	15.00	71,800			25.00	70,800				6,585	5.585	6,185	5,954	4,185	5,984	6,585	6,187	5,984	6,185	5,984	6,585	72.88
Riley Cust	Sales man	00/01/04		2,580.0	45.50	94,540			45.50	91,610			Υ.	8,218	7,260	8,008	7,779	8,018	1,739	8,218	8,008	7,779	8,058	2,229	8,138	94,54
Kovin Stubbo	Salicsman	05/65/05		2,080.0	43.75	91,000			48.75	90.800				1,729	6.981	1,129	2,679	7,725	7,479	2,729	1.729	2,479	7,729	7.419	7,729	91.00
logs lowerd	Salesman	01/05/91		2,140.0	3675	76,440			36.75	76,440			¥.	6,412	5.864	6,452	6,213	6,412	6,265	6,412	6.492	6,280	6,402	6.203	6.452	76,44
Asia Halser	Salars man	04/12/14		2,180.0	36.75	76,440			36.73	76,440			¥.	6,412	5,864	6,480	6,285	6,410	6,265	6,412	6.400	6,285	6,402	6,385	6,482	76,44
Alterny Server av	Sales man	07/15/08		2,180.0	16.01	24,897			16.02	71,817			¥	4.541	3,708	6.562	6,256	4.041	4.236	6.961	6.963	6.156	4.86	6.235	6,161	24,89
									0.00	0				0	0		0		0	0				0	0	
										Total Full	Tine			70,911	70,389	21,990	76,710	79,291	76,733	41,019	41.003	64,968	41,009	66.860	03,149	802.08
Part Time Employne																										
Neftali Olap	Salesman	06/06/04		2,180.0	30.00	62,485			20.00					5,508	4,787	5,500	5,129	5,300	5,529	5,508	5,500	5,129	5,308	5,129	5,500	62,48
mailion Branshop	Safes man	05/65/55		2,180.0	24.89	51,355			24.03	51,315				4,542	1,942	4,362	4,221	4,862	4,221	4,312	4,962	4,222	4,862	4,223	4,162	51,55
									0.00															0	0	
			_					_	0.00	0								- 6	. 0					0		
										Total Par	Time			9,611	8,795	9,563	8,258	9,068	8,750	9,811	9,583	9,150	9,615	9,250	9,582	5118,75
								_	40810 Pull Ti-	or Callery				77,811	70,389	27,995	76,710	79.291	76,713	60,009	08.085	04,360	40,009	64.860	08,789	808.08
									BOBDID Pull TH					8.0	767	840	822	840	832	819	80	800	810	822	840	38.08
									NOTIO PART TO					475	394	475	411	471	413	60	421	411	425	411	475	1.00
									ABDID Part T-					9,601	8.725	8,562	8,258	9.003	8,750	9,801	8.003	8,355	8.662	8,750	8,562	113.75
									40040 Part Til															0	0	
									43050 Part No.	ne - Bonue																
									sitter Peuroli	Trans-Fo	CA.			6,758	6.140	6,795	1.600	6.902	6.180	6.102	6.127	4.992	4,528	4,380	4.120	78.05
									48550 Pagnoli	Team - Fil	illa -			4,211	405									0	0	4.62
								- 1	41520 Reynold	Taxes - 50	ina -			612	41				0					0	0	
									42500 Paunett	Taxes - W	anana.	CONSIGN	Lation .	4,443	4,013	4,443	4,318	4,812	4,766	4,001	4,000	8.872	4.005	8.872	4,001	19.20
									kitiviä lieneite					1.610	3,600	3,140	3,600	3,600	3.600	1,210	1,200	1,200	1,200	3,300	3,200	40.90
									ALL DISCOUNTS					11	11	13	11	11	13	30	30	10	10	20	10	N.
0			_		_	_	_		Total Selary or	d Bonefica	- Budge		-	206,511	91,400	108,798	101,961	105,3+0	205,945	90,318	95,548	83,307	91,945	88,815	11,545	1,311,39
									Total Salary In	d Bonefilts	- 2017 1	and the local division of the local division												0	0	
									Verlaging					MAR NUL	94,490	101,208	101.965	105,340	101,841	91,548	91, 563	84,507	93.545	88.085	98,545	1,101,00

There may also be a need to allocate an employee across multiple departments. In this case, there are two options: 1) budget everyone to a "dummy" department and then allocate the salaries, taxes,

and benefits in another template (see print screen below) or 2) upload the employee information into each department and just enter the number of hours allocated to each department and ensure that there is an administrator report that checks to verify that an employee does not exceed 2,080 hours a year.

Payroll Budge	t																
Imployee		Degt.	Project	Allocation	Selary	salary	Selery	Selery	Salary	selery	Salary	Salary	salars	Selery	Salary	Selary	ictal
Name	Title	Code	Cade		Jan 58	Icb-18	Mar-18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18	34118	Aug-18	Sep 18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec 18	Selerv
Tracy Molina		100		100.00%	113,115	102,158	113,115	109,466	113,115	109,406	113,115	115,115	309,466	115,115	109,466	113,115	1,331,834
			Project1	40.00%	43,246	40,857	45,245	43,786	45,246	43,788	45,245	45,246	43,788	45,245	43,785	45,248	552,734
			Project2	20.00%	8,551	8,557	8,562	8,569	8,575	8,581	8,587	8,595	8,599	8,605	8,611	8,517	105,007
			Project4	20.00%	22,825	20,434	22,625	21,895	22,625	21,895	22,625	22,625	21,895	22,625	21,895	22,625	266,557
			Project5	20.00%	22,625	20,434	22,623	21,893	22,623	21,893	22,623	22,623	21,893	22,623	21,893	22,623	266,357
Shin Soc Eckstein		200			71,997	65,030	71,997	69,675	71,997	69,675	71,997	71,997	69,675	71,997	60,675	71,997	847,709
			Project1	25.00%	17,999	16,257	17,999	17,419	17,999	17,419	17,999	17,999	17,419	17,999	17,419	17,999	211,927
			Project2	10.00%	2,262	2,043	2,262	2,189	2,262	2,189	2,262	2,262	2,189	2,262	2,189	2,262	26,637
			ProjectB	15.00%	10,800	9,754	30,800	10,451	10,800	10,451	10,800	10,800	10,451	30,800	10,451	10,800	127,156
			Project5	\$0.00%	35,990	32,515	15,999	34,837	35,999	34,837	35,999	35,999	\$4,897	35,999	34,837	35,999	423,855
Ted Willingham		500		100.00%	87,592	79,116	\$7,592	\$4,767	87,592	84,767	87,592	87,592	84,767	\$7,592	\$4,767	87,592	1,031,327
			Project2	22.00%	7,900	7,153	7,920	7,664	7,910	7,654	7,920	7,920	7,664	7,920	7,664	7,910	91,248
			Project3	18.00%	15,767	14,241	15,767	15,258	15,767	15,258	15,767	15,767	15,258	15,767	15,258	15,767	185,619
			Project4	27.00%	23,650	21,361	23,650	22,887	21,650	22,887	23,650	23,650	22,887	23,650	22,887	23,650	278,458
			Project5	33.00%	28,905	26.108	28,905	27,973	28,905	27.973	28,905	28,905	27,973	28.905	27,973	28,905	340.338
Scarlett Zito		400		100.00%	37,711	34.052	37,711	36,495	37,711	36.495	37,711	37,711	35,495	37,711	36,495	37,711	444.022
			Project1	21.00%	7,929	7,153	7,919	7,664	7,919	7,664	7,919	7,919	7,664	7,919	7,664	7,919	93,245
			P10ject3	23.00%	8,574	7,834	8,674	8,394	8,574	8.394	8,674	8,674	8,394	8.674	8,394	8,874	302.125
			Project4	32.00%	12,068	10,900	12,058	11,678	12,068	11.678	12,068	12,068	11,678	12,058	11,678	12,068	142,087
			Project5	24.00%	9,051	8,175	9,051	8,759	9,051	8,759	9,051	9,051	8,759	9,051	8,759	9,051	206,555
iotal			Project1		71,165	64,278	71,165	58,869	71,165	68,859	71,165	71,165	68,869	71,165	68,869	71,165	\$37,905
			Project2		18,738	17,753	18,744	18,422	18,757	18,434	18,769	18,775	18,453	18,787	18,465	18,799	222,891
			P10(eC15		35,240	31,829	35,240	54,105	35,240	54,105	35,240	55,240	34,103	35,240	34,105	35,240	414,920
			P10ject4		58,340	52,695	58,340	38,439	58,540	50,409	58,340	58,340	50,459	58,340	36,439	58,540	680,912
			Project5		96.578	87.251	90.578	93,462	95.578	93.452	90.578	96,528	95.462	96.578	93.462	96.578	1.187.125

Another template that is used for many organizations is a capital expenditures template. This template allows users to enter capital expenditures that are projected into the coming year, which will also calculate depreciation, typically on a straight-line basis. The asset type is typically chosen, as each asset type has a specific life that is used in the calculation. Below is an example of a capital expenditures template that includes asset type, department, purchase description, purchase month, purchase price, and quantity. The capital expenditures are summarized as well as the monthly depreciation at the bottom of the template.

Capital Budget - For N	ew Purchases																		
Anner Description	Department	Description		Furthers: Month		Q. 4150	Copital Jan 18 2			Capital Apr 18	Cepital May 18	Capital Aux 18		Capitol Aug 18	Capitol Sep 11	Capital 0x1 18	Capitel Nov 18	Capital Dec 18	Capital Total
building	Human Resources	Improvements .	350	Mar	30,000	2	0	0	60,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,00
Soulpment .	Haman Resources	Essignent	130	Apr	20,000	2	0	0	0	40,000	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,08
Concuter	Finance & Accounting	Computers	- 25	May	3,000		0	0	0	0	30,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,00
Computer	Professional Services	Computers	26	Jun	2,500	- 1	0	0	0	0	0	1,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,50
							0	0	0	0		0		0		Q	0	0	
							0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0		Q.	0	0	
							0	0		0		0	0	0		0		0	
							0	0		0		0	- 0	0		0		0	
			_				0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	
building							0	0	60,000	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	60,080
Gauloment							0	0	0	40,000	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	40,000
Comover							0	a	0	0	30,000	3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$7,500
		Total Capital All De	partmet	18			0		\$1,000	40,000	30,000	1,500		0				0	137,500
		Prior Year Actual/b	sterate.				14,206	14,378	14,785	13,818	13,348	13,886	16,478	17,993	14,114	0		0	114,100
		% Variance					· - 200% ·	-100% -	205%	\$ 212%	\$ 189%	-185.1	\$ -200%	P -1005	· 1005	2 05	9 06	9 051	2 15
Depreciation - Building	Human Resources						0	0	140	347	167	187	147	287	1.67	167	167	167	1,067
Depreciation - Exproment	Human Resources						0	0	0	535	535	5.55	535	555	555	555	555	353	5,080
Depreciation - Computer	Fearer& Accounting						0	0	0	0	835	833	833	475	833	453	813	853	6,062
Depreciation - Computer	Professional Services						0	0	0	0		208	208	208	208	208	208	208	1,458
		Total Depresistion					0	0	167	5400	\$,033	1,542	5,542	1,542	1,542	5.542	1,542	5,542	12,793
Accumulated Depreciation B							0	0	-167	-967	-167	-167	-167	-357	-157	-167	-167	-167	1,667
Accumulated Depreciation B	quipmont						0	0	0	-333	-333	-333	-533	-818	-313	-313	-313	-3133	-3,080
Accumulated Depreciation C	amputer						0	0	0	0	-633	-1,042	1.012	-1.042	1.012	-1.042	-1.012	-1.042	-8,125

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

The other template that is typically used across most companies is an expense budget, which allows for input for accounts that have not been budgeted already through other templates, such as personnel and capital. There are a few ways of handling other expenses, and below are a few recommendations to make the input more accurate and efficient:

- 1) Show prior year actual and forecast by account. This allows the users to easily compare to the prior year and ensure that they are not under or over budget for each account.
- 2) Allow users to enter detail for each account. As an example, for marketing expenses it will be beneficial to know what makes up the monthly amount rather than having employees keep this information separately.
- 3) Show the accounts that were budgeted in other templates, but lock these accounts down so that the values can't be modified.

Below is a print screen, but note that only one month of the prior year comparison appears due to space limitations, but in the full template all 12 months are available to the budget user. The second print screen is an example of entering line-item detail for the marketing account.

			ludget I	ludget	Budget	tudget	Budget	madget	indget	Budget	tudget	iuder:	Budget 1	Badget	7018 1019	MRC/DATE	Actual	Artasi
	Description Extenses	_	104	112	Nor	191	No.	1001	11.7. Barrier		101	0.81		UKC .	1.12	LANCE DE LA	1000	1010
82010	Marketing		117,168	150,811	144,006	114,347	124,347	207.268	116,758	178,210	182,028	196,211	178,512	208,900	2,004,812	1.2%	107,612	161,207
6,2020	Conference and seminars		1.571	1.223	1.515	1.348	1.157	1.632	1.821	1,451	1,168	1,290	1.471	2.037	12,764	1.4%	1,299	1,349
62030	Advertising		473	471	489	443	433	524	505	585	425	462	506	716	0,085	0.7%	427	431
64010	Consisting		3,785	3,423	3,212	3,156	2,872	4.344	4.007	3,665	2,781	3,580	3,361	4,199	41,874	1.5%	3,677	1,435
						497		4,344	4,007					4,109		4.8%		
54039	Audit and Accounting		529	575	516		508			885	622	651	657		3,577		552	673
64030	tegal		2,966	3,055	2,748	2,522	2,365	1,090	3,921	3,247	2,832	2,827	3,195	4,383	17,291	-1.8%	3,610	3,121
65000	Insurance		1,863	1,351	1,584	1,420	1,254	1,828	1,770	1,795	1,613	1,425	130	1,890	19,536	6.0%	1,342	1,514
57010	Office Supplies		1,089	1,067	379	1,019	811	1,227	1,295	1,178	1,079	1,065	964	1,538	13,349	4.1%	1,679	1,088
68020	Telephone		3,254	2,717	2,649	2,342	2,587	4,00.2	3,295	2,929	2,812	2,980	3,502	3,840	36,939	-3.8%	3,214	3,063
68030	Telephone - Cellular		1,129	915	985	814	861	1.120	1,085	1,006	1,010	902	1,248	1,401	12,617	0.4%	1,612	962
00000	Rent		1,175	2,789	2,602	2,636	2,605	1,454	3,050	3,618	1,218	3,116	3,628	4,414	38,665	-1.4%	1,219	2,845
70030	Dues, Ucenses and Permits		1,445	2,481	1,149	2,743	2,518	4,006	3,625	3,161	2,755	3,265	3,104	4,280	38,586	-1.7%	1,254	2,936
20040	Books and Subscriptions		297	201	254	295	277	198	429	391	329	290	174	641	4,380	4.0%	288	326
	Budgeted on Other Forms																	
60050	Full Time - Salary		300, N9	90,999	100,749	97,499	\$00.709	97,499	300,749	100,745	97,499	100,749	97,499	220,749	1,116,234	26.2%	80,735	72,159
65010	Part Time - Salary		12,366	11,168	\$2,366	11,967	12,366	11,96P	12,365	12,366	11,967	12,366	11,967	12,366	\$25,600		2,689	8,137
63050	Travel		2,991	2,911	2,995	2,991	2,991	2,005	2,995	2,998	2,991	2,993	2,991	2,091	15,817	212.4%	101	759
	TotalExpenses		126,611	276,942	281,964	255,945	258,409	336,094	337,953	356,104	295,275	294,225	315,006	352,730	3,545,666	3.6%	278,746	263,892
	Total Expenses Last Year	_	278,746	263,892	294,007	241,434	214,257	322,638	117,325	317.142	257,348	246,576	281,485	351,898	3,155,946			
	Total Expenses Budget		325,633	276,342	251.954	255,941	258,409	336.094	337,913	306.104	295.275	294,225	315.006	352,790	3.545.666			
	Variance	0	-47,887-0	-12.415-0	-17.957-0	-34,306-0	-44.151+0	-11.456-0	-20.587 -5	1.017-0	-37,928-0	47,647-0	-33.551 -0-	-837	\$ 219,720			

Descrip	tion	Januar	y Februar	y Marc	h A	pril	May	June	July	August	September	October I	November	December	Total
62010 Marketing		167,61	2 161,20	7 157,2	75 149	,686 12	23,551	194,580	194,115	192,819	162,086	146,857	167,820	210,630	2,028,238
Input Grid: Budg	t	-		-						-	-	-	-	-	
Add Line Rem	Spread	ng Method	Valu • 750					it Adjustn			tound Up	:			
	on	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Septemb	er October	Novembe	Decembe	r Total	
Descript		and the second s	20.042	20.042	20.047	79.047	72.917	72.917	72,917	72,9	17 72,917	72,91	7 72,91	3 875,000	
62010 Marke	ing	72,917	72,917	72,917	12,911	12,211									
	ing	72,917 25,000	and the second se	COLUMN TWO IS NOT		and the second	and the second	25,000	25,000	25,0	00 25,000	25,00	0 25,00	0 300,000	
62010 Marke		and the second se	and the second se	25,000	25,000	25,000	and the second	25,000	25,000 41,667	25,0 41,6		25,00 41,66		0 300,000 3 500,000	

Typically, revenue and cost of sales is specific to each industry; therefore, these templates will be discussed in the industry section. Revenue and cost of sales can be budgeted in a similar template to the expense template above, but many times APAC organizations want to get much more detailed. Other templates that can be used are a balance sheet, a travel template, or a budget by project. Below are examples of a balance sheet and a travel template. Note that the months are minimized in the travel template.

Obschription Dec-SUL/ RR/X5 Bits-2018 Per-2018 Mer-2018 App-2018	
Convert Aisert Starty & Egyment Starty & Egyment 1,112,151 1,102,151 1,203,451 1,203,451 1,203,451 1,203,515 1,203,	IS Dec-2018
Cash & Equivalences 977,613 887,001 981,400 964,647 1,024,847 1,004,077 1,005,157	
Account Receivable 138,131 80.000 272,664 252,664 553,664	
Propertion 52,380 52,	
Other Conversion Asserts 36,544 56,545 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,247 1,546,248 122,448 222,448	
News Current Assets L225,172 L249,272 L949,272 L949,272 L949,272 L949,272 L940,227 L940,227 <thl940,227< th=""> L940,227 <thl940,227< th=""> L940,248 <thl214< th=""></thl214<></thl940,227<></thl940,227<>	
Departy & Equipment 438,396 438,396 438,396 438,396 498,396 <td></td>	
Subling 438,398 438,398 438,398 498,398 <t< td=""><td>52 1,401,201</td></t<>	52 1,401,201
Toyspreme 182,443 182,444 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,344 182,444	
Sompeter 80,009 80,009 80,009 80,009 80,009 80,009 111	98 498,390
Accumulated Dependation (28) 779 (28) 786 (28) 786 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 (28) 78 <th< td=""><td>48 212,448</td></th<>	48 212,448
Net Property & Equipment 441,482 441,482 441,482 441,482 441,482 441,482 441,482 441,482 512,715 591,215 591,482 592,715 591,215 599,774 691,215 599,774 491,592 442,94 42,214 42,224	09 118,309
Long-Term Account Receivables 145,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 146,042 1	25) (252,565
Other Long-Term Receivabiles 80,895 <td>12 586,190</td>	12 586,190
Other Long-Term Receivabiles 80,395 <td>62 145.062</td>	62 145.062
Goodwill 4,224	
Other Long-Term Assets 180,845<	
Display=100 2.098.081 2.012.081 2.016.129 2.096.060 2.058.860 2.403.177 2.403.795 2.396.642 2.985.284 2.444.652 2.474.807 2.462.0 LabsLiftES Comment Logistices 124.844 125.612 2.200.960 2.358.860 2.403.177 2.403.795 2.396.642 2.985.284 2.444.652 2.474.807 2.462.0 Comment Ligistices 124.844 125.987 125.872 125.873 940.741 140.400 136.701 129.981 138.00 378.600	
LUBULTES Carrent Lubitities 124,842 96.00% 134,943 126,009 132,484 125,872 125,873 140,400 136,701 129,681 131,706 130,00 378,600 351,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410 251,410	
Convert Maximites 134,842 96.00% 134,943 126,009 132,464 125,872 125,893 340,747 140,400 136,701 129,841 131,706 130,000 378,600	22 2,598,415
Accounts Paymole 134,442 90.00% 134,543 126,019 132,444 125,872 125,883 126,194 146,400 126,813 137,606 1378,006 1378,007 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
Ourient Manunties of Long-Term Debt 338,600	
Stock Current Liabilities Still, 442 Still, 543	143,154
Long-Term Lizbilities 281,433 281,410 </td <td>00 578,600</td>	00 578,600
Long-Tieres Accesses Pagebbes 201,410 2	M 521,756
Long-Tieres Accesses Pagebbes 201,410 2	
Opher Long-Term Debit 201,762 401,72 441,72 441,72 441,72 441,72 441,72 441,72 441,73 916,475 916,478 916,478 916,478 916,478 916,478 916,478 916,478 916,478	10 211,410
Stockiologies 438,172	
TOTAL LUARLITIES 996,515 937,811 946,256 937,665 952,519 952,172 946,475 945,478 946,818 STOCKHOLDER'S EQUIRY 1,161,665 1,175,466 1,278,309 1,316,754 1,421,216 1,525,512 1,481,276 1,440,510 1,406,735 1,500,139 1,529,329 1,512,225	
STOCKIOLDER'S EQUITY Retained Earnings 1,161,565 1,175,466 1,278,309 1,316,704 1,421,216 1,525,512 1,481,276 1,440,510 1,496,735 1,500,159 1,529,329 1,511,2	* ****,***
Retained Earnings 1,161,665 1,278,309 1,316,704 1,421,215 1,525,512 1,481,276 1,440,510 1,436,735 1,500,159 1,529,329 1,511,2	06 954,923
Retained Earnings 1,161,665 1,278,309 1,316,704 1,421,215 1,525,512 1,481,276 1,440,510 1,436,735 1,500,159 1,529,329 1,511,2	
	16 1.443,890
1316.754 46 1.278.309 1.316.754 1.421.215 1.525.512 1.481.274 1.446.510 1.416.735 1.505.139 1.529.529 1.512.2	10 1/40,000
	16 1.443,891
TAL MARILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S FOURY 3.088.379 2.122.581 2.216.120 2.360.060 2.558.860 2.468.177 2.401.706 2.301.622 2.865.308 2.444.612 2.404.807 2.661.0	22 2.898.825

CONCERNING CONCERNING											10000	Hatel	10000	Leggage	Participa	100	Million	Lotor-	BOOM 100	Land	Cirpor.	Other	Tetal
Legitiyes	Department	Reason for Travel		8 07 Days	Ar 17848	Kert Car (N/N)	Later (5,76)	Pretage Witer/ Tdo	Favel Start Month	Ener Ener	1454												
Charlotte Baker	Finance & Accounting	Conference	2	3		Ŧ	7		388	Dec.	990	#30	390	- 40	40	1.0	0	300	42	85	100	8,484	11,112
Inian Morton	Professional Services		2	2	¥.	T	¥.	75	Jan	Dec	990	540	260	40	40	1.0	81.	200	28	44	80	6,950	9,343
Arlanna lackson	Sales & Marketing	Conference	1					75		Dec	495	405	295	20	50	5	40	150	21	35	60	4,569	5,825
Ding Boumit	Administration	Conference	5	2	Y	N.		75	Jan .	Dec	1,485	810		0	0	15	127		42	65	120	7,995	10.66
Disclie Miers	Fuman Resources	Conference	1	1					Jan .	Dec	990	230			0	10		6	54	22	40	4,008	5,384
Ovisting Wontero	Administration	Conference	3	2	¥.	Y	Y	75	Jan	Dec	1.485	810	590	50	60	13	117	300	42	95	120	10.425	13,903
Divis Molina	Administration	Conference	4	3		T	7	75	Jan	Dec	1,980	1,620	780	80	120	20	170	600	34	132	240	17,477	23,302
Brett Young	Soles & Marketing	Conference	2	3		Ŧ	Y	75	Jon	Dec	990	630	290	40	60	1.0	85	300	42	65	120	8,798	11.653
Methali Orisp	Sales & Marketing	Conference	2	4				75	264	Dec	990	1,000	5.30	40	80	1.0	- 85	400	54	88	160	10,526	
Total											10,195	7,895	2,925	820	450	305	720	2,250	171	548	1,060	79,003	
Tetal by Department	Administration										6,63												
	Finance & Accounting Sales & Marketing Furthan Resources										11,812 81,812 5,884												
Total by Department	Professional Services										0,367												
Accumption Bates		1																					
Air Travel Hotol Remai Car	405.00 125.00 45.00																						
rease.	20.00																						
Faching	10.00	1															1						

of and Ex-

Industry

There may be templates that are more industry specific or built towards the unique specifications of the organization. Typically these templates will be the revenue and cost of sales templates. There are probably many templates that are used today, but it is important to try to use only a handful of templates that can be designed and used across the entire organization. Also, it is good practice to create templates that will auto-calculate many of the revenue accounts and cost of sales accounts.

The first print screen is an example of a healthcare revenue template that is based on units, prices, and increases, and then revenue is calculated and compared to the prior year.

Develoption.		Aur. 10	Feb 38	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 12	Jun 18	Arr 10	Aug 18	fege 18	Oct 18	No. 18	Post 18	Tensitiv	2,04.00	Verlance
Grass Patient Revenue			2222													
inpatient Revenue																
Charge Unit	41,000	568.5	500.5	968.3	560.1	568.1	568.5	5681	5681	568.1	560.1	560.1	5601			
Unit Increase (%)	41000	35	3%	3%	3%	3%	35	55	54	3%	34	35	5%			
Charge Rate	41,000	5 295.36	5 290.16	5 291.56	5 291.96	5 291.96	5 291.96	5 291.96	5 291.16	5 291.16	5 291.16	5 291.16	5 291.36			
Price Increase (%)	41,000	10%	30%	30%	10%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	38%	10%	10%			
Revenue Okonge IP	41000	25.277.30	25,277.20	25,277.26	25,277.20	25, 277 20	25,277.28	25,277.20	25,277.28	25,277.20	25,277.38	21,277.28	25,277.28	303,325.48		
Revenue Calculation IP	41000	184,895.52	184,835.52	284,895.32	286,855.52	386,855.52	188,815.52	188,855.52	186,855.52	186,855.92	186,855.92	180,855.92	188,855.92	2,280,271.00		
IP Revenue Commercial Rate	41,000	18,856	18,836	18,856	18,836	18,856	18,836	18,856	18,836	18,856	18,856	18,856	18,826	236,027		
IP Revenue Medicald Rate	41000	135,755	154,798	155,755	153,795	135,755	153,798	135,755	153,793	135,755	135,795	188,798	155,795	1,804,792		
IP Revenue Medicare Rate	41,000	33,904	33,904	33,904	33,904	38,904	33,904	38,904	88,904	88,904	88,904	31,304	81,904	406,849		
IP Revenue Other Rate	41,000	1.894	1.854	1,894	1,854	1,894	1,894	1,854	1,894	1,854	1,854	1,854	1,884	22,683		
Total Inpacient (IT) Revenue	41,000	188,995.62	144, 355, 42	188,995.62	346, 858, 42	188,915.82	148,355.42	188,015.82	186,955,42	188,555.87	144, 955 92	188,555.47	146,953.92	2,240,271.00	235240144	(83,832.44)
Colpotient Revenue																
Charget Unit	42000	500.1	560.1	508.1	560.5	568.1	560.1	500.1	560.1	508.1	560.1	560.1	560.1			
Unit Brozense (%)	421000	4%		4%	476	4%	-0	4%		4%		dh	- 63			
Charge Rate	42000	5 211.1600	5 291.1600	5 291,3400	5 291,1500	5 291,3500	5 291.1000	5 291,1000	5 291,1509	5 271,100	5 291,1000	5 275,1000	5 271,1600			
Price Increase (N)	42000	30%	1076	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	201%	30%	30%			
Revenue Ohongo OP	42000	28,483.34	21,481.04	23,483.34	28,440.34	28,463.34	21,410.34	23,483.34	20,903.34	23,483.34	21,463.34	21,463.34	21,983.34	281,800.02		
Revenue Calculation OF	42000	184,562.05	186,362.05	184,552.05	146,562.05	186,361.05	186,342.05	186,962.03	186,562.05	189,962.05	186,362.05	18,91245	100,562.05	2,258,744.65		
OF Revenue Commercial Rate	42000	59,599.06	18,095.86	58,599.86	58,099.86	58,599.86	38,009.06	53,599.86	38,099.86	53,099.84	35,095.86	51,599.84	29,099.86	796,598,28		714,798,28
OF Enversue Medicald Rate	42000	55,583.17	33,341.17	53,581.17	\$5,581.17	55,581.17	\$5,941.17	55,581.17	95,981.17	55,581.17	35,580.17	55,581.17	35,581.17	402,974.05		403,974.05
OF Revenue Medicare Rate	42000	70,820.58	70,890.58	70,890.58	70,890.58	70,803.58	70,800.58	70,851.58	70,850.58	70,853.58	70,850.58	71,85158	70,855.58	180,722.95		850,722.95
OF Revenue Other Rate	42000	22,382,45	22,387.45	22,387.45	22,387.45	22,587.45	22,362.45	22,387.45	22,367.45	22,587.45	22,387.45	22,387.45	22,387.45	208,649.35	-	368,649.35
OF Revenue	42000	184,542.05	184,960.05	184,542-05	186,960.05	184,502-05	185,960.05	186,562.05	185,960.05	186,562.05	184,960.05	186,962.05	184,942.05	2,258,744.85		2,258,744.68
OP Rovenue Non-IOTF	42003	1,500.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	1,908.0	1,600.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	1,500-0	1,500.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	* 38,000,00		58,000.00

The next sample is a public sector expenditures template that displays the prior year's actual and adopted expenses. This template is available after the departmental manager has input their adjustments and comments. The baseline budget and the departmental adjustments calculate the departmental requested amount. Then the other offices, such as the budget office, the executive team, and the board can add or subtract adjustments to the departmental total to determine the final amount, which is the **Board Requested** amount. Also, each inputter has the ability to add comments.

picta.	ovlow n Bassed Of Supervises n Foul Bacigert Weiter Comme	20080 0 108															
	Description.		2015	2010		2017 Dega, Adquer	2017 Dept.	OPD Marine Dr	CHE CHI		Euro To Adjust (A)	fare.	Bund Adams (11)	Deard Advector		Department Notes	CHI Barres
401	Property Tareat			1000		0					1.0	-					
4992	Obinione Tales, Felands, and Horest					. 9											
400	Low-see and Registrations					- 0											
-101	Pernes			240								-			-		
501	Dep:Offic.org8UbanDeuelphant	250	290	264								-					
102	Depril: Autors Depril: Tennestation							-				-			-		
504																	
505	Endormental Patentics Agency Dep Officients							-									
505	Deprovine Deproving														-		-
507	Disprofiliation and participation																
506	Salar BUire Taxer	962	1,001	1,000		280	200	-				200			200		
500	Lond Grammer Program		10000					-									
342	Retree Health Contra	3.275	3.645	2,943	15.547	ő	10.547	-	1.1			10.547			10.547		
200	Engineen Salarar	75,313	76.215	78,550	81.165			-	81.			85.005			06.408		
204	Scont Security (FGR)	4.805	4.952	5.94	1,056				1.1			5.555			5.616		
305	Baran Peti runami	4.000	4,510	4,000	4.251				4.			4,756			4,758		
200	Piedcas	378	351	300	592		0.00	-		2		382			312		
300	Hauth Danadis	8.500	9,256	3.545	41.585				1.1			10.500			10.520		
TID	L.Fo incurances	1.63	1,229	1,548	1.568	0	1,765					1,368			1,308		
78	Overlage					0											
TEB .	Devial Benefits	193	713	800	580	0	500			10		300			300		
750	Supplier					0											
781	5.polec	470	418	412	434	0	450			34		424			434	Readmone support has of PMs	
752	Portuge					0											
762	Curting/Dearing Revence					0											
254	Inventory	10	16	300	283	4	207	-	1	12		207			217		
	Total Expenses		DIAN	111,363	111.621	204	1/18/5	-	0 111			LUL KIN	-		L/L &/S		

The retail revenue sample allows users to input unit count by product, which calculates revenue by multiplying the count by the price per unit. Each month can be expanded to show the individual weeks, which is where the input is allowed, while the other months are minimized in the example.

		_			_					15	-								_						_
	PROTONE	1000000					14	N/r		Mar		-	NE	149	01	NN.	Des	2014	10000		244			1eb	1.10
	CONTRACTOR OF	WR-3 3	N4 - 7 V	Nk - 3	974 - 4	Total	Track	Wk - 1	'68-2	Wk-3	341-4	Total	Total	Total											
sola base		_			_																				
Merinare	5 110.98	34	36	56	15	62	52	74	- 58	50	- 54	42	48	58	62	- 64	86	710	1,900	7,740	2,240	2,240	8,679	7,279	90.5
Sockrooni	5 239.50		6	4	- 2	18	32	60	48	24	28	24	24	5.2	44	48	00	49.2	300	260	840	529	2,880	5,139	9,59
Gerlic & Peppers	5 118.98	4	6	4	43	18	28	42	34	45	46	52	26	50	52	60	86	520	368	840	368	500	2,530	3,929	5,87
Total Pasta Se	-	34	38	24	22	98	112	176	240	190	138	108	104	360	158	172	252	1,723	5,480	4,009	L.410	5,120	14,078	14,118	21.69
ialist Dressing																									
Corpet	5 299.98	20	30	6	- 6	52	58	50	36	28	- 36	24	50	- 48	54	- 56	80	522	8,000	8,000	4,808	4,800	25,599	56,599	28,98
Balance Vinalgrotte	\$1,599.98				2	20	10	56	90		54	34	40	34	345	34	82	304	9,600	3,600	9,600	4,200	31,000	47,909	133,30
family Recipe Italian			6	6	- 5	24	44	58	54	28	36	26	22	56	- 45	58	76	508	8,250	8,299	4,250	8,290	53,120	60,719	10.03
Light Balasmic	5 299.98	- 38	20	24	10	98	42	54	40	28	- 44	82	26	52	54	62	80	399	2,000	2,560	2,210	2,880	20,599	6,722	1.14
Tetal Salad Dr	essing	40	38	32	32	142	154	228	280	128	198	130	128	294	210	201	318	2,254	28,758	26.429	24,929	13,159	101.277	145.837	234,27
idua																									
Mild	\$2,490.00				4	24	24	- 18	40	54	36	12	39	68	42	54	72	344	34,408	14,409	19,200	5,800 19,200	\$7,600	57,600	135,25
Medium	\$2,799.96	6			8.	36	32	- 56	28	22	40	22	- 56	-44	44	52	26	458	14,400	19,200	35,608	19,200	85,599	52,800	86.59
Salsa Con Queso	\$ 299.98	24	24	- 22	12	N	39	80	36	142	- 48	20	28	39	44	44	28	548	2,809	2,800	2,400	2,400	20,889	3,900	\$1,90
Tetal Salaa		25	28	34	24	112	76	244	324	200	144	24	24	348	130	130	226	1,539	31,609	35,410	55,229	31,210	154,238	116.319	211.59
loand Total		90	94	10	78	312	342	548	-644	.566	440	\$12	216	587	498	546	716	5.466	61,818	68,878	83,958	51.429	368,755	228.534	475.75

The final industry example is a commission template which calculates the monthly salary and commission by employee. Employees can be terminated in this example as well, so the second employee has a lower total budgeted salary, \$21,000, than their current annual salary of \$36,000 due to the employee being terminated in August.

													1220,725		
			Her North		2010 Mincared	2010 Projected	2000 Persont X			1) North		2010 Turiol		2010 Birdget	2010 Eadipre
North Control of Contr			Lookad	Perch					Numb				Budget Fred	Corren	Salary
LU Serrera	Safer Manager	04/04/04			1875	670,530	10.0%	65,000			45,000	107,337	670,538	122.12*	10,30
JanixLaRonho	Takaperan	05403408		105	(0)	-	0.0%	36,080			21,000	21,000			21,300
Mar Div	Takapeum	05475475			RCL .	885,356	16.0%	26,080			36,000	65.609	105,056	29,000	36,300
Carlos Annes	Takapeum	ctener			511	61,505	0.0%	26,000			36,000	36,000	61,605		36,333
Exin Rub.im	Takaperan	080561			\$K	61,505	0.0%	26,000			36,000	36,000	41,005		36,300
Brian Thames	Takeperson	154545			821	23,371	12.0%	36,000			36,000	\$3,804	102,373	W.004	36,300
Carlos Arres ExemPlation Brian Thomas Briad Wall	Salapeum	0115-08			871	23,271	32.0%	36,000			36,000	53,804	120,311	9,004	26,33
Sales-TuDeHee(4)						-	0.0%								-
Sales-TuDeHea(42						-	0.0%					-			-
Sales - To Delfied 43						-	0.0%								-
TOTALS					MOR:	1203.965					256.000	447.355	1,200,205	94.355	765.000

Tie to Goals

As discussed in the Strategy and Goals section, it is important to tie the budget back to the goals finalized for the strategy. If the goals and the budget are not consistent, then what truly are the goals for the organization to achieve? As stated in the prior sections, the goals should also be communicated to the entire organization so that the budget is consistent with the goals and there is no confusion among the staff.

There are a few ways to assist the users in knowing the goals and initiatives while entering their budgets and forecasts. It is one thing to communicate it in meetings and in documentation, but it is much more effective to include the information directly in the template. As an example, the print screen below shows two separate ways to inform the end user of the corporate initiatives and a top-down budget: 1) show the company initiatives at the top of the template and 2) compare to the top-down budget created by the executive team.

Other D	xpenses	Initiatives Ooud Enableme Expand & Impr			RP Rollouts inconinternatio		(Ļ	
Aut	Develoption	Badget	Budget Leb	Badget .	Budget Apr	Budget May	Cudget Aut	Euriget Lut	Budget Aug	Redget Says	Budget Get	Sudget Nov	Badget	1964	Inc/Orc Peacent	Inc/Dec % Top	Top Budget	Prior Year Tetal
Operating	Expenses														_			
62010	Marketing	117,168	150,001	244.995	124,247	124,247	197,268	196.210	176.219	362,005	156,291	178.582	206.500	2.004.632	-1.2%	1.9%	1.967.191	2,028,298
62620	Conference and seminars	1,571	1.128	1,595	1,348	1,157	1,632	1.021	1,401	1,168	1,290	1,471	2.007	17,764	3.0%	0.6%	17,658	17,483
62030	Advertising	473	471	489	443	413	524	508	565	425	462	396	716	6,085	0.7%	1.8%	5,980	6,040
64010	Consulting	1,768	1,428	8,212	3,158	2,672	4,344	4,007	3,669	2,781	1,580	1,161	4,199	41,874	-1.5%	-4.4%	43,791	42,515
64020	Audit and Accounting	529	576	516	497	536	749	790	680	622	651	657	785	7,577	4.8%	-5.7%	8,037	7,958
64030	Legal	2,988	3,055	2,348	2,522	2,365	3,030	3,921	3,247	2,832	2,827	1,195	4,383	37,295	4.88	0.2%	37,227	37,587
80030	Insurance	1,013	1,351	3,584	3,420	1,254	1,823	1,770	1,795	1,613	1,426	1,147	3,890	15,536	6.0%	5.3%	17,877	18,429
63010	Office Supplies	1,069	1,087	929	3,819	811	1,227	3,236	1,138	1,073	1,068	964	1,538	13,249	-0.1%	-1.1%	13,392	11,268
60020	Telephone	3,254	2,717	2,649	2,342	2,587	4,032	3,295	2,929	2,852	2,980	3,502	3,840	36,839	-3.8%	-0.8%	37,231	38,382
68630	Telephone - Cellular	1,329	965	985	814	863.	1,120	1,086	1,095	1,050	992	1,148	1,401	12,617	0.4%	-2.6%	12,909	12,595
09030	Fart	1,175	2,789	2,662	2,685	2,605	3,454	3,050	3.628	3,318	8,116	1,628	4.434	38,665	-4.4%	-7.2%	41,658	40,444
70030	Dues, Likenses and Pennits	3,465	2,483	3.549	2,743	2,518	4,036	3.625	3.101	2,755	3,205	3.304	4.280	38,586	4.7%	-4.0%	40,427	30,240
70040	Books and Subscriptions	297	281	294	295	277	398	439	391	323	290	124	- 643	4,500	4.0%	6.1%	3,863	3,542
Accounts	Budgetted on Other Forms																	
60010	Full Time - Salary	300,349	90,999	300.349	91,499	100,249	32,433	100,745	300,749	37,499	300,749	91,499	388,349	1,116,214	26.15	26.3%	505,168	111,160
63010	Fart Time - Salary	12,366	11,169	12,366	11,967	12,366	11,967	12,366	12,365	11,967	12,366	11,967	12,366	145,600	44.7%	47.7%	58,630	100,622
63010	Travel	2,995	2,991	2,991	2,991	2,993.	2,995	2,998	2,905	2,991	2,991	2,991	2,991	35,897	232.4%	227.6%	30,957	\$2,630
	Total Expenses	126,633	276,142	281,964	255,941	258,409	036,094	337,913	816,101	295,275	294,225	315,096	952,710	1,616,666	1.05	10.6%	1,296,296	3,355,946
- D	olal Expenses Last Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0	3,296,216	3,316,548				
1	I otal Expenses Budget	326,633	376,342	281,964	255,943	214,409	336,094	337,913	306,104	255,275	254,225	315,006	353,790	3,545,666				
	Variance	N N	276,342 -	-281,961 -5	-255,941	-218,409 -	-435,094 -\$	-317,918-4	-335.101 🕹	-395,275 4	-394,325 4	-311.006 1	2,943,505	-218,720				

Top-Down

Top-down budgeting is a valuable first step in the budgeting or forecasting process because it allows a limited usage of resources to quickly create a budget or forecast early in the process and also allows for a comparison to the bottom-up budget, which may take months to complete. There are disadvantages to a top-down approach as well, but these are eliminated by doing both a bottom-up and top-down budget. If only a top-down budget is created, then it can impact employee morale as they are not invested in the success or failure of the budget as employees will not feel the same ownership in the budget process.

There are many ways to create a top-down budget, but our team has come up with a solution that will enable a top-down budget to be created in minutes. It is a called a Breakback template. An entire top-down budget can be created with a single input. In this case, a manager or administrator simply enters the desired net income, and the monthly 12-month budget will be created. The user can make additional assumptions around specific departments, account groups, or individual accounts, such as the desired increase or decrease of that particular department, account group, or account.

As an example, the desired net Income is \$3,000,000. Store the template and now the top-down budget has been created and can be used in variance reporting. Note that only revenue and cost of sales is shown due to the size of the template. This template can be used to create the entire budget (as shown below) or for a forecast, which will be shown in the Forecasting section.

	Enter Desired Net Incon				3,806,080															
Ant	Accent	Artial	800	Ferrial	instant/	Calculated	What B	Nucl	Fred	1 M	114	B.d	Bid	Ref.	Not	But	~	True I	f ad	Total
					(Decreary)			les .												
heuenoe																				
10050	Product Revenue	7,562,514	2,718,455	30,330,997		10,830,997	10,837,018	903,087	908,087	905,087	901,087	905,017	901,087	903,087	903,087	905,087	903,087	905,087	908,087	10,837,048
40820	Services Revenue	4,096,711	1,720,546	5,817,077		5,817,077	6,362,029	508,502	508,562	508,502	508,502	506,502	508,502	508,502	508,502	508,502	508,502	508,962	508,562	4,102,015
40000	Maintonance Revenue	745,818	210,225	1,006,049		3,006,040	1,055,329	87,544	87,944	87,944	87,544	87,944	87,944	87,944	87,944	87,944	87,544	87,544	87,944	1,015,321
40040	Other Revenue	563,792	154,963	518,755		518,755	544,165	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,347	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	45,547	544,185
	Total Revenue	12,768,904	4,605,975	17,872,877	N/A	13,672,877	18,198,961	1,514,880	1,514,880	1,514,880	1,514,880	.544,880	1,541,880	1,341,880	1,544,880	1,144,880	1,511,880	1,516,880	1,514,880	14,558,563
Cent of Se	ales																			
50050	COGS - Product Sales	345,052	951,301	1,290,363		1,297,360	1,360,953	113,409	113,409	113,409	113,409	153,409	113,409	113,409	113,409	113,409	113,409	113,409	113,409	1,368,913
	Cost of Sales	346,862	951,805	1,297,965	N/6	1,217,365	1,360,913	113,609	115,609	113,609	111,409	113,409	\$13,409	111,409	111,409	115,409	111,409	115,409	113,609	1,558,913
reaft Mu	rgin	12,422,848	1,952,672	16,175,515	NA.	16,875,515	17,177,649	3,433,473	1,421,471	1,411,471	1,451,471	1,418,471	1,431,471	1,431,471	1,431,471	1,421,473	1,431,471	1,421,471	1,418,471	17,177,649
Profit Me		97.3%	00.5%	52.7%	NA	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92,7%	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92.7%	92,7%	92.7%	92.7%
Nel Inese		2,576,689	288,322	2,890,911	5,000,000	2,859,011	8,000,000	298,000	254,080	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,800	250,000	256,000	250,000	238,000	250,000	250,000	
Net Incod	na %.	20.2%	5.8%	18.7%	NA	36.2%	16.7%	36.2%	10.3%	16.2%	18.2%	58.2%	16.2%	16.7%	16.2%	16.7N	36.2%	16.7%	16.2%	36.25

Profit & Loss Breakback - Create Forecast Based on Actual + Budget

Forecasting

Forecasting is a good practice for all companies. This section will describe why it is important and how it is different than a budget, such as these five points:

- ✓ A forecast is normally a much quicker process and involves fewer employees.
- ✓ Forecasts, in general, are entered at the general ledger account level, while detailed templates, such as personnel templates, are not used.
- ✓ A budget is a company's intention for the coming year, while a forecast is the most up-todate expectation of what will happen over the remaining months of the year.
- ✓ The budget is finalized prior to the start of the year while a forecast can be created monthly or quarterly once the year has started and actual data can be reviewed.
- ✓ Many companies create multi-year forecasts while budgets are only for the coming year.

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

The budget is created one to two months prior to the start of the year, so much of the budget is created up to fourteen months prior to the start of the month. As an example, the budget is finalized in November for a company based on a calendar fiscal year, which is a year prior until the next November happens. Much can change in the economy, industry, products, competitors, employees, and leadership of an organization. A forecast can more accurately take this into account and thus will impact decision-making.

Asia Pacific companies can impact the bottom line by forecasting on a regular basis, whether in good times or bad. An organization will be much more agile by forecasting monthly, and doing so will impact some of the following decisions:

- ✓ Expense reduction and tightening up the authority to spend money.
- ✓ Employee raises, new hires, and terminations.
- ✓ Capital expenditures reductions or increases.
- ✓ Strategic planning and modifying initiatives.

A forecast should contain the current year actual data for the closed months and then allow the departmental managers to modify the amounts for the remaining months. It is a good practice to copy the budget data to the forecast, which will then allow managers to only concentrate on changes in the forecast. Another option is to show the prior year actual data as well. Below is an example of a forecast template, for three months, that is executed for the sales department:

Forecasting																		
Account Account Description	Actual Jan-17	Advel Feb 17	Actual Marc17	Actual Am 17	Actual May 17	Actual Jun 17	Actual Jul 37	And Actual	Actual Sep-17	Foresast Oct-17			70744	Actual Jan 16	Actual Feb 35	Actual Mar-16	Actual Apr-15	Actual May 15
		all pre-		100111				10200	10000	CALLD	10,100	E1010	10112		ukres.		101110	
Revenues											-							
40010 Product Revenue	843,763	787,850	782,411	796,713	685,961	1,056,454	985,225	812,547	750,880	662,775	741,477	1,017,201	30,004,015	625,500	682,509	615,566	775,262	808,388
43030 Services Revenue	0			0			0								-		-	
43030 Maintenance Revenue	75,856	\$4,414	79,133	76,925	70,012	303,826	98,778	98,000	59,664	69,438	66,705		978,742	70,008	80,260	60,608	76,809	68,758
40040 Other Bevenue	42,234	35,500	88,804	35,894	\$2,725	64,601	52,294	50,522	\$1,677	41,431	46,131			33,254	36.004	36,517	44.005	81,754
Total Revenues	961,892	902,745	893,848	909.523	788,719	1,201,901	1,137,298	1,040,968	822,820	373.622	804,313	1,187,200	11,487,569	733,162	298,525	768,693	896.075	911,901
Cast of Goods Salds																		
50010 COOS - Product Sales	281,476	245,542	270,758	258,454	235,435	342,409	328,225	546,062	254,515	268,936	288,521	335,247	3,415,415	(214,413)	(280,172)	(238,202)	(251.00%)	(243,853)
Fotal Cost of Goods Sold	281,476	245,562	270,758	258,414	215,415	341,409	328,225	546,062	254,515	268.935	288,571	\$15,247	3,435,450	(216,610)	(380.172)	(238,202)	(251.80%)	(241,851)
Grees Profit	680.436	642,183	629,079	651,100	551,304	861,552	800.072	404.906	\$47,795	101.686	565,692	\$12,013	8.011,717	-	1.078.745	-	1.147.885	1 105 104
Gress Profit %	78.7%	72.8%	69.95	71.6%	70.2%	71.6%	72.1%	56.8N	69.0%	65.2%	66.2%		69.9%	135.0%	175.1%		128.1%	126.7%
Careto Printi la	76.7.9	11.04	49.74	12.00	1949	75.676	10.00		-	87.4.8	00.2.5	71.0%	07.7%	LUMB	111.17	145.7.8	100.171	Maria
Expenses																		
60010 Full Time - Salary	59,452	\$2,923	63,918	57,230	46,800	75,150	64,248	75,546	50,415	54,787	\$5,521	68,371	738,328					
60020 Full Time - Commission	4.50	4,274	4,701	3,725	3,546	4,905	5,300	4,990	4,165	4,635	4,558	6,522	55,838					
60030 Pull Time - Bonus	15,979	34,539	14,583	14,175	33,097	19,510	35,240	38,652	15,954	25,599	15,792	25,028	187,129					
61010 Part Time - Salary	6,407	5,585	6,578	5,454	4,776	7,443	7,953	6,870	6,815	5,611	6,247	7,764	76,421					
61040 Part Time - Overtime	1,125	1,527	1,613	1,377	1,254	1,998	1,910	1,916	1,995	1,259	1,451	1,690	38,614					
61050 Part Time - Bonus	2,517	2,401	2,446	2,108	1,720	2,759	2,465	2,904	1,975	2,175	2,442	2,790	28,483					
61300 Painoli Taxes - FICA	11.009	5,872	10.543	10.595	9,203	12.140	11.485	13.921	9,825	30.248	12,949	34,561	136,656					
61510 Payroll Taxes - FUTA	1,442	1,967	1,582	1,890	1,254	1,843	1,808	1,975	1,874	1,617	1,506	2,810	19,258					
61530 Pauroli Taves - SUTA	1,554	2,430	1,567	1.255	3,293	1,580	1,945	1,645	1,520	1,556	1,648	1,826	18,850					
61530 Pauroli Taxes - Workers Compense	1,540	1,308	1,001	1,200	3,122	1,897	1,874	1,601	1,403	1,522	1,585	2,004	38,826					
61340 Benefits	295	205	299	299	230	343	337	338	274	325	528	581	3.7.22					
62010 Marketing	111.875	126,729	325,354	98,279	93,670	150,067	342,775	157,645	125,151	305,938	150,545	163,252	1.525.005					
62030 Conference and seminars	1,009	916	999	879	776	1,226	1,185	1,166	1,064	1,068	1,207	1,531	13,457					
62030 Advortising	334	375	348	355	276	462	401	419	367	368	361	479	4.579					
62940 Gift and donations	38	32	56	35	29	47	42	40	55	39	44	50	467					
65010 Trinvel	576	828	793	675	538	858	785	858	624	704	791	902	8.568					
63030 Special events	110	138	347	113	82	150	145	547	125	109	149	170	1,586					
64010 Consulting	2,607	2,427	2,644	2,825	2,118	2,861	8,072	3,205	2,390	2,628	2,764	1,848	12,886					
64020 Audit and Accounting	441	492	415	452	377	582	564	565	457	427	512	634	5,578					
64030 Legal	2,435	2,035	2,217	1,970	1,687	2,996	2,442	2,803	2,002	2,211	2,938	2,766	38.471					
68048 Contractual Services	5.79	544	367	360	345	454	497	447	34.9	406	394	512	4,680					
64050 Training	25	26	28	25	24	34	32	13	28	24	11	38	148					
65010 Bod Debt Expense	26	26	29	27	22	33	34	15	26	27	30	45	258					
Foral Expenses	254.190	246,957	254,891	215.659	188,996	282,580	280.547	515,364	257,918	224.045	275,442	514,870	3.462.557					

Another example is a rolling forecast. The section to the left, in blue, will show 12 months of actual input (not all shown), and the yellow allows for 12 months of forecast input into the next 12 months. This type of template is for companies that don't create a budget but forecast monthly.

														Test			
00.02.11	Assent Description	54p-28	01.38	Nov 18	04C 18	398-17	Http://	94/17	Apr. 17	May 17	36.17	84.17	Aug 17	Actal	54p.17	02.17	NN-17
	Revenues																
40030	Product Revenue	727,797	172,319	900,451	1.138.000	\$43,703	787,830	782,411	294,713	685,944	1,056,454	906,226	832,347	10.529,753	642,773	052,775	241,47
40020	Services Revenue		0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0							
40070	Maintenance Revenue	81,610	85,510	108,013	115,079	75,856	84,434	78,185	24,815	26,012	102,926	94,778	88,509	1,075,126	69,458	55,458	66,75
40010	Other Revenue	29,995	45,009	48,004	48,238	62,274	13,571	38,301	13,895	81,735	44,805	54,254	30,823	524,540	41,413	43,433	48,23
	Total Revenues	045,011	1,003,585	1,855,458	1.382,647	961,852	907,745	895,845	999.525	288.719	1.811.941	1,137,294	1,840,968	12120-626	779.482	771.622	854.31
	Expenses																
60030	Full Time - Salary	63,208	88,757	67,826	80,404	50,452	53,933	63,918	57,230	496,800	75,250	FC24	72,546	772,800	\$4,787	9,207	55.52
60020	Pull Time - Commission	4,202	4,927	5,358	5,587	4.545	4,234	4,701	3.725	3.545	4,586	5,300	4,990	35,990	4.615	4,536	4.55
60076	Pult Time - Bonas	16,219	15,095	20,274	21,200	13,979	14,599	14,585	54,175	31,047	29,518	34,348	15,610	195,545	15,589	15,599	15,76
612200	Part Time - Salary	8,075	6,349	7,820	8,812	8,407	3,583	6,378	3,494	4,219	7,013	2,83	6,870	78,910	3,452	5,803	6,24
61240	Part Time - Overtime	1,489	1,543	3,677	2,179	1,125	1,527	1.613	1,877	1,254	1,698	1,010	1,916	19,905	1.259	1,259	1,45
61558	Part Time - Bonus	2,324	2,545	3,006	2,296	2,317	2,405	2,445	2,508	1,730	2,719	2,465	2,904	21,770	2,173	2,173	2,44
61500	Paryroril Taxons - FICA	9,495	11,728	\$4,083	14,008	11,079	9,870	10,645	10,195	9,205	\$2,548	11,415	13,821	132,960	10,348	10,248	12.94
81500	Paryson Ranad - PURA	1,578	1,992	3,972	2,080	1,412	1,717	1,542	1,390	1,294	1,845	1,805	1,872	28,874	1,617	3,837	1,40
61520	Parenti Taxes - SUTA	1,474	1,643	1,754	1,862	1,534	1,430	1,567	1,295	1,295	1,680	1,045	1,645	10,003	1,556	3,554	1.64
41520	Persoli Texes - Workeys Compensation	1,400	1,559	1,505	2,120	1,540	1,500	1.611	1,240	1.122	1,897	3,874	1,985	19,227	1.522	3,572	1.68
61540	Revetins .	314	264	285	354	296	209	299	299	230		357	318	5,672	325	101	5.2
8.3030	Marketing	120,018	310,830	159,602	156,507	111,805	126,779	123,394	98,279	91,879	100,047	\$12,779	132,845	1,545,147	205,918	125,918	350,34
62020	Conference and seminars	1,114	1,349	1,367	3,457	1,039	926	999	879	276	1,216	1,116	1,266	13,515	1,068	3,068	1.26
63030	Advertising	349	405	427	405	334	329	340	205	275	462	401	425	4,003	368	308	- 54
63340	GR and donations	57	41	42	-05	58	52	35	35	29	47	42	40	457	59		- 4
63030	frave:	655	759	154	100	5.76	6.25	700	8/05	239	10.8	785	82.6	8,191	794	704	29
63020	Special events	318	316	349	363	1.30	1.88	142	228	. 92	254	345	947	1,900	100	309	54
64030	Conculting	2,639	2,958	0,254	1,581	2,807	2,427	2.644	2.334	2,118	2,061	0.072	1,205	33,868	2,628	2,628	2.76
64000	Audit and Accounting	494	529	600	554	441	482	495	-452	377	182	254	545	6,255	427	407	51
64030	Legal	2,219	2,397	3,254	2,903	2,418	3,088	2,217	1,910	1,887	2,598	2,412	2,805	29,250	2,713	2,231	2.92
64040	Contractual Services	362	404	441	90	179	244	367	240	345	456	497	447	4,955	404	- 404	- 19
64050	Training	29	23	21	29	25	26	28	25	24	34	32	35	300	24	24	
45030	Bad Debt Depense	28		52	40	26	26	29	37	22	35	34	35	354	27	27	
65020	Indurance	1,208	1,284	1,284	2,054	1,072	1,121	1,142	915	952	1,088	1,019	1,410	13,152	1,189	3,189	1,14
67030	Office Supplies	813	294	3,006	3,082	726	802	807	728	541	883	947	801	33,040	661	661	- 11
67020	Other Supplies	456	533	553	001	442	400	405	418	244	591	509	585	6.054	401	471	45
68035	Hosting Fees	79	91	305	115		94	85	85	65	303	97	112	1,122	94		
6.0000	Telephone	2,153	2,774	2,878	1,531	2,234	3,439	2,758	1,897	1,890	2,805	2,012	2,528	29,387	2,008	2,008	2,28
68230	Telephone - Cellular	782	218	552	3,033	605	787	728	642	595	840	322	997	9,712	151	101	81
	Total Expenses	241.682	265,094	281,062	315,868	226.635	201.301	248.618	204.829	384.433	225.898	273,436	306.529	3.870,348	218.501	218,501	269.55
	Net Peofit Bare)			814,564	1.002.259				206.646	624,285	908,871	100.000	736,458	9.850.278			24477

Additional options are available, such as building a five-year forecast. For a five-year forecast, it is recommended to forecast the first two years by quarter and the last three years annually, as it will be very difficult to estimate monthly past the first year unless the company's revenue and expenses are very predictable.

One other option that works well for a monthly forecast is to enter an expected annual amount by each account. Then create a calculation that subtracts the annual amount entered from the actual year-to-date data and allocate the remaining amount. This amount should be allocated based on historical actual data. One final example that we recommend is the Breakback template that was discussed in the Top-Down section.

The Breakback example below allows a manager to enter a few amounts to create the forecast in October, November, and December:

- 1) The desired net Income of \$600,000. This is the main driver of the Breakback template and the only input that is mandatory.
- 2) Increase all administration expenses by 3%. All of the departments are available for a global increase or decrease across all accounts.
- 3) Increase administration full-time salaries by 6%. All of the accounts are available for a global increase or decrease across all accounts.

Profit & Loss Breakback - Create Forecast Based on Actual Annualized

ster Desked Net Income	\$688,000
unne/(Decreme) in Department	
Administration Environ & Accounting Sales & Marketing Pluman Resources Professional Services	3.00%

Next Account						What M	Actual												
						Lornario	Ter li												
Revenue			1000																
40010 Product Revenue	800	2,363,364	30,894,898	0.00%		22,808,991	\$43,261	787,890	782,451	796,718	\$63,995	1,034,494	985,228	892,817	750,880	1,137,314	1,114,948	1,405,648	11,101,95
40020 Services Revenue	800	6,096,731	3,806,258	0.00%		6,123,485	450,808	382,795	417,647	387,154	380,392	506,989	490,540	109,682	\$41,925	005,175	660,129	762,456	6,125,48
40050 Maintenance Revenue	\$30	745,818	1,068,550	0.00%		1,114,792	75,856	84,434	79,155	76,918	70,952	302,936	98,778	98,099	58,854	130,179	120,177	158,625	1,114,78
40040 Other Revenue	300	365,792	\$21,226	0.00%		543,789	42,274	35,526	38,304	15,894	\$2,725	44,822	\$2,294	50,523	\$1,677	55,740	58,619	67,618	545,76
Total Bromue		12,758,964	58,291,954	194	NA	10.001.998	1.412.200	1,297,538	1.3(7,295	1,726,627	1.169.111	1,210,050	1,677,857	1.686,645	1.161.145	1,000,240	2,052,507	2,323,546	19.885.95
Cost of Seles		-																	
50010 COCS - Preduct Seles	300	2,562,847	3,679,780	0.00%		3,800,754	281,476	245,552	279,768	258,414	205.415	342,409	\$28,225	346,062	254,515	378,587	412,942	476,354	3,830,75
Tetal Cect of Sales		2.562,847	1,672,780	104	NA	3,495,751	281,476	245,562	279,768	256,414	295,415	342,400	828,225	346,062	254,515	378,547	412,962	426,854	8,810,75
Profit Margia		10,296,057	34,822,124	195	NA	15,855,247	1.181,214	1,096,975	1.046,527	1,038,253	923,695	1,968,942	1,299,412	1,254,588	1,138,610	1,507,453	1.444.545	1,896,982	15,255,24
motit Margia %		75.95	78.9%			78.9%	80.1%	81.0%	71.4%	78.8%	75.95	80.0%	21.8%	78.4%	83.5%	71.9%	28,25	79.9%	75.99
Departmental Expenses																			
60013 Pull Time - Salary	100	687,467	994,930	3.00%		1,014,478	80,731	72,159	74,542	64,151	65,597	98,515	87,654	86,854	65,175	92,543	105,509	\$22,859	5,854,47
60020 Full Time - Commission	100	55,800	81,578	3.00%		83,819	6,055	6,105	5,856	5,259	5,206	7,809	7,421	7,803	5,268	8,058	8,800	30,151	85,85
60030 Full Time - Bonus	100	180,778	258,999	3.00%		255,799	20.375	25,585	22,157	25,394	15,922	25,509	21.673	21,477	22,058	25,576	28.008	32,307	246.76
61010 Part Time - Salary	100	74,032	106,055	3.00%		109,247	7,685	0,137	8,847	6,905	6,878	5,634	0.481	9,355	8,027	90,585	11,479	10,230	109,24
62040 Part Time - Overtime	200	18414	26,381	3.00%		27,173	1,768	3,842	2,251	1,853	1,624	2,303	2,544	2,306	1,872	2,645	2,853	3,291	27,27
61050 Part Time - Bonus	100	27,582	36,517	140%		40,700	8,000	2,989	2,952	2,968	2,199	1,294	1,855	1,762	3,013	1,918	4,279	4,929	40,70
61500 Paynell Taxes - FICA	330	1302455	187,189	3.00%		122,804	14,800	18,568	24,815	28,347	11,479	15,100	25,171	18,043	14,728	28,557	20,242	28,850	192,90
61510 Paynol Taxas - FUTA	100	14,049	25,908	1.00%		25,645	1,894	2,086	2,007	1,807	1,449	2,482	2,167	2,395	1,987	2,568	2,802	3,282	25,64
ALNOD Payners Taxes - SUITA	230	14,191	28,025	100%		25,785	1,807	2,094	2,212	1,867	3,649	2,585	2,282	2,248	3,109	2,578	3,812	8,244	26,78
61533 Paytol Takes - Workers Comp.	130	18,160	28,017	1.00%		25,798	1,826	3,825	2,281	1,756	1,415	2,575	2,167	2,825	2,065	2,579	2,815	3,245	25,79
61540 Benefits	100	3,798	5,441	3.00%		5,604	594	439	434	544	552	475	485	485	425	5.59	588	679	5,60
62010 Marketing	100	1,507,931	2,155,245	3.00%		2,717,840	367,612	363,222	157,275	349,585	123,551	294,580	194,115	151,819	152,085	211,457	252,849	268,595	2,217,84
\$2929 Conference and seminars	100	12,817	34, 553	3.00%		18,915	1,599	3,249	1.576	1,210	1,159	1,585	1.751	1,653	1,236	1,820	1,586	2,291	18.91
\$2030 Advertising	300	4,546	6,227	3.00%		6,415	427	431	484	423	392	580	529	628	-642	517	673	777	6,40
62940 Gift and donations	100	444	636	1.00%		655	44	50	53	44	15	62	60	54	43	63	65	79	65

Variance Analysis

The calculation of a variance is the difference between the actual expenses and the budget, the actual expenses and the prior-year expenses, or the actual expenses and the forecast. It is recommended that the budget be subtracted from actual expenses for revenue data, but it is the opposite for expenses. The reason for this is that a positive variance is typically good while a negative variance is typically bad. Ask your staff why variances should be calculated and why they should analyze the variances. The first step is to calculate variances and allow departmental managers to enter comments to document the reasons for the variances.

Below are some examples of variance reports. The first report is a comparison against the budget for both month-to-date and year-to-date data. The middle section allows for comments, and conditional formatting is added to quickly highlight variances that should be reviewed. The second report is an exception report that allows the manager to filter out variances over or under a specific percentage so that the largest variances can be reviewed.

Profit and Loss Variance Report

		rgtember, 2017			September, 2017	YTD	YTD	
Account Descriptions	Actual	Bud	Variance	% Veriance	Comment Input	Actual	Bed	Variance
Revenue								
40010 Product Revenue	730,880	744,401	(13,521)	-1.8%		7,562,564	7,247,727	314,837
40020 Services Revenue	540,925	421,463	119,462	28.3%	One big project extended with a change order	4,096,731	4,406,947	(310,216
40030 Maintenance Revenue	59,664	60,768	(1,104)	-1.8N		745,818	652,557	93,261
40040 Other Revenue	31,677	40,601	(8,924)	-22.0%		363,792	335,236	28,556
Total Revenue	1,363,145	1,267,253	95,912	7.6N		12,768,904	12,642,467	126,437
Cost of Goods Sold								
50010 COGS - Product Sales	254,515	246,880	7,635	3.1%		(2,562,847)	2,689,849	(5,252,696
Total COGS	254,515	246,880	7,635	3.1%		(2,562,847)	2,689,849	(5,252,696
Gross Profit	1,108,630	1,020,353	88,277	8.7%		15,331,752	9,952,618	5,379,134
Gross Profit Margin	81.33N	80.52%	0.81%	1.0%		120.07%	78.72%	12643744.009
Expenses								
Administration								
Salaries and Benefits	124,724	130,654	5,990	4.5%		1,233,920	124,724	1,109,196
62010 Marketing	\$62,006	162,006	0	0.0%		1,502,901	162,006	1,340,045
62020 Conference and seminars	1,256	1.960	(04)	-7.5%		\$2,817	1,256	11,561
62030 Advertising	442	425	(17)			4,346	442	3,904
62040 Gift and donations	43	41	(2)	-5.2%		444	43	401
Sales and Marketing	163,827	163,720	(107)	-0.1%		1,520,538	163,827	1,356,711
Travel and Entertainment	1,108	1,132	24	2.1%		9,353	1,108	8,245
Professional Fees	6,949	6,749	(200)	-3.0%		70,409	6,949	63,460
Bad Debt	36	36	0	0.1%		350	36	314
Business Insurance	1,581	1,613	32	2.0%		13,647	1,581	12,066
Office Supplies	1,711	1,585	(126)	-8.0%		15,207	1,711	13,496
Telephone and Internet	4,065	3,947	(118)	-3.0%		38,486	4,065	34,422
Rent and Utilities	3,563	3,645	82	2.3%		33,065	3,563	29,502
Admin Expenses	3,867	3,740	(127)	-3.4%	1	38,793	3,867	34,925
Total Administration Expenses	311,431	316,821	(5,390)	-1.7%		2,973,768	249,448	2,218,391
Finance & Accounting								
Salaries and Benefits	75,166	74,896	(270)	-0.4%		764,371	75,166	689,205
Sales and Marketing	90,952	90,901	(51)	-0.1%		892,064	90,952	801,112
Travel and Entertainment	563	585	22	3.7%		5,754	563	5,191

Control Report - Exception Discovery

				Contraction and a second		Septe		
Company	▼ Dept	💌 Account Type	 Account 	Description	Actual 🔻	Budget 💌	Variance 💌	Variance % 🖪
U.S.	100	Expenses	67010	Office Supplies	1,074	954	(120)	-11%
U.S.	100	Expenses	61500	Payroll Taxes - FICA	14,723	13,340	(1,383)	9%
U.S.	100	Expenses	61530	Payroll Taxes - Workers Compensati	2,065	1,899	(166)	-8%
U.S.	100	Expenses	70020	Postage	489	450	(39)	-8%
U.S.	100	Expenses	75010	Taxes	16,826	13,610	(3,216)	-19%
U.S.	200	Expenses	77020	Depreciation - Equipment	355	326	(29)	-8%
U.S.	200	Expenses	68010	Hosting Fees	71	63	(8)	-11%
U.S.	300	Revenues	40040	Other Revenue	31,677	40,601	(8,924)	-28%
U.S.	300	Expenses	66010	Insurance	1,131	1,011	(120)	-11%
U.S.	300	Expenses	76010	Interest	1,033	950	(83)	-8%
U.S.	300	Expenses	67020	Other Supplies	461	388	(73)	-16%
U.S.	300	Expenses	70020	Postage	448	412	(36)	.8%
U.S.	400	Expenses	65010	Bad Debt Expense	12	10	(2)	-13%
U.S.	500	Expenses	62030	Advertising	181	148	(33)	-18%
U.S.	500	Expenses	60030	Full Time - Bonus	7,578	6,588	(990)	-13%
U.S.	500	Expenses	61050	Part Time - Bonus	1,053	891	(162)	-15%
U.S.	500	Expenses	75010	Taxes	6,006	4,749	(1,257)	-21%

There are many ways of showing variances, but the true key is how management is going to create actionable items to prevent or correct the issues. For some, it is an exercise of going through the variance process and documenting the reasons for variances, but if the same meeting happens the next month and the same comments are entered or stated, then there is no reason for the variance analysis. There are many reasons to analyze the variances, such spotting trends, opportunities, issues, and successes. Variances should be a precursor to a reforecast, which can impact hiring decisions, marketing spending, and strategy changes.

Below are some best-practice recommendations on variance reporting and analysis processes:

- ✓ Provide variance reports to each department manager.
- ✓ The finance department should meet with each department manager to review the variances and discuss any concerns and successes.
- ✓ Create an input form that stores comments for all departmental material variances.
- ✓ Concentrate on the larger variances and discuss with the executive management of the company.
- ✓ Determine if changes need to be made to the strategy and initiatives of the organization.
- ✓ Continually reforecast and make decisions regarding the forecast. Once a forecast is created, then the variance reports should be off of the forecast first and the budget second.
- ✓ Document the action items and review them at the start of the next meeting.

Reporting & Analysis

While historically, reporting and analysis has mostly been a centralized, corporate activity with an executive audience, leading APAC organizations today are "democratizing" information, making relevant ad-hoc analysis, reports and dashboards available near real-time to rank and file employees to empower them to make world-class decisions compared to competitors that lack modern BI technologies and with policies that limit access to much of their data. According to the research covered in Chapter 1, the difference in performance between companies that enable employees with data versus those that don't is staggering. So, with that in mind, what are the best tools to empower executives, managers and employees with timely, accurate and clear information?

While the prior sections in this chapter focused on forward-looking analytical activities such as strategy, goals, forecasts and budgets, we are now going to look at the critical functions of reporting and analysis. Let us first look at the type of tools or instruments that are available in best-in-class BI solutions so that we know what capabilities we have available to help drive reporting and analysis processes:

Categories of Reporting and Analysis tools

Report Writers

Report writers are used to create highly formatted statutory and internal reports such as financial statements or operational reports. After decades of evolution, many report writers are still too technical and require IT personnel or experts to design reports, both

because the user needs knowledge of the underlying database tables and because formulas are composed of proprietary syntax that are not common knowledge. This leads to a deep dependency on a few people in the organization, with the result being a lack of desirable reports, which again leads end users to resort to exporting or manually updating spreadsheets. Therefore, leading companies now generally implement report writers that either are Excel-based or that emulate Excel formulas and formatting, and they train designated business users to create and maintain corporate reports, all with the capability to deliver the finished reports on-demand with interactive filters and refresh in a webbrowser environment or by e-mail.

Ad-Hoc Query Tools

Sometimes also referred to as data discovery tools, as opposed to report writers, this type of reporting technology is not great for highly rigid, formatted reports. Rather, it is designed to let virtually any type of end user create reports on the fly with simple drag and drop functionality. This reduces the need for large numbers of formatted reports to be designed and maintained by power users. It therefore also solves the classical problem that an organization drowns in too many versions of reports that may only have been used once or twice to answer a particular business question and then have been abandoned. With an ad-hoc query tool, users can get answers to their pressing questions and then they either delete the query or save it for reuse later.

Scorecards

A number of specialized scorecard applications evolved out of the balanced scorecard wave in the early 2000s. However, these applications were too rigid and specialized, just like many Asia Pacific entities found the balanced scorecard methodology, but what has stuck from that era is the focus on key performance indicators (KPIs) and targets. Most companies just use their report writer or dashboards to calculate and present the KPIs, and many have designed a process with both corporate, departmental and individual KPIs that are measured and discussed on a periodical basis. It tends to be a much more efficient and focused way to zoom in on important information, rather than studying lengthy financial or operational reports, where only a few figures are of strong interest.

Dashboards

Business dashboards have been around a long time. Early on, they were usually stand-alone applications and they were very rigid and required experts to be designed and maintained. However, modern dashboard technologies are now fully integrated with BI suites that

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

also offer reporting, ad-hoc queries, budgeting and forecasting, and the dashboards can be designed by business users. A well-designed dashboard makes it easy for a manager to analyze and compare KPIs and other metrics, usually with charts and visual indicators.

• Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IOT), Machine Learning and Data Mining

Most of these technologies are still evolving, and we covered this in Chapter 2. However, modern BI solutions are starting to integrate with these technologies, with the ultimate goal of improving decision-making with better and more automated analysis of internal and external financial, operational and statistical information.

Easy to Which data sources should integrate to we feed to the my data DW? sources? Web interface Use Cloud or for easy user access and on-premises 0 low IT platform? dependency? 0 0 0 Workflow Easy for users functionality to design their to manage the own reports? budgeting process?

Technology factors that drive world-class reporting and analysis:
A company's success with a BI solution typically translates into three main categories: 1) Utilization of product functionality, 2) Implementation success, and 3) Employee BI Culture. Below we will discuss core product functionality that a company should look for when evaluating a new BI solution.

• Timeliness as a User Need and Time as a Functionality

Driven by employees' increasing demand for information to support their analysis and decision-making capabilities, it is critical that BI solutions support both timely information and time itself as a dimension. Timely information means that users get the right data at the right time. Some information may be annual or quarterly, like an annual budget or a quarterly forecast, while other information may be monthly, such as financial statements like profit and loss, balance sheet and cash flow reports. Data of a more operational nature such as sales reports and sales pipeline may be weekly, while account journals, inventory reports, etc. may be real time directly from the accounting system database.

When it comes to BI solutions' use of time as a dimension, it defines what detail of information a user can see when he or she looks at a report or drills down to do analysis of underlying data. While monthly and weekly data used to be the lowest time resolution in most BI systems, best-in-class BI solutions today can support any level of detail in data, all the way down to individual transactions from any module in the ERP system or other operational data sources. This ensures that alerts (see next section) have access to all key information, and it also eliminates the need for a user to switch from their BI solution over to their data source system, just to complete their analysis. The latter is very important, as a company that aspires to enable their employees with world-class decision-making needs to provide organization-wide access to the BI solution, and if the same users also needs to be licensed for and learn a transaction source (e.g., an ERP system), it could immediately be cost prohibitive, with increased learning curves and potential access problems.

• On-Demand vs. Push Reporting vs. Alerts

Above, we discussed timeliness of information. In an increasingly fast-paced, competitive climate, it is critical that decision-makers have the right information at their fingertips as soon as it is available. There are three ways that modern BI solutions ensure that this happens: 1) on-demand reporting, 2) push reporting and 3) alerts.

1) On-demand reporting and analysis: In the early days of BI, printing and distributing reports was the de facto standard to disseminate information. Today, in the digital age, this has evolved into self-service access for all users, typically through a web browser

interface or a mobile app. This means that an employee can access key information anywhere, anytime on almost any device to consume reports and make decisions.

- 2) Push reporting: While on-demand access is very important, oftentimes an employee is very busy with customers, projects, travel and so on, and it is important that information also can be delivered to them. Typically this occurs by e-mail. Best-inclass BI solutions offer automated e-mail distribution of reports and have features to schedule both the report processing and the distribution. Examples of this are weekly sales and pipeline reports, as well as project status reports. An additional advantage in large companies is that e-mail distribution of reports typically don't require the purchase of any user licenses of the BI solution.
- 3) Alerts: Even with on-demand reporting and push-reporting, executives and employees may still be too busy to consume all the information available to them with little time to look for issues or opportunities hidden in that information. This is where *alert* functionality plays an important role. An increasing number of BI suites offer business alerts. This is typically a feature where the user can set up a business rule to have the system send them an e-mail alert if a certain metric breaks the threshold of the rule. An example of this is if sales or inventory levels dips below a pre-specified, critical level. The alert is then sent to the user and he or she can immediately take action without any delays.
- Audit and Control

These features are very important to mid-sized and large APAC organizations in order to trust their key financial and operational data to an enterprise BI solution with users from across the organization. While smaller companies often close their accounting books, including all month-end adjustments, all within their ERP system, larger Asia Pacific entities typically rely on a corporate performance management solution to handle such month-end needs as currency conversion, inter-company eliminations and other adjustments, as well as financial consolidations. Strict audit and control features within the BI solution is therefore critical to ensure "one version of truth," where figures are an exact match whether reports are coming from the ERP system or the BI solution. Administrative users are typically the only users with access to define and maintain key performance indicators (KPIs) and metadata (organizational roll-up trees, attributes, report definitions, etc.), and in modern BI solutions, these items are therefore centrally maintained and then re-used in reports, dashboards and budget models to simplify design and maintenance of the underlying templates and to ensure high accuracy in reporting.

• Report Management

While world-class Asia Pacific companies have data warehouses that compile and organize all important data so that they can empower users with self-service reporting and analysis, they may have hundreds of users accessing the BI solution every day. Thus, accessing, finding and viewing reports has to be fast and easy. Modern BI solutions enable this with web-based portal interfaces that have features like:

- Report archives to publish, store and retrieve reports.
- 0 Split-screen analysis to compare multiple reports side by side on the screen.
- Search features.
- Favorites/Playlists for users or managers to organize reports and dashboards in various "presentation style" books.
- Workflow for must-read reports, such as month-end reconciliation and control reports.
- Interactivity

A web-based BI portal with easy access to reports, dashboards and budgets is a must have for organizations that want to enable world-class decisions for any user, anywhere at any time; it can also be a huge time saver if the content is interactive. This typically means that users can query and filter the reports and data, drill down to transaction detail from summary figures, and point and click to navigate dashboards.

• Comprehensive Data - ETL and Data Warehousing

It is a known and obvious factor in the world of reporting and analysis that your BI capabilities can only be as good as the data available to the BI tool. Best in class BI solutions therefore provide two essential platform components: 1) a data warehouse where data from virtually any type of data source as well as from the BI tool's own input forms can be stored in a well-organized and easily retrievable manner and 2) extraction, transformation and loading (ETL) functionality. A modern and powerful ETL tool should not only be relatively easy to configure and use but it should be capable of loading data both from cloud-based data sources and on-premise data sources.

• Actionables (comments, emails, alert)

To help drive world-class decisions in your organization, just providing easy access to powerful reports, analysis and dashboards in not enough. Modern BI solutions should be able to alert users if there is an issue with, e.g., a KPI threshold. For example, if sales of a

particular product dip more than 10% below the same period last year or if the current month's profitability goes below 15% of the budget—or if using predictive functionality—the system should alert you if next month's profitability is likely to be a problem. However, it should not stop there. While alerts or KPI reports can be great ways to quickly and easily detect business issues, the user should then be able to use functionality for ad hoc queries, drill down and trend analysis to find the *how*, *where*, *what* and *why* of a problem, and then be able to enter the conclusion and recommended action directly on a relevant report in the BI solution so that other managers can read it and not have to ask a lot of questions or repeat the same analysis themselves. Furthermore, the comments should be saved from the report to the database so that it can be retrieved in comparative reports and for future analysis.

• Easy

The bottom line is that a BI solution can have an incredible amount of functionality and flexibility, but if it is hard to use for the power users that are building most reports and administering the system or the end user interface is clunky so it takes too much navigation to find the relevant information, then it is likely that the system will suffer the fate of many expensive BI deployments; it will end up with a few super users and eventually die a slow death. So, the fact that a new BI solution *must* be easy to use cannot be stressed enough.

Accessibility

Getting easy access to a BI solution, especially for end users and remote users, is becoming less and less of an issue as modern solutions today provide both web browser interfaces that require no software installation on the end users' computers, as well as access via the internet from anywhere and mobile apps for easy reporting and analysis while the user is on the go. On the other hand, almost all APAC companies of a certain size in the world use Excel quite a bit for reporting, analysis, budgeting and forecasting, and Excel was never meant to be a multi-user, web- and mobile-friendly BI solution; thus, every time a company has to lean on manual Excel spreadsheets in their budgeting or reporting processes, it reduces their opportunity to enable all users across the organization with world-class decision-making. In summary, the modern BI solution should provide on-demand end-user access through the internet, as well as be able to deliver reports automatically via e-mail.

Examples:

In this final section of the chapter, we will list a number of reports and dashboard examples to provide inspiration for your own BI deployment. Most managers have by now seen most traditional financial and operational dashboards and reports, so in the rest of this chapter we have focused on examples that are somewhat unique or innovative.

Another important factor in order to enable easy and logical analysis is to make sure you set up your BI solution with folders or "playlists," sometimes referred to as "storyboards," so that reports and dashboards can be organized in the order that a structured analysis typically takes place. Putting proper thought into the order and content in the logical flow of analysis can be a significant enabler of world-class decisions in a company. Imagine reading a book where chapters do not flow in a logical order versus one where they do. The readers of the properly organized book will walk away with much higher understanding of the subject matter. Now think about a typical company with hundreds of reports, often from different ERP, CRM and data warehouse report writers with different logins and user interfaces and with no logical order or flow to analysis. It is fairly safe to say that the average BI user is far from empowered in this situation, yet it is very common, and the band aid is often manual compilation of the most important data in Excel or PowerPoint presentations, wasting time to find issues and missing the ability to drill down to detail to quickly answer questions.

Now imagine a single web portal accessible from anywhere, with organized playlists for different business areas, starting with big-picture items, then providing reports and dashboards with specific focus areas for detailed analysis of the items that requires attention. For example, for the executive team, the "corporate playlist" could be organized with reports and dashboards in this order:

1) Exception Report

The core purpose of this type of report is to quickly give attention to any business issue as represented by a KPI. A key feature is to only show KPIs where a given threshold is breached so that managers quickly can address problems and opportunities. A number of BI tools can also automatically distribute this as an "alert report" so that users don't need to repetitively log in to a system to look for exceptions.

2) KPI Report

This report provides a structured list of *all* the KPIs for a business unit so they can easily monitor these metrics and then, using supporting dashboards and reports such as the examples listed below, deep dive into any KPI of interest for further analysis. There should also be a comment ability in this KPI report so the user can enter the findings (reason for

issue and suggested action) and thereby easily enable their peers to see the commentary whenever needed.

3) Dashboard for KPI 1

This first dashboard follows the KPI report, and the goal is to show graphical analysis with comparative and trend analysis for the first KPI (KPI 1) in the KPI report (see above).

4) Financial Statement or Operational Report for KPI 1

This would be the first detailed report, such as a financial statement or a sales report, and the goal of the report is to provide numerical analysis for the first KPI 1, with drill down to the underlying transactions.

5) Dashboard for KPI 2

This second dashboard follows the KPI report, and the goal is to show graphical analysis with comparative and trend analysis for KPI 2 in the KPI report (see above).

- 6) Financial statement or Operational Report for KPI 2 This would be the second detailed report, such as a financial statement or a sales report, and the goal of the report is to provide numerical analysis for KPI 2, with drill down to the underlying transactions.
- 7) Dashboard for KPI 3 (and so on) This third dashboard follows the KPI report, and the goal is to show graphical analysis with comparative and trend analysis for KPI 3 in the KPI report (see above).
- 8) Financial Statement or Operational Report for KPI 3 (and so on) This would be the third detailed report, such as a financial statement or a sales report, and the goal of the report is to provide numerical analysis for KPI 3, with drill down to the underlying transactions.

Here in an example of a BI portal with reports and dashboards organized similarly to how it is described above:



And of course, a user should also be able to navigate the BI portal based on their ad-hoc analysis needs without following the suggested structure above.

The following are examples of different types of reports and dashboards that may provide you with content ideas for your own BI playlists. Note: Instead of focusing on very typical financial statements and dashboards, the goal on the following pages is to provide ideas and examples that you may not already have in mind. First, we will look at some examples at the corporate level and then we will look at a set of operational-level examples for a department:

Corporate Examples

• KPI Exception Report

The idea behind this type of report is that busy executives don't have to remember to log in to a BI solution to actively monitor their most important metrics to look for problems that quickly need their attention. Instead, they use the BI solution to monitor their KPIs and they decide which threshold each KPI should have in order to be triggered on, e.g., a daily exception report that is automatically emailed to them. If any KPIs they monitor are owned by another manager, this manager may already have entered an explanation for the variance that is now visible in the KPI exception report (see bottom of example below).

KPI: REVENUE			
Auto Comment			
Revenue this m	onth was 11%	6 higher than budg	et. The largest
contributor to t	his was License	sales, which was u	22% over Las
	alvze revenues		oss Report.
		PIDILOLI	233 ME99/16
Trend Analysis	5		
2,000,000	~		
1,500,000	-		-
1,000,000			
500,000			
0			
21	1 1 2 2 2	1 - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	2222
45-	a the de de	to to to to to the	to be de
Monthly Com	narlson		
1,500,000			
		1000	
1,000,000			
500,000			
0	Act Sep	Bud Sep	Act LY
	HLL SEP	bou sep	HLL LI
Year-to-Date (Comparison		
14,000,000			
12,000,000	_		
10,000,000	_		
8,000,000	_	_	_
6,000,000		_	_
4,000,000			
2,000,000			
0	YTD Act	YTD Bud	YTDLY
	TIDAL	110 000	11011

FOR MORE ANALYSIS, CLICK HERE:

Monthly Playlis

• KPI Report with Comments

bi360 Monthly KPI Report

While many companies have a rich set of reports to provide information to managers, it is often too much information and too little focus on what really matters. This is where KPIs come in. If they are carefully chosen based on each internal audience, they provide a quick way to monitor if each business area is performing well or not. If something important is found in a KPI, it adds a lot of incremental value if the BI solution allows the manager to enter their comment. This comment can then be surfaced in other reports as well, such as shown in the example above and in the comment analysis example shown later in this section.

Corporate US U.S. Dollars								
			Septembe	r-2015		Variance Comment	August-2	015
	Trend	Actual	2014 Actual	Bud	% Var	(This comment is stored to the database)	Actual	% Var
INCOME STATEMENT METRICS								
Product Revenues		730,880	727,797	744,401	98.2%		892,347	122.1%
Services		540,925	422,346	421,463	128.3%		559,682	103.5%
Other/Maintenance		91,341	121,215	101,369	90.1%		148,620	162.7%
Revenue		1,363,145	1,271,357	1,267,233	107.6%		1,600,649	117.4%
Expenses		8,996,417	980,306	8,648,634 0	104.0%	Due to unexpected hiring of 2 new FTEs	8,066,063 0	89.7%
Other Expenses		89,671	89,731	84,107 0	105.6%		106,850 @	119.2%
Net income [\$]		(7,633,272)	201,320	(7,465,508)	102.2%		(6,572,263)	86.1%
Net income [%]		-560.0%	15.8%	-589.1% 0	95.1%		-410.6% @	73.3%
Return on Assets (ROA)		-731.5%	27.9%	0.0% 0	0.0%		-632.6% @	86.5%
BALANCE SHEET METRICS								
Cash		1,027,218	1,027,218	654,000	157.1%	Due to cancelled LOC.	941,942 〇	91.7%
Current Ratio		2.68	2.68	0.00 0	0.0%		2.55 〇	95.0%
Accounts Receivable (Current)		151,845	151,845	160,000 0	94.9%		149,989 〇	98.8%
Accounts Payable (Current)		117,473	117,473		0.0%		115,444 @	98.3%
Debt to Equity		0.19	0.19	0.00 0	0.0%		0.20 @	108.1%
Debt to Assets		0.84	0.84	0.00 0	0.0%		0.84 @	100.0%
STATISTICAL METRICS								
Employee Headcount		78	73	76 0	102.5%		78 〇	100.0%
Avg Revenue per Day		19,199	0	1,906 0	1007.5%	We budgeted way to low on this.	22,544 @	117.4%
Gross Revenue/Headcount		17,476	17,416	16,652 0	104.9%		20,521 @	117.4%

• PL with Comment Analysis

This is a rare report to see in a company because most Asia Pacific entities don't have BI tools that can save report comments to a database, but it can be very high value. Let us say that a company has a requirement that all actual to budget variances bigger than 10% have to be explained by the responsible manager entering a comment on the report. Later, it is then interesting to have a report that "scoops" up all comments across all departments, as these likely represents important business issues. However, after some months or a year, a second analytical opportunity also presents itself; it becomes interesting to analyze if any issues are recurring problems that have not been fixed, where month after month a manager states that the same problem exists. Below is an example of such a report.

		Se	ptember, 2	015		September, 2015	August, 2015	July, 2005
Account	Descriptions	Actual	Bud	Variance	% Variance	Comment Input	Comment Input	Comment Input
Revenue								
40010	Product Revenue	730,880	744,401	(13,521)	-1.8%			
40020	Services Revenue	540,925	421,463	119,462	28.3%	No more staff additions, Will reforecast.	Added 1 more person not in budget	Added 5 more services personnel
40030	Maintenance Revenue	39,604	60,758	(1, 314)	-1.8%			
40040	Other Revenue	31,677	40,601	(8,924)	-22.0%			
	Total Revenue	1,361,145	1,267,213	95,952	7.6%			
Cost of 6	oods Sold							
30000	COGS - Product Sales	279,372	245,890	32,452	13.2%	Same as prior month. Remember this for budget	Higher gas prices still an issue	High inventory cost due to higher trsp price
	Total COGS	279,372	246,880	32,492	13.2%			
Geoss Pro	ne sin	1,083,778	1,620,358	63,420	6.2%			
Geoss Pro	ofit Margin	29.51%	80.52%	-1.01%	-1.8%			
Expense								
Administ	ration							
600220	Full Time - Selary	65,375	72,751	7,576	20.4%	Due to the additional hires in Aug/Sep		
60020	Full Time - Commission	5,214	4,952	(204)	-6.4%			
60050	Full Time - Bonus	20,068	23,670	602	2.9%			
61010	Part Time - Salary	8,027	7,947	(80)	-1.0%			
60040	Part Time - Overtime	1,872	1,741	(181)	-7.5%			
60050	Part Time - Bonus	8,015	2,892	(121)	-4.2%			
60300	Payrol I Taxes - FICA	33,902	13,540	(542)	-4.2%			
61330	Payroll Taxes - PUTA	1	2,067	INAUE	0.0%			
60320	Payrol I Taxes - SUTA	2,300	1,985	(116)	-6.0%			
62330	Payrol i Taxes - Workers Comp.	2,065	1,899	(199)	-4.7%			
62540	Benefits	423	400	(3.8)	-0.2%			

• Executive Briefing Card

The executive briefing card is an example of a report designed to provide all pertinent information for a certain type of manager (executive, sales, services, etc.) on a single page and in a very easily readable format. The example below shows a combination of automatically generated text summary, mini reports, charts, links to more detailed reports, etc.

b:360

CORPORATE FACTS

Executive Briefing Card

Performance Summary for September, 2015

ieadcount	Sep-15	Sep-14
Actual	78.0	73.0
Budget	76.1	72.3

REVENUE TRENDS





Sales are up this month, with a \$91,788 increase against the same month last year.	Net Income has
decreased with 243.9% over last year with profits of \$88,605 vs\$61,557 last year.	The largest contributo
to revenues is Product Sales at \$7,562,564, followed by Services at \$4,096,731.	

	Current Month					Year-to-Date					
Act	Act LY	Var	Bud		Var	Act	Act LY	Var	Bud	Var	
1.36	1.27	0.09	1.27		0.10	12.77	11.56	1.21	12.64 🔘	0.13	
1.11	1.01	0.10	1.02	۲	0.09	10.21	9.17	1.03	9.95 🔘	0.25	
0.0%	0.00	0%	0.00		0%	0.0%	0.0%	0%	0.0%	0%	
0.09	(0.06)	0.15	0.01	۲	0.08	0.36	(80.0)	0.44	0.47 🔘	(0.11)	
	1.36 1.11 0.0%	Act Act LY 1.36 1.27 1.11 1.01 0.0% 0.00	Act Act LY Var 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.11 1.01 0.10 0.0% 0.00 0%	Act Act LY Var Bud 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 1.11 1.01 0.10 1.02 0.0% 0.00 0% 0.00	Act Act LY Var Bud 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 Image: Constraint of the second seco	Act Act LY Var Bud Var 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 0.10 1.11 1.01 0.10 1.02 0.09 0.0% 0.00 0% 0.00 0%	Act Act LY Var Bud Var Act 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 0.10 12.77 1.11 1.01 0.10 1.02 0.09 10.21 0.0% 0.00 0% 0.00 0% 0.0%	Act Act LY Var Bud Var Act Act LY 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 0.10 12.77 11.56 1.11 1.01 0.10 1.02 0.09 10.21 9.17 0.0% 0.00 0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Act Act LY Var Bud Var 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 0.10 12.77 11.56 1.21 1.11 1.01 0.10 1.02 0.09 0.09 10.21 9.17 1.03 0.0% 0.00 0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Act Act LY Var Bud Var Act Act LY Var Bud 1.36 1.27 0.09 1.27 0.10 12.77 11.56 1.21 12.64 0.09 0.09 0.09 10.21 9.17 1.03 9.95 0.0% 0	

Do you have comments to the P&L or need the same report for other months? Log on to Insight:

Here

Balance Sheet Summary Monthly Change Act Act LY Var Cach 0.09 (0.05) 0.15

Act Act LY Va

Act Act LY Var

CFO Dashboard

This is an example of a dashboard tailored to a specific role, in this case the CFO. It contains a summary of key financial information related to revenues, liquidity, payables and receivables. Some of the most powerful dashboards have a specific focus that either support the responsibilities of a person or they provide an in-depth focus on a specific business area such as products, customers, projects, etc.



• Benchmark Dashboard

One thing is to have great BI focused on the performance of your own organization, but just as important can be to monitor your business performance as compared to that of competitors or industry averages, when available. The example below shows a benchmark dashboard.



General Ledger Exception Report •

While almost all APAC organizations have a core set of financial statements to monitor and analyze assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flow for different business units, they often don't have any easy way to see only significant actual to budget variances. The example below produces all variances above a certain threshold and across all departments and subsidiaries in a single report. In other words, it is a very quick way for executives to find important issues across the entire organization.

				Control Report - Exception		March	2012	
Compan	v 🔽 Dept	Account Ty	Account	Description	Actual 💌	Budget v	Variance v	Variance %
SAS	300	Revenues	40030	Maintenance Revenue	309,700	303,000	6,700	23
SAS	300	Revenues	40040	Other Revenue	151,800	150,000	1,800	19
SAS	300	Revenues	40010	Product Revenue	2,377,800	2,500,000	(122,200)	-5%
SAS	300	Revenues	40020	Service Revenue	1,602,300	1,700,000	(97,700)	-6%
SAS	300	Expenses	68120	Advertising	1,200	1,243	43	43
SAS	300	Expenses	68190	Bad Debt Expense	42,400	42,400	0	0%
SAS	300	Expenses	68150	Bank charges	600	700	100	17%
SAS	300	Expenses	68050	Conference and seminars	1,700	1,450	(250)	-15%
SAS	300	Expenses	63000	Consulting	5,200	5,400	200	43
SAS	300	Expenses	63040	Contractual Services	61,800	58,000	(3,800)	-6%
SAS	300	Expenses	66010	Depreciation - Building	74,300	45,320	(28,980)	-39%
SAS	300	Expenses	66040	Depreciation - Computer	600	400	(200)	-33%
SAS	300	Expenses	66030	Depreciation - Equipment	22,900	23,400	500	23
SAS	300	Expenses	68060	Dues, Licenses and Permits	4,200	5,000	800	19%
SAS	300	Expenses	61540	Educational Reimbursement	600	600	0	0%
SAS	300	Expenses	64030	Electricity	20,800	19,000	(1,800)	-9%
SAS	300	Expenses	63050	Equipment Lease and Rental	1,800	1,800	0	01
SAS	300	Expenses	60030	Full Time - Bonuses	13,800	17,393	3,593	269
SAS	300	Expenses	60500	Full Time - FICA	40,600	40,000	(600)	-1%
SAS	300	Expenses	60510	Full Time - FUTA	40,800	40,000	(800)) -29
SAS	300	Expenses	60020	Full Time - Overtime	1,200	900	(300)	-259
AS.	300	Expenses	60010	Full Time - Salaries	423,600	416,000	(7,600)	-29
SAS .	300	Expenses	60520	Full Time - SUTA	12,100	12,100	0	0%
SAS	300	Expenses	60530	Full Time - Workers Compensation	15,600	15,600	0	09
SAS	300	Expenses	68130	Gift and donations	600	600	0	09
SAS	300	Expenses	65010	Insurance	1,200	1,200	0	09

83

DecisionPack

Oftentimes, managers are busy or they don't have the time, the means or the skills to quickly and easily analyze all important areas for their business unit. In other words, it would help them significantly if they, either by email or within a BI portal, could be presented with all important reports and graphics within a single workbook or presentation. In the example below, appropriately named "DecisionPack," each tab is a report within the self-contained workbook, starting with an automated narrative summary, followed by reports with KPIs, financial statements, charts and supporting sales, payables and receivables. Some CPM solutions can produce these automatically as workbooks in Excel or as storyboards or playlists within web portals.

	Corporate US sees great improvement in September Headline News for the Month of September, 201											
Profits	The profitability this month was great, with a Net Income of \$88,605 compared to -\$61,557 for the same month last year. This represents a 243.9% improvement over last year. The Net Income Margin for the month was 6.5% compared to -4.8% for the prior year. The net income on a year-to-date basis was nice, with accumulated Net Income of \$359,903 compared to -\$75,550 in the prior year. This represents a 576.4% increase over last year.											
Revenues	The revenues for the month came in at \$1,363,145 compared to \$1,271,357 for the same month last year. This represents a 7.2% improvement over last year. Year-to-date revenue wasstrong \$12,768,904, which is up 10.5% compared to last year. The largest year-to-date revenue contributor was Product Revenue at \$7,562,564, which is 61.0% of total revenues.	13,000,000 12,000,000 13,000,000 10,000,000 LY Ytd Ytd Revenue: Ytd Budgeted Revenue: Revenue:										
Top Customers	The top customer this period was Computer Equipment Leasing, with billings of \$1 Central Distributing and Advanced Tech Satellite System with \$119,089 and \$114,42	•										
Top Products	The best selling product this period was Consulting. It had sales of \$397,400. Ranking second and third were Financial and Budgeting with \$354,750 and \$185,000 in sales respectively.	Consulting Financial Eudgeting										

Departmental Examples

Each department in a company has their own unique focus, such as accounting, sales, services, production, IT, and so on. A modern BI solution should provide each of them with their own tailored set of reports, dashboards and planning templates to optimize their decision-making abilities. When organized in the same BI portal as the corporate-level content (refer to examples in the prior section), these departmental-level templates also provide corporate managers with an opportunity to "drill down" into very detailed information to improve the communication and understanding of their individual operational areas. Below are various examples to provide you with some ideas.

• Departmental Dashboard – Product Sales

This is a typical example of a dashboard that targets a single area, such as sales, and within sales it specifically provides a product point of view. The vast majority of BI tools offer interactive dashboards so the user can drill down and filter out any information seen on the screen.



• Transaction report – Product Sales

While transaction reports exist in all ERP systems to review and analyze detailed data, this type of report is particularly powerful within a BI solution and when tied to a KPI report or a dashboard, such as in the prior example. It allows the manager to save time and their company to save software licenses by allowing the manager to stay within the BI portal for all the information they need without having to switch over to, e.g., an ERP system to do transaction-level analysis or, even worse, to have to stop their analysis in order to request this information from the accounting department.



Sales by Product Class

		December	, 2015		Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-
Product Description	Actual	Last Year	Variance	Var (%)	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actu
Juices								
Berry Blast	760,121	897,491	(137,370)	-15%	405,152	422,741	617,691	450
Protein Boost	1,282,944	1,229,446	53,498	496	346,964	504,251	1,366,525	997"
Veggie Dream	1,229,532	1,182,730	46,803	4%	538,012	824,868	1,601,437	1,229
Soft Drinks								
Cola Star	126,639	153,922	(27,283)	-18%	36,228	50,074	87,033	72:
Sweet Monster	125,408	156,946	(31,538)	-20%	101,894	75,418	124,992	94
Tender Flow	138,138	154,944	(16,806)	-11%	132,748	91,915	130,572	94
Tutti Frutti	118,772	148,971	(30,199)	-20%	54,234	70,891	148,408	116
Water								
Crystal Clear	2,360,160	2,003,889	356,271	18%	1,159,488	712,932	1,473,120	1,159
Geysir	166,963	226,885	(59,923)	-26%	146,034	79,297	174,933	130
Spring Water	2,042,475	1,969,576	72,899	4%	595,391	946,648	2,084,409	1,720
Total Revenue	8,351,152	8,124,799	226,353	3%	3,516,145	3,779,034	7,809,121	6,065-
8,400,000		70,000,000 1						
8,350,000								
		60,000,000			9,000,000	1		
8,300,000		50,000,000			1	1		

• Operational P&L Based on Allocations

Profitability is one of the most common and typical metrics tracked in a company. However, while all companies produce corporate-level profit and loss reports with relative ease, it typically requires a lot more work to provide profitability by, e.g., product, customer or project, yet it is incredibly important to know whether you are making or losing money in these areas. The reason is that most companies don't book all or any of their expenses by business area. In other words, in order to get to, e.g., gross margin or net profit, they often have to perform complex allocations of the expenses that should be assigned to each area of operation. Good BI tools can deliver advanced allocations automatically based on rules, and then you can produce profitability reports such as the one below.

Product Profitability Report Corporate US

Code	Description	Total	A	В	C
Revenue					
40010	Product Revenue	9,767,792	2,930,337	4,395,506	2,441,948
\$0020	Services Revenue	6,563,101	1,968,930	2,953,395	1,640,775
10030	Maintenance Revenue	858,019	257,406	386,109	214,505
40040	Other Revenue	469,189	140,757	211,135	117,297
	Total Revenue	17,658,101	5,297,430	7,946,145	4,414,525
Cost of Sal	les				
50010	COGS - Product Sales	3,496,981	1,049,094	1,573,642	874,245
	Total Cost of Sales	3,496,981	1,049,094	1,573,642	874,245
Profit Margin		6,270,810	1,881,243	2,821,865	1,567,703
Profit Mar	gin %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09
Expenses					
50010	Salaries and Benefits	5,085,155	1,525,546	2,288,320	1,271,289
50020	Sales and Marketing	6,086,219	1,825,866	2,738,799	1,521,555
55010	Travel and Entertainment	50,608	15,183	22,774	12,653
56020	Professional Fees	287,167	86,150	129,225	71,792
56035	Bad Debt	1,419	426	639	355
56780	Business Insurance	58.291	17.487	26.231	14,573
59340	Office Supplies	58,429	17,529	26,293	14,607
59600	Telephone and Internet	158,230	47,469	71,204	39,558
51010	Rent and Utilities	132,118	39,635	59,453	33,030
52040	Admin Expenses	158,106	47,432	71,148	39,527



87

Cross Drill Transaction Reports

While it is very powerful for any information worker to have a mix of KPIs, dashboards and reports easily available within the same BI tool, sometimes, due to time, skills and access rights, analysis becomes amputated because it stops with a transaction report. But let's say that an employee found an inventory issue in a KPI, then analyzed the trend in a dashboard and moved on to look at individual quantities available by product in an inventory report. However, while finding that there are too many orders to fulfill vs. available inventory for a certain item, the person now needs to see which customers have ordered this item so a decision can be made on which orders to fulfill first (note, the Customer360 report example later in this section can further help to decide which customers to serve first in this situation). Modern CPM tools allow for a cross drill, so in this example, the user can drill from a line item on the inventory report and over to a sales order report to see which specific customers ordered the different quantities.

Item Code 102 102 102	2036 number in the CUSTOM Item Description Berry Blast Derry Blast	Item Class Julices	F n to see the underlying Supplier Note Forever U.C.		(with m	and the second second	l Customer	J	K		м 1360
US Distribut September, Xriff down on a Item Code 102 102 102	2036 number in the CUSTOM Item Description Berry Blast Derry Blast	Item Class Julices	Supplier		Sec. 1	and the second second					-
September, XIII down on a Item Code 102 102 102	2036 number in the CUSTOM Item Description Berry Blast Derry Blast	Item Class Julices	Supplier		Sec. 1	and the second second					-
Item Code 102 102 102	Item Description Berry Blast Berry Blast	Item Class Julices	Supplier		Sec. 1	and the second second					
102 102 102	Berry Blast Berry Blast	Juices	and the second se	Inventor	- 10.0		Customer				
102 102	Berry Blast		Index Scotting 11/2			Qty On Hand	Orders	Qty Available N	hin/Max OK?	Inv - Min Notifier	30 day Forecast
102			pance rovever tot.	5	4,400	98	/ 78	20	OK	19.6	203
		Juices	Juice Forever LLC	5	5,050	122	/ 110	12	OK	12.2	287
	Berry Blast	Julces	Juice Forever LLC	5	5,100	113	/ 91	23	OK	22.7	236
102	Berry Blast	Juices	Juice Forever LLC	5	4,900	109	/ 125	(16)	Low	-16.3	152
102	Berry Blast	Juices	Juice Fonever LLC	5	4,900	109	/ 125	(16)	Low	-16.3	152
102	Berry Blast	Juices	Juice Fonever LLC	5	5,350	122	/ 141	(18)	Low	-18.4	171
102	Berry Blast	Juices	Juice Forever LLC	5	5,500	118	/ 136	(18)	Low	-17.7	165
102	Berry Blast	Julices	Juice Forever LLC	5	4,500	120	/ 138	(18)	Low	-18.0	168
126	Protein Boost	Juices	Juice Forever LLC	5	4,800	107	64	43	OK	42.7	166
126	Protein Boost	Julices	Juice Forever LLC	5	6,417	143	86	57	OK	57.0	222
126	Protein Boost	Juices	Juice Forever LUC	\$	5,452	123/	73	48	OK	48.5	189
126	Protein Boost	Juices	Juice Forever LLC	\$	5,600	136	143	(19)	LOW	-18.7	174
126	Protein Boost	Juices	Juice Forever LLC	5	4,800	367	123	(1.6)	Low	-16.0	149
126	Protein Boost	Julces	Juice Forever LLC	5	6,417	jh43	164	(21)	Low	-21.4	200
126	Protein Boost	Julices	Juice Forever LLC	\$	5,452	/ 121	139	(18)	Low	-18.2	170
126	Protein Boost	Juices	Juice Forever LLC	5	4.800	/ 107	123	(16)	Low	-16.0	149
	Dill-To Si							26			2,955
	102 102 126 126 126 126 126 126 126	102 Berry Blast 102 Derry Blast 126 Protein Boost 126 Protein Boost	102 Berry Blast Julces 102 Berry Blast Julces 126 Protein Boost Julces 127 Protein Boost Julces 128 Protein Boost Julces 129 Protein Boost Julces 120 Protein Boost Julces 126 Protein Boost Julces 127 Protein Boost Julces 128 Protein Boost Julces	102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC 102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC 126 Protein Boost Julces J	102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LLC S 102 Derry Blast Julces Julce Forever LLC S 126 Protein Boost Julces - Dulces Forever LLC	102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,500 102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,500 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,800 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,800 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,612 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,642 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,600 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 127 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 127 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 128 Prot	102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,500 118 102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,500 120 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,800 107 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 143 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 127 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 127 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,400 136 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,400 136 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 443 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 443 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 443 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC	102 Berry Blast Julce Forever LIC \$ 5,500 118 136 102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 4,500 120 138 102 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 4,500 120 138 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 6,417 143 86 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 5,452 123 73 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 5,452 123 73 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 5,400 107 123 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 6,417 143 164 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$ 6,417 143 164 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC	102 Berry Blast Julce Forever LIC \$5,500 118 136 (18) 102 Berry Blast Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,500 120 138 (18) 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$4,800 107 64 43 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 143 86 57 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 123 73 48 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,452 123 73 48 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$5,450 134 48 (19) 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 143 164 (21) 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC \$6,417 143 164 (21) 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce For	102 Berry Blast Julce Forever LIC 5 5,500 118 136 (18) Low 102 Berry Blast Julce Forever LIC 5 5,500 118 136 (18) Low 102 Berry Blast Julce Forever LIC 5 4,500 120 138 (18) Low 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC 5 6,417 143 66 57 OK 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC 5 6,417 143 66 57 OK 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC 5 5,452 121 73 48 OK 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC 5 4,400 107 123 (16) Low 126 Protein Boost Julces Julce Forever LIC 5 6,417 343 164 (21) Low 126 Protein Boost Julce	102 Berry Blast Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 5,500 118 136 (18) Low -17.7 102 Berry Blast Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 4,500 120 138 (18) Low -17.7 102 Berry Blast Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 4,500 120 138 (18) Low -18.0 126 Protein Boost Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 4,800 107 64 43 04 42.7 126 Protein Boost Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 6,417 143 66 57 016 43.5 126 Protein Boost Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 5,452 123 73 48 016 48.5 126 Protein Boost Juices Juice Forevert LIC 5 4,400 947 123.0 (16) Low -24.4 126 Protein Boostt Juice Forevert LIC </td

• Transaction Report with Drill to Scanned Invoice

An increasing number of companies are going paperless in many areas of their operations. This typically includes acquiring a document management system that can manage scanning and filing of receipts and invoices and tie them back to the ERP system. From a BI perspective, these scanned documents often contain detailed information not booked in the ERP system, and they can help users save time and improve analysis by eliminating dependency on their accounting department to find and access scanned documents. In the example below, you see an accounts receivable report with hyperlinks that allow the end user to easily drill from the report and down into the image of the original invoice.

b 360	Accounts Receivab Corporate US September, 2015						6/16 11:16 PM			
Customer Description	Link to Invoice From B1360	Link to Invoice Smart Name	Sal Pers		Document ID	Document Date	Amount			
Aaron Fitz El	ectrical				11080	09/07/15	(7,450.00) (7,450.00)			
Advanced Te	BI360 Link to Invoice ch Satellite System	Smart Link to Invoice			10080	09/03/15	114,415.53 114,415.53			
					19850	09/20/15	(4,850.00)			
Alton Manuf Associated In	acturing BI360 Link to Invoice Insurance Company	Smart Link to Invoice		bi3	60)			Invoice 1	_	10080
Astor Suites				Customer Name Address	Advanced Tech Satel 444 Main Street	lite System		Misc Date Due		05,03/2010 Upon receipt
Berry Medico	BI360 Link to Invoice al Center	Smart Link to Invoice		City Gity 4	Milton, NY 00004	Descriptio		5 7,500 5 87,125	00 \$	101AL 45,000.00 87,126.00
Blue Yonder	Airlines				Dechlorand and Scoraca Decalitizational Frodu				00 S	14,000.00
Castle Inn Re	BI360 Link to Invoice sort	Smart Link to Invoice		•	Financial Reporting Pro	fut		\$ 7,500	** \$	45,000.00
Cellular Expr	ess									
	BI360 Link to Invoice	Smart Link to Invoice								
Central Distr	ibutina									
									- 5	168,136.00
				Bank Info	Union Bank of Califs 11961 San Vicente B Los Angeles, CA 9004	lvd.	Tax Rate(s	1 0.00% Tot	-	168,126.00

Customer360 Reports

In the example below, the "Customer360" name refers to a type of report that provides a 360 degree view of a customer. As companies automate their administrative and operational processes with different cloud or on premise systems, there is an increasing amount of data available for important areas such as customers, products, sales people and so on. The ultimate enabler for world-class decisions is to have all this disparate information collected into a single database, typically a data warehouse supporting a BI solution, and then produce a single report. This report should not only provide the key information about, e.g., a specific customer, but it should also be able to weigh all the data points (such as sales, AR, ongoing support, etc.) and produce a single score so that all the customers can be rated from best to worst. Below, you can see an example of such a report. It includes a drill down to a transaction report that ranks this customer with all other customers.



CUSTOMER FACTS
Name: Nilly McNilly
Customer Since: 2011
HQ.Location: Los Angeles, CA, USA
Acct Manager: Alex Gilfer

CUSTOMER RANK vs AVG RANK





Customer360 View

Instructions

Customer360 - Detailed Report

Recommendations as	of 2018					Key	Customer	Metrics:			
Upselling (make this c	p): Est.	\$ Reve	enue	Cust	omer Rank	e	8.				
Product D	\$	18,000		Bend	hmark (a	(g): 7.5					
Product E	\$	15,000		Gros	s Margin	(alloc):	555				
Support Agreement	5	12,000		Deci	Decision Matrix:						
User Conf, Training	\$	15,000		Score	Score>8: Give extra attention						
New Consulting service	5	12,000		Score 4-8: Standard service							
Total Est Revenue	\$	72,000		Score	Score<4: Evaluate risk/reward						
Revenue Summary		Year-to-Dat	e	_			Life-to-	Date			
Historical Revenue	Amount	Average	R	ating		Amount	Average	Rating			
Product Revenue	2.33	1.90		9.30		14.30	12.10		8.60		
SaaS/Maint. Revenue	1.25	1.34		6.00		12.70	14.77	0	7.4		
Service Revenue	1.88	1.44		8.70		13.71	11.62		9.10		
Support Revenue	1.22	1.50	0	7.40		8.45	9.56		5.16		
Total						Total					
Future Est. Revenue	2019	2020		2021	_	Strategic Cus	tomer?				
SaaS/Maint. Revenue	3.22	3.98		4.72		Industry	Yes	Manufacturing			
Service Revenue	2.10	2.30		2.50		ERP	No	Dynamics 365 O			
Support Revenue	1.80	2.12		2.43		Reference	Yes				
Total						Case Study	No				
Customer Risk and Oppo	rtunities	M	etrics		Actio	n Suggestions					
# of Support Tickets		56 old, 2 open			If open tickets, check with support on severity						
A/R		\$12.3	к	If high A/R and more than 60 days, check why							
Survey Score	Low		If low survey score, ask how we can help If no conference, upsell value to participate								
Conference attendance	No										
Last activity date (from s	21 days	ago	If no contact for more than 1 year, ask if				active.				
omer360 - All Customers	Data						1.	(

b:360) Customer360 - All Customers																		
Acct Manager	Cutt#	Customer Name	City	State	Country	110	Revenue	UN	Revenue	Reve	nue Potential	Gross Margin (%)	AR.	ding .	AR Days	Ratk	Rek	Case Study
Alex Giffer	12972	Loefers, Inc.	New York	NH	1/5A	5	45,538	5	130,015	5	220,079	40%	5	12,574	- 56	9.1	Low .	Tes
Tracy Ritz	963	Tabley and Company	Chicago	a	USA	5	39,745	5	345,820	5	495,640	30%	5	348	143	5.0	Low .	No
Anatoly Spice	1409	Urby Loftees	Seattle	WH.	154	5	49,323	5	98,346	5	136,688	44%	5	28,303	13	8.8	Low .	No
Gabriela Huckster	11389	Dreamp 4 All	Seattle	WH.	USA	5	21,833	5	41,962	5	83,964	22%	5	1,744	23	8.7	LOW	Tes

Readiness Checklist

Item	Your Score	Notes
	(1 Lowest – 10 Best)	
Decide who the users of the		
BI system will be and their		
responsibilities		
Decide which information and		
metrics are important for the		
corporate team and departments		
Decide which information to		
collect in budgets and forecasts		
Decide which information to		
present in KPI reports, formatted		
reports and dashboards		
Create storyboard/playlist		
for each department and the		
corporate team		
Mock up layout (e.g. in Excel)		
for all the templates prior to		
implementation		
Total		

Chapter 7

Software Selection

"Companies who win, think deeply, choose wisely, and act decisively."

-Mark Miller

Purpose

We are constantly making decisions and choices for our organization. We often use a mix of research, good judgment and experience to make the choices we feel are best for the given situation. In some situations, these decisions often result in success and other times not. Unlike picking the wrong coffee machine for your office, the consequences of selecting the wrong enterprise software package are much greater. In this chapter we will cover some of the most common features found in modern BI and CPM solutions, which help Asia Pacific companies become world-class decision-makers. This information will help solidify your road to selecting and deploying a modern corporate performance management solution.

In Chapter 6, you learned the important business areas to focus on when developing your CPM blueprint. The next step is to transpose that knowledge into product capabilities and features. While this chapter will focus on product capabilities, it is important to keep in mind that while the features help facilitate world-class decision-making, you should first formalize your complete BI vision.

Functionality

Once you have started to understand your financial institution's business processes and needs, it's time to dig in and narrow down the features. It's a good idea to start with the major themes within your CPM blueprint. Start with the critical "must haves" and work down the list to the nice to haves. As you work through the list of features from different CPM vendors, you are likely to discover new features and functionality, which may help support your vision. It is also important to

keep in mind that some features may be conceptually great but are not aligned with your financial institution's short- or long-term priorities. The following list covers common capabilities found in most CPM solutions.

Primary components of a CPM platform:

- Reporting (Financial and Operational)
- Consolidations & Close Processes
- Budgeting & Forecasting (Financial and Operational)
- Ad-hoc Analysis (Data Discovery)
- Dashboards/Storyboards/KPIs
- Business Data Warehouse
- Data Integrations (ETL: Extract-Transform-Load)

REPORTING

Chances are, your organization already does all kinds of reporting. In talking with department heads, you probably found that staff are commonly using a mix of Excel, internal application reports and reports generated by IT. Formatted reporting is the key component of a modern CPM suite. Depending on your financial institution's industry and needs, formatted reporting may cover daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly or other grains of time. Formatted reports are typically used to assess the financial institution's performance with a specific format, controlled by power users helping design the reports. Unlike ad-hoc reporting, formatted reports are often the key reporting deck used to monitor the health of the organization. Below is a list of some of the key reporting features; a full list is available within the appendix.

• **Design experience**: You may find that reports from different vendors all appear exactly the same; rest assured, they are not. Super easy drag-and-drop experiences may result in loss of complex capabilities you may need. Very robust tools with many configuration options with lots of bells and whistles may suffer from a steep learning curve and still not meet your requirements. Because Excel is the most popular and well-known application in the finance and accounting world, a report designer experience that is similar to Excel or is Excel, may offer advantages over other design experiences. Ask vendors to show you a simple report design from start to finish and then also ask them to walk you through the design of a very complex report example. Keep in mind that modern BI tools are built to empower business power users to design reports.

- **Business friendly**: Power users should not be database developers; they are typically business users in finance, accounting or other departments who help own the business intelligence tools on the business side of the office. Modern BI and CPM solutions typically offer an abstraction of data from the underlying database tables. Abstraction is often also referred to as metadata because it is a layer that defines the underlying data. For instance, in many accounting systems, the data may be defined by database table names and fields that make no sense to anyone besides the developers who originally made them. An abstraction layer is a way to provide friendly names to the business users. So for instance, if you want to access fields in a general ledger, if the report designer shows the table "Led2001" and fields "NGLA" and "MeasureCredit," it may be very cryptic, but if the same information shows as "General Ledger" and fields "Natural Account" and "Credit Activity," there is a great possibility that those names will make sense to you. When reviewing metadata capabilities with the vendor, ask them to show you the source fields. If you recognize the names based on your experience with your ERP, you are on your way to selecting a good report writer.
- *Template driven*: Unlike the days of reporting from Excel in which every report required a specific tab with formulas and replicating tabs for entities, departments, etc., modern reporting tools offer the ability to create parameters to drive the report at run time. So, for instance, if there is a need to create a P&L report for every department, it should require a single template, a tab in Excel terms, that replicates for each department at run time. Templates also help ensure you are looking at valid data because formulas are often entered once and utilized by the template. When discussing with CPM vendors, ask them to show their template capabilities.
- *Hierarchies*: Hierarchies are often referred to as "trees" or "rollup structures" and are essential to financial reporting software. Hierarchies are commonly used to define the structure of a report at runtime. For example, if a P&L report calls for entities to be displayed across the columns, hierarchies can be used to control the display. At first glance, this may seem non-essential, as reports can be hard-coded, but when you consider that the same hierarchy can be used for all reports, easy maintenance begins to shine. If the reporting application allows the entities to be selected at runtime, it also opens up other possibilities to use the same report for statutory and management reporting as well as endless other scenarios in which one report template can be maintained, with the runtime results being many variations depending on the runtime parameters. Hierarchies are often used in the planning process as well. Ask the vendor to show you how hierarchies are created and maintained and how they are applied to report templates. Be sure to have your reporting hierarchies in mind when working with potential vendors so that they can provide specific examples.

- **Drill-down/Drill-Through**: Formatted reports help evaluate your organization, but a lot of the analysis and decision making may require you to dig deeper. Formatted reports should offer a data-mining option to drill into the details of any data in the report. Modern BI and CPM solutions should also offer the ability to drill through to other related transactions. As opposed to drill-down, which moves through the data vertically, drill-through will move through the data horizontally.
- *Live Reporting:* Modern BI/CPM solutions should utilize some sort of data store/ data warehouse to maintain data from disparate sources (see Business Data Warehouse section). However, some systems connect directly to the source ERP for live reporting. Accessing the ERP directly for real-time reporting certainly has the advantage of a live view into the current state of the business. However, this may come at the expense of scaling and performance because live reporting runs on the ERP, which may cause performance issues for the ERP as well. If your BI blueprint requires access to live ERP data, this may be a critical feature to review. Depending on the source system and the vendor, this option may or may not be available.
- **Charting**: Formatted reports offer a great way to review data in a well-organized format. However, modern BI and CPM solutions should offer robust options to display data within the reports. Because Excel has the capability to create worksheets with a mix of numbers and charts, it is likely that while reviewing your financial institution's reporting needs, you found many flavors of reports, from numbers only to charts only to a mixture of both. When reviewing vendor features, ask for sample reports that meet your organizational needs with a mixture of both plain numbers and a mixture of charting and numbers within formatted reports. Data visualizations help APAC companies make world-class decisions.

CONSOLIDATIONS & CLOSE PROCESS

Is your organization multi-national? Or do you have multiple entities? If so, you are already familiar with consolidation processes? If not, you may still want to dig into consolidation features. The consolidation process varies depending on the size and complexity of the organization. At the basic level, a small single-entity company may run a consolidation process to aggregate the departments for month-end reporting. As the organization scales, consolidation features within a business intelligence solution become increasingly important. When reviewing the consolidation features, the ideal solution should include a workflow capability to track the close process. While reviewing vendors, compare some of the following key features.

- *Currency Conversion*: Essential to reporting and consolidations for multi-national companies, currency conversion can be handled a few different ways. The most popular method is an internal process that translates the data and stores the translated values. The translation process should be robust enough to cover simple to complex rules. For instance, a rule based on the GL account, the type of data and the entity. It should be possible to import monthly rates from a file, external server or manually enter the rates. It is also important to evaluate if the conversion process accommodates varying financial rules such as US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP), and the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Further analyze if these rules are available by default or if they need to be custom built for your organization. The latter may equate to longer implementation times, so it is ideal to find a vendor that offers a currency conversion process built in with the ability to customize as requisite.
- *Intercompany matching & eliminations:* Similar to currency conversion, matching and eliminations should be a process built into modern CPM solutions. Some ERPs have the capacity to store the details of the intercompany transaction, e.g. to and from entity. However, this information is not always readily available when the data moves into the system. Review the blueprint you started in Chapter 6 and ensure you cover any details needed to fully understand intercompany and elimination requirements. Once you have this information documented, ask the vendor to provide details on how your requirements will be handled.
- *Tracking journal adjustments from the ERP:* As part of the close process, dynamically tracking adjustments is key to consolidating data. This is especially important when closing the books each month, year, etc. The CPM solution should have a feature for the end users to easily reload the updated financials from the ERP as journal adjustments are posted within the ERP. It is important that this procedure be simple, quick and available for the end users. See more on this topic in the integrations section.
- **Consolidated reporting**: Once the previous steps in the consolidation process are completed, the final step is to run the consolidated reports. Your organization may want to look at consolidated or consolidating reports. Ensure the reporting engine can handle your consolidated reporting needs. Most consolidated reports require the use of hierarchies for easy management. This should include the ability to report on minority interest at different levels of the hierarchy. Provide some examples to the vendors you are reviewing to ensure the report writer can generate the reports in the required formats. If you have very complex requirements or have a large number of entities, ask the vendor to show the process and provide some details on performance running the consolidated reports.

FINANCIAL & OPERATIONAL PLANNING

Every organization has some sort of planning process. For small organizations, it may be very simplistic in nature. As the organization grows, the complexities of the planning (budgeting and forecasting) process morph into a more complex system. With this growth, the requirements for a tool to help facilitate the process become more important. In Chapter 5, the planning process was discussed in detail, and in Chapter 6 we walked through designing a world-class CPM process. Understanding your financial institution's planning blueprint will help identify the specific planning feature needs. Spend extra time evaluating examples from your organization. Compare some of the following standard features found in modern BI and CPM solutions when reviewing vendor planning capabilities.

- *Planning Designer*: The planning templates should be fully integrated into and utilize the same design experience as the reporting templates. Utilizing the report writer to design the input templates will help ensure a consistent experience and lower the learning curve. The design experience is also critical to user adoption throughout the organization. Provide a few examples of your current budget templates to vendors and ask them to provide samples within their system. Even better, ask them to walk through creating a simple input template. This will help you evaluate how they go from start to finish. Compare and contrast that process to creating a report.
- *Types of data*: Creating planning forms is typically a more complex process than creating reports because you have to consider how the data is stored and how multiple input forms and the related data interact with one another. Most APAC companies start their planning process focused on the basics of revenue and expense budgeting. This is typically general ledger data. However, as the organization matures, there are many other areas of both financial and operational data to include in the planning process. The planning application should accommodate both financial and operational data. It should also accommodate multiple dimensions and members of dimensions that may not be found within the ERP. Many planning models call for the creation of "dummy" fields to help build the model. For instance, a typical requirement is a payroll budget. A payroll budget often contains information not found in the general ledger, e.g. employees with related compensation information such as healthcare benefits as well as other costs, such as the taxes associated with each employee. A payroll template will contain lots of details that rollup into GL accounts. Modern BI and CPM solutions provides the flexibility to create data at different grains to help model the final budget/forecast. When evaluating the data capabilities within the planning product, ensure the system can accommodate your planning model needs.

• Assignment & Approval Workflow: Assignment workflow is essential to helping organize the planning process. Assignments are a method of associating an input template with specific dimensionality to users in the organization. For example an assignment may associate a revenue budget template to one or many users based on their department. The assignment status should be trackable based on the templates and users. Approval workflow extends the assignment concept to include a linear approval path. For instance, once the user submits the budget, the department manager is notified to review and approve the budget. Once the approving user approves the budget, it moves to the next approving user in the approval workflow. Each organization handles workflow differently, so ensure the assignment and approval workflow feature is robust enough to handle your specific requirements. Additionally, world-class Asia Pacific organizations are discovering that incorporating a collaborative approach into the workflow helps ensure transparency and accuracy of the budget. A modern BI and CPM solution should provide a method to discuss the planning data within the assignment and approval workflow. Take some time to review your planning blueprint to align your requirements with the vendor's workflow capabilities.

AD-HOC ANALYSIS/ DATA DISCOVERY

Most BI solutions offer some sort of ad-hoc reporting. Unlike formatted financial reports and dashboards, ad-hoc reporting should empower the end users to select sources and easily analyze data by dragging and dropping attributes and measures to generate a report. Some solutions will take this a step further and allow the users to apply data visualization, such as charts, to the results. This is a powerful method to give the users the ability to design and manage their own reports and graphical representations of the data. Data visualization is a great method of finding patterns as well as outliers in your data. Do you currently have ad-hoc reporting capabilities? We often see users that extract large 'data dumps' from source systems and then build their own reports in Excel. This can be a form of ad-hoc analysis but is a bit clunky and is often reserved for those that are technically inclined to extract and transform the data in a tool like Excel. Modern BI and CPM solutions offer ad-hoc analysis with a friendly user experience. While it should not take a data scientist to use an ad-hoc reporting tool, the users should understand the data they are looking at. Evaluate the different types of users in your organization to determine if ad-hoc reporting is important for your users. In future sections we will cover other options to view data.

DASHBOARDS & OTHER VISUALIZATIONS

Data visualizations have been around for many decades. The roots of the modern dashboard go back to the decision support systems of the 1970s. These days, dashboards can be found in almost

every modern enterprise software to provide a graphical representation of key information. Using graphical objects like charts and gauges are a great way to represent data within your organization. Unlike ad-hoc reporting or data discovery, dashboards are a great way to create visualizations to share across the organization. In building your BI blueprint, you may have already created your vision for dashboards in your organization. This may be key metrics (KPIs) for financial information or operational information. Most modern BI solutions offer dashboard capabilities. Some have a strong focus on dashboards and other visualizations and others focus on the basics, which may be found in tools like Excel. For instance, most financial charts are fundamentally basic and involve bar charts, pie charts, heat maps, geographic maps, etc., while companies that have more complex operational data such as scientific statistics may need much more advanced visualization capabilities. Evaluate your financial institution's needs for data visualizations and ensure it is covered in the BI blueprint.

<u>Organization & Search</u>: As the user base grows, it is likely that the number of dashboards, reports and other BI information will grow as well. Having the ability to organize and search for these objects will help prevent chaos from breaking out. Modern BI and CPM solutions offer various methods to keep things tidy. For instance, based on your BI blueprint review from Chapter 6, you may find that you will need to organize reports, dashboards and other BI information based the 'type' of data. You may have operational data organized by different departments as well as monthly financials for consolidated reporting. That is a simple method of organization by the type of information contained in the dashboards. However, perhaps you also want to search or organize dashboards based on dashboards that have a parameter for your entity dimension or all dashboards. Or you may have a set of dashboards and reports that you want to package together as a "monthly reporting book" so that users know exactly which reports to review each month. You may also find that some of these groupings should be visible to only certain members of the organization (we will cover security in a later section). Modern BI and CPM solutions should offer multiple ways of organizing and searching for content. Ask the BI vendors to show you the different ways to organize dashboards and reports to review.

<u>Drill-Down</u>: In the reporting section we covered the importance of drill-down and drill-through capabilities. We covered why world-class decisions are made when the top to bottom analysis is at your fingertips. The same applies for dashboards. Drill-down may manifest as a linkage to another dashboard widget, it may be a link to a formatted report or it may be some other method of exposing the underlying data. The bottom line is that the details are almost always needed for deeper analysis.

<u>Widgets:</u> Dashboards are typically made up of multiple elements. We refer to them as widgets. In order to ensure continuity across the dashboard, widgets should be independently designed and available in multiple dashboards, but you should also be able to connect together within a single

dashboard. For instance, if you click on the 2017 bar within a bar chart on widget one, widget two should update based on that selection. This interconnectivity ensures a seamless experience exploring data.

<u>Charting types</u>: Many BI solutions offer dozens and dozens of chart types. This is often needed when analyzing many types of data from, financial to scientific. However, CPM solutions often focus more on financial and operational business data, and thus the need for a vast number of charting types is not necessarily important. Consider your specific charting needs when analyzing the dashboard capabilities and compare to the chart types, gauges and other options available to design dashboards in the BI tools you review.

Storyboarding/Report Books: Reports and dashboards are great methods to view and analyze data. However, in the boardroom we are usually telling a story about the performance of the organization. This story is not told by clicking around on different reports and dashboards; it is told by creating a story to visually explain the information. Modern BI and CPM solutions provide storyboarding or report book functionality. This is essentially the ability to mash up multiple types of information into a single presentation. So, for instance, we could start with a few dashboards, move to a few formatted reports and then end with a manually compiled PDF. Combining multiple types of reports and documents into a single experience is also beneficial to users within the organization who may not necessarily understand which reports or dashboards to review. Providing these users with a storyboard will help walk them through the important information. Review your BI blueprint and determine if storyboards will help your organization. If so, be sure to cover this topic when reviewing CPM products.

BUSINESS DATA WAREHOUSE

All of the features above require access to data. We will get to the "how" in the next section, with data integrations. But let's start by assessing "what" to use to contain the data. Business intelligence products use a plethora of methods to store data. This includes both proprietary data stores developed by BI and CPM vendors as well as commercially available options such as Microsoft SQL Server, Oracle, IBM DB2 and MySQL to name a few. They also come in flavors of storage types, such as OLTP (online transaction processing) and OLAP (online analytical processing) as well as in-memory solutions such as SAP HANA and Microsoft SQL Server. In more recent years, we have seen the rise of cloud-based databases such as Microsoft Azure Data Warehouse and Amazon Redshift. All of these databases fall into two categories: SQL based and not SQL based. But what does all of this really mean? And what is really needed for a best in class BI/CPM solution? Well, it depends. Almost every flavor of database engine will potentially work. They all offer levels of performance, scalability, security and redundancy. So to assess the capabilities of

the total suite, you will want determine what types of data can be stored first. While the database engine may be capable of storing anything in any format, the business applications sitting on the underlying engine must be able to consume the information.

So what is the ideal business data warehouse?

- **Configurable:** Gone are the times of months and months of custom data warehouse development. Today's modern data stores should offer configuration options available to administrators and power users alike. This should include the ability to add fact tables and dimensions as needed to meet the reporting and planning requirements, as well as accommodate the needs of the data sources you plan to load into the system. The users should be able to create, edit and delete dimension information, for instance, the natural accounts within the account dimension or the employees within the employee dimension. Much of this information will more than likely come from an integration process, discussed in the next section. But it is also important for the power users to have access to manage this information as needed. As discussed in the planning section, many complex planning models require information that may not exist in a source system, so the data warehouse should be able to accommodate data that does not exist anywhere but within the data warehouse itself. Configuring the data warehouse should be done using a front-end application designed for power users managing the BI platform. The configuration capabilities are key to supporting the features we discussed in previous sections. The goal is to ensure the system can be managed by the power users and require minimal interaction with the information technology department or other IT experts.
- *Multi-dimensional:* The most popular method to store large volumes of information is in the format commonly known as the "star-schema." Basically what this means is that you have a set of data with lots of data points, called a "fact table," with related "dimensions," which help define the data in a fact table. So, for instance, if your house contains the facts "number of bedrooms," "number of bathrooms" and "square feet," the dimensions would be the street number, city, state and country. A multi-dimensional model is best used within data warehouses because it is designed to simplify the queries. The star schema is very different from your operational databases, like an ERP, because it is designed specifically for storing large volumes of data for reporting consumption.
- Big Data: What about big data? The term was probably been thrown around the office while going through Chapter 4: Assessing Your Institution's Decision-Making Ability, and it may even have popped up when you put together your BI blueprint, covered in Chapter 6. Big data is defined as "Extremely large data sets that may be analyzed computationally to reveal patterns, trends, and associations, especially relating to human behavior and

interactions."1 Do you have big data in your organization? Big data can come from both structured and unstructured data. For instance, sales order processing systems or manufacturing and metering equipment can generate a large volume of structured data. Social media, images, videos, etc. produce a large volume of unstructured data. You may have already factored big data into your BI blueprint. By and large, big data is outside the bounds of a typical CPM model platform. If we looked at BI as pure analytics and CPM as financially focused reporting and planning, big data would usually fall on the far left side of BI. Now there are many cases in which big data information makes a lot of sense to bring into the CPM model. For example, Google Analytics information contains critical information about web traffic. If your organization depends on traffic to your website, this is important information to track. The good news is that many big data sources have handled the heavy lifting and provide aggregated data, which can reasonably apply to your CPM suite. This information, mashed up with other sales information, can be very powerful. There are other tools available to reduce big data to information consumable within a CPM platform. If you have identified big data within your organization, discuss the requirements with the CPM vendors to determine how they can accommodate the data needs. Most modern BI and CPM solutions will have options to incorporate big data.

Review your blueprint for reporting, planning and analysis and spend time exploring the data needed within your organization. Ask the vendors to show how you can manage the data warehouse. See it in action with information that makes sense to you. Keep in mind you company data, reporting, planning and dashboard needs.

DATA INTEGRATIONS

Access to data is critical to enabling world-class decision-making. Most business intelligence systems are independent of the ERP, and thus loading data is essential to BI solutions. Getting data into your shiny new BI system can be managed in many different ways. Depending on the number of disparate systems and the frequency of refreshing the data, importing data may occur as a manual process or as an automated process. The data may be structured information such as data found in a database or it may be unstructured data found in systems like Google Analytics. The most common method is to automate importing the data. Data specialists refer to this process as extracting, transforming and loading (ETL). There are a vast number of dedicated ETL solutions available. But many modern BI and CPM solutions offer data integration features built into the product suite.

As you continue to evolve your BI blueprint, covered in Chapter 6 and continue to dig into your organizational data needs, you may find that there are many sources of data key to your financial institution's planning, reporting and analysis needs. Understanding your data needs is important

because it will help provide the questions to ask when evaluating the different ETL feature requirements. Depending on the requirements, CPM solutions with integrated ETL features may offer a shorter timeline to configure because they are designed for a specific purpose of extracting data from disparate systems and loading into a known central CPM database. However, the advantages that come with an integrated solution may result in fewer enterprise-grade ETL features. One of the most popular options for ETL is using a Microsoft product called SQL Server Integration Services (SSIS). This product is popular for institutions already using the Microsoft SQL Server database because it is included with the SQL Server license. The product is designed to be implemented by database developer professionals and is a very robust solution. However, with the very robust feature set comes a steep learning curve and implementation time. Many other popular standalone ETL tools replicate the features of SSIS but attempt to provide a more user-friendly experience to creating integrations. These robust tools are typically able to extract data from almost any data source and write back to almost any data source. The other class of ETL tools integrated into the business intelligence suites are designed with a specific purpose in mind. While these tools typically have a narrow scope of capabilities, they are typically designed for power users to configure as compared to database experts. The following are a few key features available with modern BI and CPM tools. Keep in mind that data is at the core of enabling worldclass decisions because without data, there are no decisions to make.

- **Connectors**: Pre-built "connectors" are designed to access many popular data sources onpremises as well as cloud-based applications. Pre-built integrations will greatly speed up the time to implement your BI/CPM solution because much of the logic has already been applied to read from that source system. Gather your known data sources so that you can review them with CPM vendors. Ensure the integration capabilities will cover critical data sources. Additionally, evaluate if the connectors function without customization or if they require custom work to extract data from your key data sources.
- User friendly interface: Most ETL tools such as SQL Server Integration Services (SSIS) require database experts and often developers to create and manage. These types of tools are very robust and can usually handle very complex ETL requirements. However, they are not easy to learn and manage because they are designed to handle a vast spectrum of requirements. Integrated ETL features are typically easier to use because they have a narrow purpose. When evaluating integration features, try it out. You should be able to test out integrating with your data. If it does not take hours and volumes of documentation, you are on the path to enabling world-class decisions within your organization.
- **Processing data**: As we discussed in the consolidations section above, users often need to refresh data on demand. So it's important that integrations are accessible by certain end users to refresh data from the source systems. Ideally, this is something that can happen

at a defined grain. For instance, Joe can update data for his entity and Jane can update data for her entity, within the organization. Scheduling is another basic requirement for an integration process. Most Asia Pacific entities run integrations monthly, weekly, daily and often by minutes. The frequency of the updates will depend on the amount of data moving each time the integration runs. If the integration process only loads changed data, it will often run within seconds versus refreshing an entire month's worth of data. Evaluate your data needs and ensure the options are available within the ETL features you review.

• *Notifications*: Email and in-application notifications are an important part of an integration solution. When a failure occurs, notifications should be sent out to warn the system administrators of the error. As the number of data sources increases or the complexity of the integration increases, notifications play an important role is managing the CPM solution.

Access to data is a critical component of modern BI and CPM solutions. With cloud solutions and API (application programming interfaces) becoming more and more pervasive, it is important to select a solution that can easily access data of all types in all places.

SECURITY

As more and more data flows into BI and CPM platforms, the security and privacy of that data becomes increasingly important. Exposing Google Analytics information may not be very harmful if it is leaked internally or externally, but payroll data is exponentially more sensitive. Modern BI and CPM solutions offer robust security features. One of the major advantages of selecting a CPM suite which encompasses all components we have discussed in previous sections (reporting, planning, analysis, data warehouse, integrations), is that the security model is controlled in once place and affects all components. If you piecemeal a CPM solution, there is a higher probability of errors because it is likely that you will need to maintain separate security models within each product. So what should you look out for when evaluating the security of a modern BI and CPM suite?

- Application security: The interface used by administrators, power users, and general users should have fine-grain controls to limit users to specific features and menus within the application as well as limit the options available within each menu (e.g., "Create," "Read," "Update" and "Delete" data. Think of it as a simple hierarchy of controls from the top feature down to actions possible within that feature group.
- **Data Access**: Locking down features and menu/sub-menu items is the first-level defense against unwanted usage of the application. Controlling access to data is the next level of

control. So, for instance, if you integrate with your general ledger data, payroll system and CRM, you may have some users that should see everything, others that should only see one source of data, e.g. CRM data and others that see sub-sets of the data. For instance, Frank can see GL data for his department but no other department and Ann can see sales data for her customers but no other customers from CRM. Having fine-grain controls are imperative to ensuring data security. Think about the different users in your organization and match them to the data you discovered when going through your own financial institution's data needs. Compile a matrix of who should see specific data across the CPM solution. This will be important information to discuss with the CPM vendor. Ensure the security model can handle the requirements of your organization.

• **Regulatory Compliance**: Depending on your organization, you may have strict regulatory compliance that you must follow when implementing a BI or CPM solution. There are many compliance standards around the world, and it is important to understand which are required within your organization to match with the vendor's accreditations. For instance, HIPPA is prevalent in the medical industry to ensure strict privacy guidelines for patient data. It's important to note that although your organization requires HIPPA compliance, the BI solution may not fall under that compliance if it does not contain HIPPA regulated data. The same may apply for other regulations. Review the compliance requirements and discuss with the BI vendors you evaluate.

Security is an extremely important component of modern BI and CPM suites. Depending on the size and complexity of your organization, consider the functionality needed to ensure your organization complies with regulations and effectively manages user access.

Deployment Options

For decades, enterprise software has been designed for local installs located within the corporate building. However, over the past several years, new options have been emerging. Cloud computing promises to revolutionize the software industry by offloading many of the dependencies tied to onpremises installations. And, more recently, a new hybrid model has grown in popularity. Choosing the deployment option best for your organization depends on many factors. But before we get into the details, let's start by defining the different options.

• **On-Premises**: On-premise deployments are when software and technology is installed and managed within the physical confines of the organization. Typically, with this option, the organization purchases the enterprise software to install locally.
- **Hosted**: Many organizations prefer to retain data centers outside their physical locations. The infrastructure (e.g., servers, etc.) can be managed by the information technology department within the organization or managed by the hosting provider. Like on-premise deployments, the organization typically purchases the enterprise software to install within the data center.
- **SaaS**: Software as a service, often referred to as the cloud, has been growing in popularity over the past several years. Unlike on premise and hosted options, SaaS is a lease of both infrastructure and software. The cloud company, Salesforce, helped innovate and the march towards enterprise SaaS solutions.
- **Hybrid**: The hybrid option has been growing in popularity over the past few years. This option is a mix of both on premise deployments and SaaS. For instance, global access may be handled via a portal within the SaaS environment but, components of the suite are installed locally on premise for live analysis on a data source.

Technology

Modern BI and CPM tools offer a mix of technologies. Many current CPM solutions were built as desktop applications with a database to hold data. Over the past decade, many of the mature BI and CPM vendors started moving their applications to web front ends with the same backend technology. This transition enabled further user adoption with lower costs of deployment. In more recent years, the same vendors have started to move their products to cloud services, or SaaS. Additionally, many new vendors have started as cloud-first products. SaaS solutions offer some advantages over the more traditional on-premises model, but not always. Read more about SaaS vs. on-premises services in Chapter 8.

Plugins: Software vendors often leverage other applications to build out BI and CPM suites. In fact, most software created these days utilizes open-source components to provide best-in-class experiences as quickly as possible. For instance, some vendors utilize Microsoft Excel as the design experience for building reporting and budgeting templates. Imagine the work involved to replicate Excel! Utilizing that technology would provide an experience a large majority of users already know and it would enable the software vendor to focus efforts on other critical components within the suite. This approach does not come without disadvantages though. For instance, utilizing Excel may mean that the users may need to have an Excel license. Additionally, some functionality may not be possible when utilizing third-party plugins. Ask the vendors what, if any, plugins they depend on to run their products and review the impact of such dependencies.

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

- **Browsers**: Similar to technology in general, browser technology is rapidly changing. Most vendors prefer to take advantage of the newest capabilities found in the latest browsers. For instance, many vendors utilize the latest version of HTML, HTML 5, and JavaScript to run their applications. But not all browsers are created equally. Some are faster than others and some display content differently from browser to browser and version to version. The newer versions of the browsers will support the latest technologies, the older ones won't. Software vendors attempt to provide a consistent experience, but it's not always possible. Additionally, as mentioned above, plugins and other dependencies are common. For instance, in the last decade, many software vendors utilized Flash as well as Microsoft Silverlight technologies, both browser plugins, to run their applications. However, several of the top browser companies announced dropping these plugins several years ago, effectively leaving the software vendors searching for new technologies to replace the old. Assess your internal browser policies to determine which browser versions are used within your organization. If your organization has compliance regulations preventing you from using the latest and greatest browser technologies, discuss with the CPM vendors to ensure the minimum technology requirements can be deployed within your organization.
- **Apps Market**: In 2003, Apple announced the iTunes Store, and in 2008 they launched the app Store to provide a marketplace for musicians and software providers to showcase their products in one place for all Apple users to see, try and buy. It was a genius move that changed the industry. This concept continues to be very popular and is starting to show within modern BI and CPM products. Think of the potential of offering apps, such as ETL "connectors" from many different providers in one place or budgeting experts from around the world sharing their best budget templates to use within the vendors ecosystem. This approach provides great value and advantages to the users of the CPM suite. Chances are that if the vendors you review do not yet offer this technology, it's on their roadmap.
- Application Programming Interface (API): You may have heard the term API, web services or other terms like OData. An API is a method to programmatically interact with a software application. Most modern software applications offer a way for other applications to interact with data within the solution. APIs are often used by integration tools to pull or push data to and from the BI and CPM solutions. This is especially important for cloud-based solutions because the data is somewhat "locked" into that vendor's environment. Review your blueprint covered in Chapter 6 to determine the data within your organization. If you are using a third-party tool, for instance Power BI or Tableau, ask the vendor to demo an example of utilizing their API to connect to their data from an external tool. Or if you have other external systems that need to interact with the CPM system, review the requirements with the vendors.

Executive Summary

World-class decision making does not happen because a feature makes the decision for you, at least not yet. But together with world-class processes, modern BI and CPM tools are increasingly enabling Asia Pacific companies to make better decisions. This section outlined the most common features as well as covered some of the questions to ask vendors when reviewing BI and CPM products. Before continuing on your BI and CPM journey, it is important to stop and assess your BI blueprint. Which technologies are used today in your organization? Which technologies should be added to help facilitate world-class decisions, and which ones may slow the process? The BI and CPM landscape is constantly changing, so staying on top of this quickly changing space will help you leverage the new technologies. Keep in mind the old saying adopted from John Heywood by James Clear, "Rome wasn't built in a day, but they were laying bricks every hour." Establish your roadmap, start simple and focus on constant adjustments to match your financial institution's BI goals.

Readiness checklist

Item	Your Score	Your Notes
	(1 lowest – 10 best)	
Understanding of features		
and how they apply to		
your organization		
Feature requirements		
documented and built into		
organizational BI Blueprint		
Feature questions list to		
provide to vendors during		
demos and discussions.		
Total Score		

Chapter 8

Implementation Strategy: Cloud vs. On Premises

Selecting the correct implementation strategy for a particular new application you are considering can be daunting. Many institutions today are adopting a "cloud first" strategy when deploying new applications. In this chapter, we will discuss some of the kinds of systems that make up the cloud and how to start thinking about what approach makes sense for your business. One may reasonably ask some of the following questions: "Does this approach work in all cases for all of my applications?" "What are the security and infrastructure considerations faced when deciding how to implement a new system or product?" Answering these questions will be key to helping you set a strategy for your future application placement and your financial institution's evolution in the era of the cloud. Our goal in this chapter is to help you understand what the cloud means, how APAC companies are taking advantage of its benefits, and to also outline some of the key questions you will need to ask yourself as you plan this journey.

What is the Cloud?

What is the cloud? Where is it and who owns it? How do you "move to the cloud"? What does the cloud mean in the context of my business? These may be some of the questions that you have asked in the process of discovering how the landscape of cloud-based offerings available may fit into your business strategy. The Cloud is really a phenomenally complicated and ever-changing ecosystem of services and service providers that allow different business to change the way they create, develop, organize, and run their businesses. The cloud may mean different things to different institutions and industries, but regardless of where you stand, it will have tremendous impact on the future of your business.

Let's start with some definitions and a little history. The cloud today is a generic term to describe an offsite service provided by a specialized expert organization that has several key characteristics. To be a true Cloud service, a product or service must be geographically agnostic, fully resilient to infrastructure and software failures, and typically be delivered as a rented service to end-users. Cloud-based companies deliver services as diverse as ride hailing (Uber, Lyft), telecommunications

(Vonage, Ring Central, Ooma), eCommerce (Amazon, Alibaba) or enterprise software (SalesForce, Dynamics365, NetSuite). Cloud-based service delivery differs significantly from a traditional hosted or web-delivered interface in that the entirety of the end-user's experience is completely divorced from reliance on data being in any one place at one time. Many companies offer services hosted in one or two data centers and label them as "cloud," but until they are truly geographically agnostic, including having real-time site failure resiliency, they cannot be considered a true cloud offering.

The information technology landscape is changing quickly, and not all companies are adopting these changes at the same rate. One of the largest technology trends that has reshaped IT over the past 30+ years is the gradual movement of systems and infrastructure from traditional on-premise IT departments towards outsourced, hosted applications and infrastructure. There has been a long-term evolution of what hosting means in the past several decades, as the available technology and deployment methodologies have changed and improved.

In the mid 1990s, the concept of server colocation started to gain prominence with the rise of retail colocation, where a host company would build and operate space for other companies to house their servers. This was a highly capital-intensive business, as building and maintaining the infrastructure required to safely and securely operate servers required deep expertise in many technical areas. Over time, these skills gradually became more widespread within the IT workforce, allowing many competing firms to enter the marketplace, and what had been a specialized service rapidly evolved into a commodity service. This had the benefit of driving down costs and broadening access to server hosting capacity across the globe.

Many of these early providers of server hosting, also known as colocation, were eventually acquired by larger firms, as is common in a maturing industry. By the peak of the dot-com era, many, if not most of the internet service providers competing for retail internet customers also had robust colocation offerings.

Today, as the technology sector has matured, many of the systems and complexities involved in building and maintaining large-scale infrastructure are offered as a service to companies that do not want to manage and maintain them on their own. The advantages and efficiencies gained when scaling to medium or large enterprise size are hard to realize without significant investment in human and financial capital, and a number of service categories have arisen in the previous decade to address the different needs of the broader economy, not just technology companies. Three main service categories exist today: so called infrastructure-as-a-service (IaaS), platform-as-a-service (Paas), and software-as-a-service (SaaS). Each one plays a different role in the technology lifecycle of today's organizations, whether or not they are explicitly engaged in a technology-related industry. Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)



Service stack hierarchy

IaaS is the spiritual successor to the hosting and colocation companies of the early internet era. IaaS allows a company to deploy nearly all of the traditional IT infrastructure in a managed environment, typically through a web-based graphical user interface (GUI) as well as through application program interfaces (APIs). Most of the IaaS vendors allow billing by the hour or the minute, allowing granular control over their spending of IT resources. As of 2017, there are really now only three major IaaS providers (although new service providers do arise from time to time): Amazon Web Services (AWS), Google Compute Cloud (GCC), and Microsoft's Azure Services (AS). Each has their particular specialties and strengths, but generally speaking, they provide nearly equivalent services for the basic service components. Examples of services that exist at the IaaS layer are things like virtual servers, virtual networks, firewall services, and block storage services. The big three IaaS vendors do offer many other services beyond these basic-level building blocks, but strictly Infrastructure items would be the large CAPEX hardware that doesn't see a rapid refresh in a traditional IT department.

PaaS abstracts the basic building blocks of IT systems to a higher level, creating services that are no longer really thought of as server hosting in the traditional sense. A PaaS vendor may have an offering where the primary user is more of a software developer than an IT system administrator and they work more in terms of managing applications that automatically scale out over the underlying servers without having to explicitly build them. PaaS offerings can be thought of as the tools used to build the cloud. Again, here the big three IaaS vendors have robust PaaS offerings as well and have become rather vertically integrated in terms of the cloud infrastructure they deliver to software developers. Database services that auto-scale and do not have manageable server nodes, scalable application services that are not manageable as discrete server nodes but accept code and auto-scale as demand requires, scalable file services that auto-grow and replicate across regions on demand, managed domain name services (DNS) that provide internet addressing, and specialized

computing platforms that are built for large-scale parallel processing are some of the examples of PaaS offerings available from AWS, GCC, AS and others.

SaaS products are yet another level of abstraction above the PaaS and IaaS worlds. If IaaS is the raw material, and PaaS the tools, then SaaS truly is the finished goods of the cloud ecosystem. This is really what most people think of when they think of the cloud, and it is what most end-users interact with if they are not in the development arena. The popularity of SaaS-based systems arose in the IT world from the desire to reduce costs, simplify maintenance and updating of software, and remove or reduce the need for in-house software development for in-house IT departments. Some early popular applications delivered under an SaaS model were applications such as customer relationship management (CRM), payroll processing, and enterprise resource planning.

Public Cloud vs. Private Cloud vs. Hybrid Cloud

Some APAC entities that have long histories of application deployment in a traditional company-owned datacenter are somewhat hesitant to move everything to cloud-based solutions. There may be legitimate security, compliance, risk, or statutory reasons that prevent some of these workloads from transitioning smoothly to a cloud provider. These concerns have given rise to a category of hosting called "private cloud," in contrast to the so-called "public cloud" offerings we have discussed so far. Private Clouds are not in very high demand to-date, as they carry a tremendous expense, comparable to running and managing one's own infrastructure on dedicated hardware. Currently, as of early 2017, of the big three cloud providers, only Microsoft has a generally available private cloud platform available or on its roadmap, with their first general release of Azure Stack³.

The private cloud has two variations in meaning that are commonly discussed. In one, a section of a public cloud vendor's resources are segmented off into a separate offering that is dedicated to a specific client. These are typically only available for a high price, long-term contract, as it requires separate physical infrastructure to be built and maintained. Generally, in typical public cloud offerings, when you are running a server in a particular provider's region, you do not have any control over which actual hardware your server is running on. The virtualization software that abstracts the host computer hardware and presents it to the virtual server you are using allows for many parallel virtual machines to run at once on a single physical computer. Each virtual server may get a sliver of the underlying hardware resources, allocated per the requested size of the virtual machine. Consequentially, you also do not have control over who else is running virtual machines on the hardware your server is running on. The cloud host manages the allocation of workloads automatically without the "guests" having to pay attention to where they are placed. Although the virtualization is very robust and reliable, there are possible security concerns related to running sensitive workloads on a shared infrastructure. So-called

³ Azure Stack: <u>https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/overview/azure-stack/</u>

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

"side channel attacks" or "virtualization escapes" can leak sensitive information from a target virtual machine (VM) to an attacker's VM if they are on the same hardware. Although these are very rare and difficult to implement, they are not completely unheard of, especially in the realm of financial institutions and government services, where the data held or processed can be extremely valuable to an attacker. In early 2017, a computer security competition sponsored by the security software company Trend Micro called Pwn2Own⁴, was won by a team that used a combination of vulnerabilities in the VMWare Virtualization system, Windows 10, and Microsoft's Edge Browser to compromise a host operating system from a website accessed by a guest virtual machine. This combination of exploits, while highly sophisticated and difficult to utilize, demonstrate that even the security barriers imposed by virtualizing an operating system are not 100% perfect. To be sure, exploit combinations like this are rare, but they exist and are a serious risk if systems are left unpatched. To help mitigate these and other similar attack methods, the idea of a private cloud has gained popularity, especially for large enterprises and government entities, as it allows a greater measure of control over the infrastructure and companion workloads.

In practice, true private clouds hosted by large public providers are rather limited. One of the main advantages of using a cloud service in the first place is a high degree of redundancy available by having many locations where data or services reside to allow for resiliency in the case of site failure. When this book went to press, the Azure Government Cloud only had two locations for federal, state, and local government customers (Virginia and Iowa⁵) and AWS only has one region with at least two data centers, located in the northwestern United States⁶. Currently, Google does not have a true Private Cloud offering at all, but has a wide SaaS offering called G-Suite⁷ which is comprised of a wide variety of office productivity tools similar to Google Docs. This comparative lack of geodiversity highlights a key limitation of building a private cloud: it is extremely expensive and even the largest clients cannot drive demand large enough to influence the private cloud providers to create high levels of redundancy and geodiversity seen in the public cloud offerings.

So, what does the private cloud mean in practice for the commercial sector? Realistically, true private clouds are pretty rare as a commercial offering and are mostly the domain of large enterprises who refactor their infrastructure to separate the application developers from infrastructure maintainers. There are many vendors that "private cloud" offer products, but they are more accurately called "managed hosting" with a robust PaaS interface. What this typically means is that there are actual discrete physical or virtual servers allocated to a user, who can choose to place those dedicated servers in one of many data centers that the vendor controls. Building a cloud out of this infrastructure still requires considerable design and engineering capability on the part of the end-user. This is not necessarily a bad way to design a large-scale global infrastructure, but the

⁴ http://blog.trendmicro.com/pwn2own-2017-event-ages/

⁵ Azure Products by Region/Locations <u>https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/regions/services/</u>

⁶ AWS Global Infrastructure: <u>https://aws.amazon.com/about-aws/global-infrastructure/</u>

⁷ G-Suite: <u>https://gsuite.google.com/industries/government/</u>

financial institution's goals and resources available may not be a good match to direct management of servers at this level of detail.

At the end of the day, deciding between a public cloud, private cloud, or on-premises hosting for solution infrastructure comes down to balancing the needs of the organization against the resources available to address that need, just like any other major decision. The key to deciding how to architect a particular solution lies in getting a full picture of the costs and benefits of a particular solution, which are sometimes obscured in the early discovery/design phase of a project. Unfortunately, what this means is that we cannot tell you a simple rule for how to make this decision. You cannot say, "cloud is good" or "cloud is bad" and design your systems from this statement. Some institutions, due to the nature of their business and age in their organizational life, will find that cloud-based solutions are a great fit for them as they start to scale up. For others, they may determine that the risks and roadblocks are sufficiently high that they don't see a large benefit from re-creating all their infrastructure in the cloud. It is our belief that for most Asia Pacific companies, the "best" path will typically lie somewhere in the middle with a so-called "hybrid" approach to cloud adoption. Some applications are extremely successful in an external hosted environment, and others struggle, especially when there are numerous and complex system integrations and interdependencies to manage.

The term "hybrid cloud" describes the situation where an organization may utilize cloud vendors for some applications but retain other applications or functions in an on premise facility. Different business functions will find more or less success in a cloud environment depending on how difficult they are to migrate, how critical to their business their supporting applications are, an financial institution's overall risk tolerance, and many other considerations. Some questions to ask yourself when considering where (cloud vs. self-hosted) to place an application may include:

- 1) Does the application in question require extensive customization to be useful to your organization?
- 2) Does the application in question have many dependencies on other applications you use or are planning to use?
- 3) Could your organization continue to conduct business if the cloud service were to be discontinued, or can you describe an effective mitigation/migration strategy once you start using it?
- 4) Is the application a consumer or producer of large volumes of data that need to be transferred to or from another application in your environment?

There are many other considerations to take into account, and getting a full picture of the impact of choosing one path over another requires performing a detailed assessment of how the application will fit into the overall context of your financial institution's system architecture. This

Enabling World-Class Decisions for Asia Pacific (APAC)

assessment will include details about how the application will interact with other applications, cost assessments, user count and placement analysis, business continuity and disaster recovery planning, data dependencies, business process planning, and many others.

Microsoft in particular is one of the biggest proponents of the hybrid cloud approach solution to architecture. They designed their Azure platform to naturally integrate with their traditional on-premises solutions and are slowly pushing their on-premises customers to embrace cloud-based technologies through their hybrid cloud strategy. In a white paper⁸ on the Azure Stack platform released early in 2016, Microsoft articulated the following vision in a summary statement for the hybrid cloud:

"Ultimately, we want companies to be able to embrace the notion of cloud-first on their terms – every journey to cloud computing is different and we want to support all of them. In this way, we believe customers can realize the value of the cloud paradigm across their organization, regardless of location, and thereby refocus their resources on the investments that competitively differentiate them in the marketplace."

Given that we are still in the very early days of the "transition of paradigms" that cloud computing is promising, it is safe to say that for the typical organization, the transition will be a gradual one. Microsoft is betting that, at least for the foreseeable future, most entities that have already a heavy capital and knowledge investment in Microsoft-based technologies will be more comfortable deploying cloud-based resources on their own timelines. We feel that this is a reasonable approach that respects the huge diversity in organizational complexity, flexibility, appetite for change, and technical capabilities that most companies have today.

Item	Your Score (1 lowest – 10 best)	Your Notes
Do you have a current service		
catalog of your financial		
institution's applications?		
Do you have a roadmap for the		
lifecycle of each application in your		
service catalog?		

Readiness checklist

⁸ http://download.microsoft.com/download/3/F/3/3F3811C0-969D-422C-9EDA-42CB79BABA96/Bringthe-cloud-to-your-datacenter-Microsoft-Azure-Stack.pdf

Do you have a defined strategy for	
cloud adoption on an organization-	
wide or service level basis?	
Have you discussed the challenges	
that each application owner	
experiences and considered how	
these challenges could be addressed	
by thoughtful system placement?	
Total Score	

ROI Calculator

Many companies like to analyze the estimated return on investment (ROI) for a software purchase or subscription. Due to all the variables involved and the difficulties in translating the projected benefits into financial terms, ROI calculations are often skipped. Below, you will find an example of an ROI calculator populated with sample figures and assumptions of potential benefits and investment costs.

	Estimated Number of Users:	50
	Enter est. hourly cost of internal IT resources :	\$45
		25 1.00 5
	S / INVESTMENTS (based on 5 Year Period)	SOLUTION
Benefits	Comments	Homegrown On Premise Cloud
Improved Decision-making	As a result of faster, better, more accurate information	\$ 2,000,000 \$ 4,000,000 \$ 4,000,00
Improved User Satisfaction	Lower turnover and higher productivity	\$ 250,000 \$ 1,250,000 \$ 1,350,00
Total Benefit Value		\$ 2,250,000 \$ 5,250,000 \$ 5,350,00
Investments	Comments	
Hardware	Hardware cost	\$ 20,000 \$ 20,000 \$ -
Software	All software/SaaS cost	\$ 5,000 \$ 75,000 \$ 130,00
Implementation/Development Cost	Estimated labor cost	\$ 45,000 \$ 65,000 \$ 60,00
Opportunity Cost	Productivity loss of not having professional CPM solution	\$ 75,000 \$ - \$ -
Ongoing Support Cost	Cost of ongoing model support/assistance	\$ 22,500 \$ 26,250 \$ 21,00
Ongoing Upgrade Cost	Labor cost of installing new versions and bug fixes	\$ 36,000 \$ 33,750 \$ -
Total Investments		\$ 203,500 \$ 220,000 \$ 211,00
Benefit Value less Investment Cost		\$ 2,046,500 \$ 5,030,000 \$ 5,139,00
ROI	Based on 5 years Deployment Period	10.06 22.86 24.
	Estimated ROI (in multiples of Investment)	
30.00		
25.00		
20.00		
15.00		
10.00		
5.00		
0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Homegrown	On Premise	Cloud

ROI Calculator - Corporate Performance Management Solution

Integrating 3rd Party Applications to your CPM Solution

As modern BI and CPM solutions mature and the age of open connectivity continues to grow, third party applications or "apps" will continue to play an important role within the industry. Chances are that as you continue to evolve your BI vision and blueprint, you will find gaps in the product offerings, so additional software may need to be selected to fill those gaps. In the past, connecting the puzzle pieces together was a daunting exercise. Nowadays it is becoming easier to tie niche features into the BI and CPM platform, utilizing API's. Modern BI and CPM platforms are starting to offer an environment to integrate and even build niche solutions to plug into the solution. This helps ensure continuity with user security and user experience within one platform.

3rd party apps may be fully integrated or may be separate applications which fills a gap and or enhances the suite. For instance Microsoft's Power BI can utilize data from other providers like Solver's BI360 or Anaplan and be used as a plugin for those platforms. Some 3rd party apps may fill other needs like "r" analysis for data scientists or running simulations on data. While other 3rd party apps may fill deeper niche needs like special features for the healthcare or manufacturing industry.

Much like the open source plugins many software engineers utilize to provide specific functionality, modern BI and CPM solutions will continue to evolve the 3rd party capabilities to help ensure an ecosystem is available to meet the constantly changing requirements of APAC organizations with world class decisions makers.

How CPA & Consulting Firms can Deliver World-Class CPM to Their Clients

Market Trends

Companies are increasingly outsourcing accounting and corporate performance management (CPM) activities because of technology progress, globalization market trends and popularity of outsourcing non-core business processes.

In recent decades, outsourcing services have grown in popularity as globalization has increased competition and driven many firms to maximize focus on core business activities. At the same time, progress in technology enablers such as internet, cloud services, etc. have also made it easier and more affordable for CPAs and other financial services firms to deliver remote services to clients.

While CPA firms have been handling accounting for their clients for a number of years, modern BI solutions with web interfaces and cloud platforms now also provide them with a solution to deliver sophisticated reporting, planning and analysis services to clients interested in outsourcing these types of activities. When the services firm is hosting all their clients in a private cloud or using a vendor's public cloud solution, and thus has easy access to their data, these offerings will increasingly also include benchmarking services, where a client's KPIs are compared to industry averages.

How does it work?

For a number of years, CPAs and other consulting firms have built custom, manually updated, client-facing web portals using technologies like Microsoft SharePoint, and each month they post their clients' reports there and provide each user with a log in for remote access. Some also email the reports to their clients. The trend, however, is for these outsourcing firms to use automated integration tools to load their clients' data into a centrally hosted, multi-tenant BI solution, where they typically provide high quality, pre-built templates for reporting and budgeting that

are available on a self-service basis through the CPM tool's web interface. Their clients can log in and use the templates any time they want or they receive an email notification that their data (e.g., presented in monthly financial reports) is ready for analysis. Some BI tools also offer built-in commentary, where the CPAs and analysts can enter their narrative to support clients in their analysis. And some BI solutions have web-portal administration functionality to make it very quick and easy to manage each client, share templates across clients, customize reports where requested, etc.

Hardware/Software/Performance Considerations

Generally speaking, application system performance is difficult to quantify. The simplest and best way to think about whether or not you have a "well performing" system is to compare it to your users' expectations. In absolute terms, there is no single universal benchmark that can be applied to a system as a whole to measure if it is performing well outside of what is typically defined in a service-level agreement (SLA) between the users and the provider of the service. When determining if a cloud-based system is operating within its designed performance tolerances, most services will only report on and manage system availability and will only agree to be held to the definition of uptime expressed in the associated SLA. This is a key consideration when planning where to place a system or application, namely concerning how much control you wish to have over the service provider in question. In very rare cases, a cloud provider will agree to alter general terms and conditions to provide expanded uptime above and beyond a general SLA. Additionally, the liability is typically limited to the fees paid for the services in the billing during which an outage occurred. While most professional service providers have generally good track records, even Amazon, Microsoft, and Google have had major system outages from time to time. This lack of control over the underlying vendor needs to be taken into account when considering the criticality of a given outsourced service.

While there are measurements that can be done on various underlying systems which an internal IT department or cloud infrastructure operations team will monitor to verify that a server or service is responding in a way that meets design expectations, these lower-level measurements are not typically helpful for executive-level management. Internally, they may be used to contribute to an overall health dashboard for a system. In a cloud environment, one of the major metrics that are typically tracked is system uptime, which falls more under a system resiliency discussion, rather than performance. Software developers who design the cloud applications need to take into account the fact that users may be connecting to the services from anywhere and pay special attention to optimizing the network performance and making sure the application is resilient to temporary disconnects or slowdowns. Frequently, the largest source of system problems comes from either loading too many users on a system or from some type of network connectivity problem. The responsibility of managing the internal performance of a cloud application is one of the defining characteristic benefits of choosing such a system.

Security Considerations

What does security mean in the context of cloud applications? A comprehensive discussion of what it means to be secure is beyond the scope of this book; however, we will outline a few key questions that should stay at the top of your mind when discussing security with your financial institution's stakeholders and vendors. In a way, the traditional model of tactical security management has changed completely now that cloud applications have become commonplace. The biggest shift is that there is a much greater reliance on vendor security management of your new distributed infrastructure. In this context, the role of a chief information security officer (CISO) evolves from focusing on developing an financial institution's internal security strategy, policies, and response plans into one that is highly driven by examining, managing, and auditing an increasing number of policies and practices of 3rd-party companies. For example, once you move a given user-facing application to an external vendor you now have to consider how your users will authenticate themselves, how you manage access control, and a host of other user-facing issues that can be more easily addressed in a single organization. This is not to say that there are no solutions to the issues that a distributed vendor-centric approach to security management will surface, only that the types of challenges change.

One thing to always remember: Moving your applications to a cloud-based system is not a situation where you can "fire and forget" the application, especially from a security perspective. If you are using a 3rd-party hosted or outsourced system for a critical business process, it is also critical to have a plan for business continuity if there is a security or technical related interruption to the service. One side effect of a large number of business critical systems being outsourced to cloud vendors is that the collective value of the data these vendors provide increases geometrically. Thus, the data in question becomes much more attractive for criminal actors to attempt to access and filtrate. Data breaches that make the news are disturbingly common, and their frequency will increase as more and more applications gain large numbers of customers.

Fortunately, most of the companies hosting sensitive data are aware of the risks and work vigorously to mitigate threats before they cause large losses. Depending on the type of data held, hosting institutions will be required to show compliance with one or more compliance standards, such as the payment card industry (PCI) compliance standard, parts of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), ISO/IEC 27001:2013 – Information technology – Security techniques – Information security management systems – Requirements (ISO 27001/27002), and potentially others. These policy standards offer guidelines that, depending on the industry served, hosting companies need to be aware of and show compliance with. As a potential customer of an organization hosting or processing sensitive data, you have the right, and in many cases the obligation, to review audit details of vendor organizational compliance with these standards.

Below are some questions to get you started on your conversation with the security professionals in your organization:

- 1) As the number of cloud-based systems that our organization uses grows, how will we manage user logins and password resets?
- 2) Do we have the capability to assess if a given cloud vendor is applying current security best practices in their operational policies?
- 3) Do we have a strategy for managing our exposure to data breach or loss in the context of outsourcing critical parts of our infrastructure?
- 4) Do we have an effective audit partner who can help us examine the security practices of the vendor companies we are considering partnering with?

Terminology and Definitions

Application Programming Interface (API) - In general terms, it is a set of clearly defined methods of communication between various software components

Artificial Intelligence (AI) - Any device that perceives its environment and takes actions that maximize its chance of success at some goal

Balanced Scorecard - A strategy performance management tool

Big Data – A term for data sets that are so large or complex that traditional data processing application software is inadequate to deal with them

Bottom-Up Budget - Each department determines their individual budget and then that is rolled-up to the company's overall budget.

Breakback Template- A template that will create an entire budget or forecast with as little as a single number. More amounts can be entered for more accuracy.

Business Intelligence (BI) - Comprises the set of strategies, processes, applications, data, technologies and technical architectures which are used companies to support the collection, data analysis, presentation and dissemination of business information.

Business Requirements Document (BRD) – A document details the business solution for a project including the documentation of customer needs and expectations.

Closing the Loop - Tying the planning, reporting, analysis, and strategy together.

Cloud Computing - A type of Internet-based computing that provides shared computer processing resources and data to computers and other devices on demand.

Colocation - The practice of placing servers from multiple tenants in a single physical data center operated by a 3rd party. Tenants rent space, power capacity, network connectivity and typically basic "remote hands" services from the operator of the data center.

Corporate Performance Management (CPM) – An umbrella term that describes the methodologies, metrics, processes and systems used to monitor and manage the business performance of an enterprise.

Data Center - A secure space where companies can place servers, networking, data storage, and other equipment for their safe and efficient operation. Some data centers are owned and operated by the same Asia Pacific organization that place equipment in them, and other data centers rent their capacity to 3rd parties. "Colocation" refers to the practice of placing and operating one's servers and other equipment in a datacenter operated by another organization. Data centers are where "the cloud" is operated from.

Dashboard - Visualization tool that will display Key Performance Indicators and can be customized to individual users.

Data Warehouse (DW) - DWs are central repositories of integrated data from one or more disparate sources. They store current and historical data in one single place and are used for creating analytical reports for knowledge workers throughout the enterprise.

Data Mart - A subset of the data warehouse and is usually oriented to a specific business line or team

Deep Learning - Part of a broader family of machine learning methods based on learning representations of data.

Dimension – A structure that categorizes facts and measures in order to enable users to answer business questions. Commonly used dimensions are people, products, place and time. In a data warehouse, dimensions provide structured labeling information to otherwise unordered numeric measures.

Enterprise Performance Management (EPM) – Used interchangeably with the term Corporate Performance Management (see definition above).

Extract Transform Load (ETL) - The process of extraction, transformation and loading data from a source system, such as an ERP. It includes the following sub-processes. 1. Extracting data from external data storage or transmission sources. 2. Transforming data into an understandable

format, where data is typically stored together with an error detection and correction code to meet operational needs. 3. Loading data to the receiving end.

Fact Table - Consists of the measurements, metrics or <u>facts</u> of a <u>business process</u>. It is located at the center of a <u>star schema</u> or a <u>snowflake schema</u> surrounded by <u>dimension tables</u>.

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) - Takes the hours worked by an employee divided by 2,080, which is a full-time employee.

Hybrid-Cloud - A Hybrid-Cloud type deployment is one where certain aspects or components of a system reside on a customer-managed on-premise environment and certain components reside in a cloud delivered system. One example of a Hybrid-Cloud system is Microsoft's flagship email software, Microsoft Exchange Server. Some entities have Exchange Server deployments that interface with Microsoft's Office 365 Exchange Servers to allow certain features and functions to be managed in the Azure environment while retaining some components "in-house".

Infrastructure-as-a-Service (Iaas) - A service delivery model where lower level technology functions that are typically very capital intensive for a single organization to procure are provided on a per-hour or per-minute "rental" basis. Typical Services delivered under an IaaS model include, but are not limited to Servers, Networks, Firewalls, Load Balancing, and File Storage services.

Key Performance Indicator (KPI) - a measurable value that demonstrates how effectively a company is achieving key business objectives.

Machine Learning – Construction and study of systems that can learn from data.

Metadata - Metadata describes other data. It provides information about a certain item's content or acts as an abstraction layer from the underlying data. For example, in an accounting system, a field may have an encrypted name. The metadata can provide a means of showing a friendly name to the end user.

Metric - A system or standard of measurement.

On Premise Deployment – Installation of a software solution in an financial institution's local IT environment.

Platform-as-a-Service (PaaS) - A service delivery model where the lower level building blocks of IT infrastructure are abstracted to higher level systems and then provided as a rented service on a per-hour or per-minute basis. Typical services delivered under a PaaS model are Auto-Scaling

Service platforms that run managed software code, Scalable Database Services, Domain Name Services and geographically agnostic replicating file services.

Playlist - In a BI or CPM context, a playlist can be a list of reports, forms or dashboards organized in a specific order.

Private Cloud - The term "Private Cloud" most commonly refers to a private instance of one or more IT-related services provided to an organization. The services offered in a Private Cloud Solution may be IaaS, PaaS, or SaaS in nature, but the defining characteristic is that they infrastructure they are running on is owned, or managed by one organization.

Public Cloud - The Public Cloud consists of services provided to any customer who rents services on an IaaS, PaaS, or SaaS solution. They are called "Public" because there are no organizational restrictions on who can sign up for and use them, and are open to all paying customers.

Software-as-a-Service (Saas) - A service delivery model where an application is delivered to an organization as a rented service, usually on a monthly or annual basis. SaaS products generally differ in their audiences from IaaS and PaaS in that they are targeted to the non-technical end-users of an application rather than the software developer type consumer. Examples of SaaS applications are nearly any application that is sold as a "cloud" based application. The entire technology stack is hidden from the end-user, who only interacts with the product through a well-defined user interface.

SQL Server Integration Services (SSIS) – A Microsoft platform for data integration and workflow applications. It features a data warehousing tool used for data extraction, transformation, and loading (ETL).

Star-Schema - the simplest style of data mart schema and is the approach most widely used to develop data warehouses and dimensional data marts. The star schema consists of one or more fact tables referencing any number of dimension tables.

Storyboard – In a BI or CPM context this term has the same meaning as a Playlist (see definition above)

Strategic Initiatives - Using resources, both employee and financially, committed to accomplish objectives of the organization.

SWOT Analysis - An analytical structure that evaluates an organization for elements both internal, strengths and weaknesses, as well as external, the opportunities and threats.

Top-Down Budget - Management comes up with a budget and then apportions out amounts to each department, which is then used to budget against.

Variance Analysis - Calculates the difference between the actual and the planned dollars and then investigate the differences and determine the causes.

Virtual Machine - An instance of a computer operating system running on emulated or "virtual" hardware. "Virtualizing" hardware allows efficient use of large servers by slicing up computing power to be used by many copies of an operating system which can run in parallel.



